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# LONG ENDURING METEOR TRAINS 

CHARLES P. OLIVIER ${ }^{1}$

Flower Observatory, University of Pennsylvania
(Read April 24, 1941)

THis research is a continuation, along additional lines, of that by the late $\mathrm{C} . \mathrm{C}$. Trowbridge, which appeared during the years $1907-1911$. It was made possible by a grant of $\$ 1000$ from the Penrose Fund of the American Philosophical Society in 1935. With this Dr. C. H. Cleminshaw was brought to the Flower Observatory and for about eight months devoted his day work to meteor train phenomena. On his resignation, I took the work over completely and, as my other duties permitted, have slowly pushed it to a conclusion. During the past several months I have been efficiently aided by my wife, Mrs. N. S. Olivier, who has examined hundreds of volumes for references and aided in preparing the data. To both her and to Dr. Cleminshaw I am under deep obligations.
The data here presented came from the following sources. (1) All the records gathered by Trowbridge and turned over to me by the Meteor Committee of the National Academy of Sciences. (2) The records collected by our own member, the late Prof. Cleveland Abbe, Sr. (3) The immense mass of data in my hands, due to the work of the American Meteor Society. (4) Records sent to me privately by astronomers, mostly foreign, in greatest number from the U.S.S.R. (5) Reprints on all phases of meteor work sent me from many countries. (6) Examination of from 2000 to 3000 scientific journals and books, in various languages, in which references to meteors might be expected. From these sources I have prepared Table I containing 1336 trains which either lasted at least 60 seconds or which, if shorter in duration, showed actual drift. This table, along with Table II which has further data upon 583 of the 1336 mentioned, gives in condensed form the salient facts upon every train. Table II has specific data on heights and drifts, the latter being the original chief aim of this research.

Two men only have published extensively on this subject, Trowbridge in America in 1907-

[^0]1911 and Kahlke in 1921 in Germany. The present paper not only contains the hundreds of trains observed since 1921, but by more careful search of old records has perhaps doubled the numbers actually used by the two scientists mentioned in writing their papers. As I do not consider myself competent to attempt a complete physical theory based upon the observed facts, I have contented myself with presenting them in such form that this paper should be fundamental to future studies of currents in the upper atmosphere. The reason for this statement is that it appears to be based upon far the largest existing collection of data on the subject.

The following deductions are of special interest :
(A) Night trains Beginning height 102 km ( 51 cases) End height $\quad 74 \mathrm{~km}$ ( 54 cases)
(B) Day trains Beginning height 57 km ( 19 cases) End height $\quad 30 \mathrm{~km}$. ( 22 cases)
(C) Based upon the above values; these velocities were found:

Night trains $175 \mathrm{~km} /$ hour ( 30 cases) Day trains $133 \mathrm{~km} /$ hour ( 8 cases)
Based upon assumed values for heights, these velocities were found:

Night trains $214 \mathrm{~km} /$ hour ( 41 cases) Day trains $121 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hour}$ ( 4 cases)

In the velocities, where there were two or more values for the same train, the average value was used in the above tabulation.

This paper was finished too late to make a very complete analysis of the directions of drift. In any case this should be done by a trained meteorologist, as it will doubtless be as soon as the data are published. The only striking preponderance of drift that I find from a preliminary study is a considerable one to East for day trains over the Eastern Hemisphere land mass, and a less striking one of drift to West for the night trains over the same area. For North America, the Oceans, and the few from the Southern Hemipshere, each group treated separately, nothing striking is found except a preponderance of night trains drifting to
the North for America. This is contrary to Kahlke's findings.

The average for the two values, measured and assumed, for night trains is $194 \mathrm{~km} /$ hour, for the day trains $127 \mathrm{~km} /$ hour. As the mean levels are 88 km and 44 km respectively, it seems to indicate a general increasing wind velocity as we go higher. It will be noted that these means, 88 km and 44 km , represent quite well those for the KennellyHeaviside Layer at night and perhaps also in the day.

The most casual study of the data will show at once that the drifts are complex and not simple. The same train will often indicate many superimposed currents of different velocities and very different directions. Incidentally it should be noted that for all trains observed from only one station the drift given is merely a projected drift and not the real one. Only for those trains which were triangulated could the true direction of drift be found, or indeed the true heights of the trains. Some parts of the trains, even their centers, may be at times stationary, while the rest has considerable velocity. Further there is proof in certain cases of components both upwards and downwards, indicating vertical convection. There are also whole trains which show no appreciable distortion or motion, others however in which violent forces seem at work. It must be remembered that the body which causes the train is a meteor, a solid body of from a few inches to a few feet in diameter. This, striking and penetrating our atmosphere, with a velocity of from 15 to 75 $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{sec}$, can only make a cylindrical path of small cross-section, no matter how many miles in length. Yet in less than one minute this path is often defined by a cylindrical glow fully a kilometer in diameter, which in many cases grows even larger. Besides gas diffusion some type of repulsive force is indicated. Again the opposite phenomenon is seen; the ends of the train contract towards the center, apparently, and the whole becomes an elliptical ball of light, which in turn sometimes expands in diameter or merely diffuses away.

Table III, giving monthly totals for all years, shows a great excess for November, August and October, in order of magnitude. The great Leonid showers with some Bielids cause the November maximum, the excellent annual Perseid shower that of August, and the smaller Orionid shower of October gives the excess for that month. Two out of three of these showers are certainly connected with comets, the third probably so. This
would indicate that cometary meteor streams were good breeding grounds for meteors which would produce long-enduring trains. Yet in advance no prediction can be made as to what meteor will or will not leave such a train. Take the excellent 1931 Leonid display for example. In this I saw some bright Leonids leave trains persisting up to 10 minutes, yet equally bright ones and of similar color and appearance leaving trains which vanished in from one to two seconds. Why the difference? No one knows.

As said, the stratum contained between 102 and 75 km from the Earth's surface roughly defines the region in which long-enduring night trains are found. For day trains we found the limit 57 and 30 km only. Yet it would be erroneous to believe that we are dealing with totally different phenomena. The best example is the great meteorite of 1933 March 23, our No. 1103, seen over parts of Texas, Oklahoma and New Mexico. This I reported on here in 1935. True this fireball came in twilight, yet we found a continuous train from 100 km to 25 km which lasted fully an hour at upper levels, at least 10 minutes at the lower levels, and which showed all typical train characteristics. We may also refer to our numbers $45,393,567,604,778$ and 1081 ? as cases in which the strata are overlapped. There are others as numbers $588,699,750$ and 1264 which would indicate a higher upper limit to the night stratum. Of course, some of these abnormal heights may well be accounted for by errors of observation; it seems impossible that this can explain No. 1103. In any case the debris of the meteor, fine dust and molecules of gas, are present all along the path. Exactly what optical effects the moving mass itself produces upon the atmospheric molecules it actually meets and those it brushes aside, and what further effects are caused by the mixing of the debris mentioned, may in part be deduced or inferred from the tabular data.

It should be said that vast numbers of the brighter meteors leave trains visible one or more seconds. Such trains are not limited to the stratum discussed. The choice of a 60 -second duration by me for this paper was purely arbitrary, but I think was made on sound reasoning. How long a train is visible depends upon many factors, such as clearness of sky, absence of moonlight etc. Also the use of a field glass or telescope often prolongs visibility many times. The use of optical aid in the study of trains is there-
fore highly recommended. Meteors themselves appear usually at considerably greater heights than the upper level of the train stratum. They disappear, on an average, about its center. This indicates that meteors which leave long-enduring trains penetrate lower than most. This latter fact would in turn indicate a comparatively larger mass than the average, which would give a better chance for survival. As to slow or great velocity being the deciding factor, we find the very fast moving Leonids often leave fine trains. The number seen in the fine Bielid showers was much smaller but so was the average magnitude of the meteors themselves. The same may be said of the fine Draconid shower on 1933 October 9, when the meteors were both fainter and slower than Leonids. Knowing nothing of the average mass of meteors in the dif-
ferent streams, though I have no reason to believe that they differ to any great extent, there are not data sufficient to say whether the velocity is the decisive factor. The elements present in a given meteor may have much influence.

In closing I desire to emphasize that I have only analyzed my data for certain purposes, largely of an astronomical nature. Indeed the long experience I have had in practical meteor work, covering over 42 years, and my wide acquaintance with others in the same field have given me a special opportunity for carrying this research as far as I have. I now willingly hand on the results to the physicist to supply the necessary theories to explain fully the causes of the phenomena, and to the meteorologist to apply the new data to studies of the upper atmosphere.

## Table I

The columns are headed as below and the following notes explain their contents.

No.-Serial number, also repeated in Table II.
Date-Astronomical date (old style) which begins 12 hours after civil date, i.e. at noon not midnight.
Hour-Expressed from noon as zero. Local time used when known.

Type- N denotes a train seen at night, T one in twilight, D one in daylight, i.e. with Sun above horizon. It is obvious that some cases are on the borderline and another investigator would classify otherwise.
$\lambda, \phi$-The approximate longitude and latitude of observer(s), or of region over which meteor passed. In latter case the end point would be chosen, if known.

Radiant-Given if known. One or more ? denote increasing uncertainty.

Maximum Magn.-The highest estimated magnitude either in stellar magnitudes or by letters. M designates (full) Moon, S the $\mathrm{Sun}, \mathrm{Br}$ brilliant, F fireball, B that object burst,-that no information is given as to magnitude. However, in most such cases, except for shower meteor, we may assume F would be entered. x denotes that meteor itself was not seen, only its light and the train that was left.

Duration-Given in minutes and fractions,* denoting the duration was determined using a telescope, field glass etc. This is usually much longer than visibility to unaided eye.

Motion of Train-S denotes spiral, Z-shaped, or serpentine; C curved; R ring-shaper, whole or in part; B ball of light; L cloud-shaped; D that
direction of drift was derived; $Z$ no observed motion, hence zero drift; Y presumed that there was very little drift; K comet-like; E expanded; M definite motion, no direction given; P drawings. diagrams or photographs given; A uncertain whether duration of meteor or train was meant.

References-The usual ones for current journals. 'Greg' and 'Biot' refer to their catalogues. A few books are mentioned by name. Jahr A + G refers to Jahrbuch für Astronomie und Geophysic for 1901, which has a table giving partial data as to many trains. In a number of these cases I regret inability to find the original reference. Where the originals were found, this designation was omitted. The latter remark may be repeated as to Greg. After 1900 an increasing number of personal reports has been sent to me or to the American Meteor Society, which I direct. Single reports bear the observer's name. U S N Hy O designates reports to the Hydrographic Office U. S. Navy, largely published in its Bulletin. HC followed by a number denotes the serial number in Katalog der Bestimmungsgrössen für 611 Bahnen Grosser Meteore; Wien Ak. Vol. 100, 1925, by von Niessl and Hoffmeister. K followed by a number refers to the serial number in Kahlke's tables in Meteorschweife und hochastosphärische Windströmungen; Ann. Hydr. Sept. 1921. The words stone, iron, etc., mean that this type of meteorite was found as a result of the appearance of the fireball mentioned in table.

Note: The 1936 reports of N. Guriev were made at a place named Khodja-Obi-Garm, Varzob district, U.S.S.R. The only place that approximately fitted this name was in $\lambda=63^{\circ} \mathrm{E} \varphi=36^{\circ}$ N . This identification may be in error.


| NO. | DATE |  |  | HOUR | TYPE | $\lambda$ | $\phi$. | $\begin{gathered} \text { RAD IANT } \\ \alpha \quad \delta \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | max. MAGN. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DUR- } \\ & \text { ATION } \end{aligned}$ | MOTIOM OF TRAIM | REFERENCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $Y$ | M | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 57 | 1805 | 10 | 23 | 7:30 | $N$ | $7 \mathrm{E} 53^{\circ}$ |  | 0 - | F | 20 | D,C,S | (Phys. Worterbuck 4, 220,1827; <br> ( $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{C} ., 406 ; \mathrm{KI}$; Gilbert Ann. 23, 106 |
| 58 | 1810 | 1 | 2 | 12:30 | N | 6 E 46 |  |  | F | 15 | S | Greg.; Jahr. A.\& 6. 12, 1901 |
| 59 | 1811 | 5 | 15 | 8:35 | T | 3 E 47 | N |  | F | 10 | S,E | Phil. Mag. 64, 118,1824 |
| 60 | 1812 | 8 | 9 | - | - | I W 47 | N |  | - | ? | , | Jahr. A. \& G. 12, 1901 |
| 61 |  | 8 | 23 | $9:$ | * | 5 E 52 | H |  | F | 15 |  | Chladni D. 154 |
| 62 | 1813 | 11 | 10 | 6:40 | N | I W 55 | N |  | X | 6 | $\gamma$ | Chladni p. 156; Ann. of Philos. 2,456, 1813 |
| 63 | 1814 | 9 | 5 | $0:$ | 0 | I E 44 | 1 |  | F | Long | D, L, L | Ann. de Chemie. 9,28,1814; Stone |
| 64 |  | 10 | 18 ? | 10: | $N$ | 12 E 51 | N |  | - | Many |  | (Jahr. A.\& G. 12, 1901 (possibly Oct. 14, Greg.) Wien Ak.,37,811, 1859 |
| 65 | 1815 | 5 | 10 | 10:30 | 17 |  |  |  | F | Sev. | R | Chladni p. 158 |
| 66 |  | 7 | 2 | P.M. | - | 12 E 51 | $N$ |  | - | 26 | K | Sirius 34, 11,1901 |
| 67 | 1817 | 4 | 10 | 10: |  | 14 E 50 |  |  | 8 | 1+ |  | Chladni p. 161 |
| 68 | 1818 | 2 | 15 | 6:30 | $N$ | 1 E 45 | N |  | 8 | 30 | 0,L | B.S. A.F. 12,268, 1904 |
| 69 |  | 8 | 3 | 11:15 | $N$ | 051 | N |  | F | 1 | Z,k | Sirius 34, 12,1901; Greg. |
| 70 |  | 10 | 31 | 8:30 | $N$ ? | 26 E 45 | N |  | F | 5 |  | B.A.A.S. 30,66, 1860; aerolite |
| 71 | 1819 | 5 | 5 | 0:30 | D | 2 E 57 |  |  | B | Long | 1 | Greg.; Phil.Mag. 54,75,1819 |
| 72 |  | 11 | 13 | - | - | 72 W 19 |  |  | F | 18 |  | Greg. |
| 73 | 1821 | 6 | 15 | 3:00 | D | 5 E 44 | N |  | B | 15 | 2 | C. R. 89, 918, 1879; K 3d; stone |
| 74 |  | 9 | 20 | 15:43 | N | 31 E 29 | N |  | F | 2 |  | Pog. Annalen 612,1874; B.A.A.S. $43,190,1874$ |
| 75 | 1822 | 3 | 9 | 10:00 | $N$ | 77 W 42 | N | $257+40$ | B,M | 10 | C | Am.Jour.Scl. 6,318, 1823; Ph 11. Mag. 64, 118,1824 ; H.C. 86 |
| 76 |  | 3 | 16 | 10:05 | N | 78 W 37 | N |  | F, 8 | Sev. |  | Arago. II, 570, Phil. Mag. $59,399,1822$ |
| 77 |  | 6 | 3 | 8:15 | T | $0 \quad 47$ | * |  | F | 15 | D,S | 6il. Annalen 71,345, 1822; $\mathrm{K}^{\text {S } 4 \text { d; }}$ |
| 78 |  | 8 | 6 | - | - | 050 | \% |  | F | 5 | S | Phil. Mag. 64, 119,1824 |
| 79 |  | 8 | 11 | - | - | 8 E 47 | N |  | F | 3 |  | Greg. |
| 80 |  | 9 | 1 | - | - | 77 W 18 | N |  | F, 8 | Sev. |  | Greg. |
| 81 |  | 11 | 15 | 7:58 | $N$ | 10 E 54 | H |  | B | $1+$ | Y | A. W. 1, 449, 1823 |
| 82 | 1823 | 8 | 7 | 4:30 | D | 70 W 44 | H |  | Br | ? | $B$ | Am. Jour . Sc i. 7, 170, 1823; st one |
| 83 |  | 11 | 9 | 6:45 | 1 | 14 E 50 | \% |  | $\times$ | 2. | D, K | A. M. $1,468,1824$ |
| 84 | 1825 | 11 | 14 | - | - | 3 W 56 | \% |  |  | 2 | , | Greg. |
| 85 |  | 11 | 22 | - | $N$ | 88 E 25 | N |  | F | 2.5 | K | B. A. A. S. $19,120,1850$ |
| 86 |  | 12 | 2 | - | - | 88 E 25 | N |  | Br | 5 |  | B.A.A.S. $20,43,1851$ |
| 87 | 1826 | 3 | 31 | 9: | ${ }^{*}$ | 73 W 41 | N |  | $B$ | 1 |  | Amo Jour. Sci. 11,184, 1826 |
| 88 | 1827 | 12 | 11 | - |  | 8 E 49 | W |  |  | 75 | $k$ | V. Bogus lawski; Sirius 34, 12, 1901 |
| 89 | 29 | 9 | 26 | 11:48 | 1 | 7 E 51 | N |  | F | 13 | K, C | A. M. 8, 15+ 159,1831 |
| 90 | 31 | 11 | 12 | 16:00 | $\cdots$ | $5 \mathrm{E} \quad 47$ | W | Leonid | F | 6 |  | Quetelet 39,1839; Mat.53,7,1895 |
| 91 | 32 | 10 | 20 | 10: | $N$ | 3 W 52 | W | Orionid ? | 1 | Sev ? | $Y$ | B. AoA.S. 21, 182, 1852 |
| 92 | 33 | 11 | 12 | - | 1 | 74 NH | \% | Leonid | ? | 15 | C | Trans. Am. Phl. Soc. MoS. 7,271 |
| 93 |  | 11 | 12 | 15:00 | N | 80 WH 36 | * | Leonid | >H | $30 \pm$ | S, D, P | Am. Jour. Sci. 25,378, 1834 |
| 94 |  | 11 | 12 | - | 1 | 77 W 38 | \% | Leonid ?? | ? | 10 |  | As. Jour. Sci. 25,390, 1834 |
| 95 |  | 11 | 12 | 16:00 | 1 | $81 \times 41$ | * | Leonid ?? | F | 75 | C, 0 | An. Jour. Sci. 25,391, 1834 |
| 96 |  | 11 | 12 | - | 1 | $77 \times 38$ | \% | Leonid ?? | ? | 2.3 |  | Am. Jour. Sci. 25,390, 1834 |
| 97 |  | 11 | 12 | 16: ? | 1 | 80 W 40 | \% | Leonid ? | F | 15. | L, ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Am. Jour. Sci. 25,391, 1834 |
| 98 |  | 11 | 12 | 17: ? | $\cdots$ | 80 W 40 | W | Leonid ? | ? |  | 1 | Am. Jour. Sci. 25,391, 1874 |
| 99 |  | 11 | 12 | 17:15 | . | 78 W 43 | H | Leonid ?? | \% | 3 | C | An. Jour. Sci. 26,338, 1834 |
| 100 |  | 11 | 12 | 17:30 | N | 77 W 39 | \% | Leonid | ? | 4 | L, 5 | Ano Jour. Sci. 25,375, 1834 |
| 101 a |  | 11 | 12 | 17:40 | $\cdots$ | $81 \times 39$ | \% | Leonid ? | - 5 | 15 | $c$ | As. Jour. Sci. 26,87, 1834) same |
| 101 b |  | 11 | 12 | - | $N$ | 83 \| 40 | \% |  |  | 10 | 0 | Am. Jour. Sci. $26,338,1834$ ) meteor |
| 102 |  | 11 | 12 | 17:45 | $N$ | 73 W | 1 | Leonid | 8 | 15. | S, 0 | Am. Jour. Sci. $26,156,1834$ |
| 103 |  | 12 | 8 | - | 1 | 12 E 5 | 2 N |  |  | ? | L, P | ${ }^{*}$ Die Sternschnuppen* 348,1839 |
| 104 | 1834 | 7 | 4 | 9:15 | T | 052 | * |  | F | 60 + |  | B.A.A.S. $21,183,1852$ |
| 105 |  |  | 29 | 7:15 | T | 053 |  |  | F | 2.5 | C, ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | B.A.A.S. $21,183,1852$ |
| 106 | 1835 | 11 |  | - | - | 18 E 34 |  |  | - | 20 |  | Jahr. A. \& 6. 12, 1901 |
| 107 |  | 12 | 12 | 12: | 1 | 12 E 52 |  |  | Br | 2 |  | Greg. |
| 108 | 1836 |  | 20 | 4: | D | 90 W 4 | 0 m |  | \% M, B | 15 | 1 | Am. Jour. Sci. 33,402,1838 |
| 109 |  |  | 14 | $\cdots$ | - | 24 E 38 |  |  | - | 5 | K | Sirius 34, 12, 1901 |
| 110 |  | 11 | 14 | - | - | 12 E 4 |  |  | - | 5 |  | Jahr. A. \& 6. 12, 1901 |
| 111 | 1837 |  |  | 7:30 | T | 73 W 4 |  |  | S, 8 | Sev. |  | As. Jour. Sci. 33, 200, 1838 |
| 112 | 1838 |  | 2 | 7: | 1 | 17 E 5 |  |  | Br | 2 |  | Greg; meteorite |
| 113 |  |  | 17 |  |  |  | 3 \% |  | Br | 10 | K | Greg. |


| 10. | DATE |  |  | HOUR T | TYPE |  | RADIANT$\alpha \delta$ | max. MAGM. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DUR- } \\ & \text { ATIOM } \end{aligned}$ | MOTIOM OF TRAIN | REFERENCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $Y$ | M | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 114 |  | 81 | 18 |  |  | 9 E 49 N |  |  | 4 |  | Greg. |
| 115 |  | 11 | 13 | 17: | $N$ | 73 W 41 N | Leonid | 8 | 9 | D, E | Am. Jour. Scl. 35,369, 1839 |
| 116 |  | 11 | - | 7:30 | $N$ | 78 E 8 N |  | M | 20 + | C, L, 2 | B. A.A.S. $20,43,1851$ |
| 117 | 1839 | 8 | 7 |  |  | 42 W 44 M |  | F | 1 |  | Greg. |
| 118 |  | 8 | 26 | $9:$ | $N$ | 20 E 41 N |  | Br | 20 | 5 | Am. Jour. Sci. 39,381, 1840 |
| 119 | 1840 | 1 | 8 | 7:50 | $N$ | 10 E 54 N |  | B, $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{M}$ | 1 | K | A. H. $18,54,1841$ |
| 120 |  | 5 | 12 | 15. | N | 72 W 41 N |  | B, M | 4. |  | Am. Jour. Scl. 39,382, 1840 |
| 121 |  | 7 | 30 | - | - | 16 E 48 N |  | $B$ | 15 | c | Wien Ak. 37,811,1859 |
| 122 |  | 8 | 10 | - | - | 6 E 51 N |  | - | 1.5 |  | Jahr. A. \& 6. 12, 1901 |
| 123 | 1841 | 3 | 8 | - | - | 3 E 40 N |  | 8 | 2 |  | Greg. |
| 124 |  | 8 | 10 | - | - | 6 E 51 K |  |  | 1.5 |  | Wien AK. 37,811,1859 |
| 125 |  | 9 | 8 | 9:53 | N | 2 E 47 H |  | B | 1.2 |  | C.R. 13,637, 1841 |
| 126 |  | 12 | 5 | 6:45 | N | 17 E 50 N |  | B,M | 1 |  | Greg. |
| 127 | 1842 | 4 | 10 | 16:00 | $N$ | 81 E $24 \times$ |  | F | 8 + | D,C | B. A. A. S. 19, 121,1850; 21,238 |
| 128 |  | 7 | 11 | 9:10 | \% | 050 N |  | F | 3.5 | D,R | C.R. 15, 127, 1842 |
| 129 |  | 8 | 5 | 8:20 | $T$ | 17 E 51 N |  | B | Long | D,L | Greg. |
| 130 |  | 11 | 11 | 14:34 | $N$ | 10 E 45 N |  | - 5, 8 | 1.5 | Y | Met. Mag. 1,325, 1842-3 |
| 131 | 1843 | 3 | 7 | 8:48 | N | 10 E 52 N |  | $F$ | 1 + |  | A. N. 20,315, 1843 |
| 132 |  | 6 | 21 | - |  | 3 E 40 N |  | M | 1 |  | Greg. |
| 133 |  |  | 10 | 5: | D | 20 E 45 M |  | X | 4. | L, M | B.A.A.S. $17,5,1848$ (possibly Nov. 12) : meteorite |
| 134 | 1844 | 9 | 3 | 16:00 | $T$ | 75 E 20 N |  | F | 20 | D | B. A.A.S. 21,238,1852 |
| 135 |  | 9 | 10 | - |  | 4 E 51 H |  | F | 2 |  | Greg. |
| 136 | 1845 | 6 | 18 | $8:$ | T | 31 E 37 M |  | >M | $30+$ | D, 1 | B.A.A.S. 39,30, 1861; K 6 d |
| 137 |  | 7 | 2 | 14: | $T$ | 12 E 51 N |  | F | 26 | C, M | Sirlus 34, 12, 1901; Wochen $2,226,1859$ |
| 138 |  |  | 24 | 12:00 | H | 7 E 51 N |  | - 4 | 5- * | D,C,s | (Schmidt..'10 Years...', 93,1852 (Wien Ak. 56, II, 503,1867; K 2 (B. A. A.S. 29, 16,1860 |
| 139 | 1846 | 6 | 20 | 8:30 | $T$ | 4 E 37 N |  | 8 r | 1 |  | Greg. |
| 140 |  | 6 | 21 | 9:30 | N | 9 E 49 N |  | -4, 8 | 15 |  | Wien Ak. Sb. 56,II, 504, 1867 |
| 141 |  | 8 | 24 | 10:30 | 1 | I E 45 N |  | 8 r | 4- |  | Greg. |
| 142 |  | 9 | 25 | 10: | \% | 0 53 W |  | - 5 | ? | S | Phil.Mag. 30,4:31,368 and Ap.4 |
| 143 |  | 10 | 17 | 6: 15 | $T$ | 9 E 50 N |  | -3. | 8 |  | C.R. 46,985, 1858 |
| 144 |  | 10 | 24 | 6:45 | ${ }^{\prime}$ | 17 E 51 M |  |  | 2 | $L$ | Greg. |
| 145 |  | 11 | 9 | 7:30 | N | 5 E 47 N |  | $\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{B}$ | 15 | L, L | C.R. 23,985, 1846 |
| 146 |  | 11 | 11 |  |  | 71 W 42 N |  | M, B | 5 |  | Greg. |
| 147 |  | 11 | 19 | P.M. | W | 1 W 49 \| |  | $>-2$ | 20 | 2 | C.R. 23,986, 1846 |
| 148 | 1847 | 1. | 10 | 5:00 | $T$ | 16 E 48 M |  | $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{M}$ | 10 | $S, M, P$ | Wien Ak.35,384; 37,811,1859 |
| 149 |  | 5 | 26 | - |  | I W 52 W |  | - | 12 |  | Greg. |
| 150 |  | 7 | 13 | 15:45 | T | 13 E 52 H |  | F, 8 | Some | L, M | Pogg. Annalin 72,170, 1847; iron |
| 151 |  | 8 | 10 | - |  | 2 E 49 \% |  | Br | 9 | L | Greg.; Jahr. A. 6. 12, 1901 (possibly Aug. 9) |
| 152 |  | 8 | 11 | 9:53 | 1 | 7 E 53 \% |  | Br | 1.5 | C | Wochen $1,356,1847$ <br> Wien Ak. 37,811, 1859 |
| 153 |  | 10 | 30 | $7:$ | T | 73 E 19 m |  | $8 \mathrm{~B}, 3$ | 15 ? |  |  |
| 154 |  | 11 | 11 | - |  | 83 E 21 \% |  | 8 | 10 |  | Greg. (possibly Mov. $10 ;$ ); *ien Ak. 37, 872,1859 |
| 155 |  | 11 | 20 | - |  | 1 W 52 \| |  | Br | 7 + | Y | Greg. |
| 156 |  | 12 | 8 | 11:11 | $\ldots$ | 6 E 51 M | Geminid | 1 | 2 - | E | Heis '43 Years, etc.' 4, Mo. 84 |
| 157 |  | 12 | 11 | 8:30 | * | $70 \times 45$ * |  | $1{ }^{1 / 4}$ | 1 | 2 | Smithsonian Rep. 324,1857 |
| 158 | 1848 | 1 | 20 | - |  | $74.141 \%$ |  | $F$ | 12 |  | Greg. |
| 159 |  | 3 | 29 | - |  | 17 E 48 M |  | M | 30 | C, 1 | Greg. |
| 160 |  | 9 | 4 | 8:59 | $\cdots$ | 050 m |  | < $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{B}$ | 3. | 2 | B.A.A.S. 18, 14, 1849: <br> C.R. 73,513,1871 |
| 161 | 1849 | 8 | 9 | $9:$ | N | 3 E 40 n | Perseld ? | ? -5 | 7 |  | B.A.A.S. $20,4+38,1851$ |
| 162 |  | 8 | 25 | 10: | N | 2 W 53 x |  | -5 | Long |  | B. A.A.S. 19, 104,1850 |
| 163 |  | 11 | 5 | 6:20 | \% | \| | 53 K | $64+18$ | -3 | 5 | S, P | (B.A.A.S. $19,94+104,+106$, (1850: HC 427: ,Phil.Mag. 36,381, ( 1850 |
| 164 |  | 11 | 1 | - |  | 74 E 18 N |  | 8 | 2 |  | Greg. |
| 165 |  | 11 | 12 | 16: | \% | 12 E 54 |  | F, 8 | 15 |  | Greg: Jahr. A. \& 6. 12, 1901 |
| 166 |  | 11 | 13 | - | - | 15 E 32 ) |  | 8 | 90 |  | Proc.Am.Phi. Soc. 17, 340, 1878: |
| 167 |  | 12 | 24 | 11:40 | 0 | I W 53 \| |  | -5 | 8 |  | Greg. stones |
| 168 |  | 12 | 219 | 5:10 | 0 \% | 1 W 53 |  | -5 | 2.5 |  | B.A.A.S. 19,94, 1950 |


| 10. | DATE |  |  | HOUR | TYPE | $\lambda \phi$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { RADIANT } \\ \alpha \quad \delta \end{gathered}$ | MaX. MABM. | DURATIOM | MOTIOM of Train | 'REFERENCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $Y$ | N | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 169 | 1850 | 1 | 1 | 15:45 | $\cdots$ | $\begin{array}{lc} \hline 0 \\ 6 \in 51 ~ N \end{array}$ |  | M, B | 2. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B.A.A.S. 29,102,1860; C.R. } \\ & 73,513,1871 \end{aligned}$ |
| 170 |  | 2 | 5 | 6:50 | N | 1 E 51 N |  | $\frac{1}{2} M_{0} B$ | 3 + | $z$ | B. A.A.S. $20,6,1851$ |
| 171 |  | 2 | 11 | 10:45 | N | 2 W 55 \# |  | B | 5 |  | Phil. Mag. 36,221 $+249,1850$; |
| 172 |  | 2 | 13 | 9:35 | 1 | \| W 53 | |  | $\frac{1}{2} 1$ | 1.5 |  | Greg. |
| 173 |  | 6 | 5 | 9:15 | $T$ | 5 E 49 M |  | CM B | Sev. |  | A. W. 35, 285, 1853 |
| 174 |  | 7 | 28 | - |  | 8 E 52 N |  | F | 10 |  | Greg. |
| 175 |  | 9 | 30 | 8:54 | N | 71 W 42 N |  | 8 | 75* | C, D, S | B. A, A.S. $24,95,1855$ |
| 176 |  | 11 | 6 | 7:00 | N | 73 E 18 M |  | $B$ | $20^{*}$ | K | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B. A. A.S. } \quad 20,44,\|85\|: 19,238 \text {, } \\ & 1852 \end{aligned}$ |
| 177 | 1851 | 4 | 17 | $8:$ | $N$ | 7 EIN |  | M, ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | 3 |  | Wien Ak.37, 804, 1859: stone |
| 178 |  | 4 | 20 | 10: | $N$ | 80 E 26 M | Lyrid ? |  | 1. |  | B.A.A.S. $20,51,1851$ |
| 179 |  | 5 | 2 | 10: | $N$ | 80 E 13 N | Sp. | $x$ | $1+$ |  | B.A.A.S. $21,228,1852$ |
| 180 |  | 5 | 22 | 10:15 | N | 80 E 13 H |  | 8 r | 2 | $Y$ | B.A.A.S. $21,228,1852$ |
| 181 |  | 6 | 20 | 11:30 | N | 2 W 51 H |  | F | 3.5 | 2 ?? | B.A.A.S. $20,36,1851$ |
| 182 |  | 6 | 22 | 9:30 | T | 6 W 55 M |  | M. B | 10 | E, $\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{D}$ | B.A.A.9. 20,36,1851 |
| 183 |  | 11 | 5 | 5:30 | T | 5 W 40 N |  | B, $\frac{1}{4}$ M | 20 | 1 | B.A.A.S. 21,202,1852; stones |
| 184 | 1852 | 8 | 12 | - |  | 3 W 51 H |  | M | 2 | 2 | Greg. |
| 185 |  | 10 | 5 | 9: 15 | N | 5 E 50 \# |  | 8 r | Long |  | B.A.A.S. $36,417,1867: 21,212,1852$ Cosmos, Dec. 1852 |
| 186 |  | 11 | 24 | - |  | 2 E 49 M |  | F, B | 5 |  | Greg. |
| 187 | 1853 | 8 | 9 | - | - | 17 E 50 W |  | - | 30 |  | Jahr. A. \& G. 12, 1901 |
| 188 |  | 8 | 26 | - |  | 17 E 53 N |  | 8 r | 10 |  | Greg. |
| 189 |  | 9 | 12 | - |  | 5 E 46 W |  | -5 | 6 |  | Greg. |
| 190 |  | 10 | 26 | 14: | $N$ | 17 E 53 \% |  | -4 | 10 | S | Wien Ak. Sb. 37, 809, 1859; |
| 191 | , | 10 | 28 | 3:57 | 0 | 053 N | 261449 | $\frac{1}{2} 5$ | 5 | E | B.A.A.S. $23,414,1854$; H.C. 416 |
| 192 |  | 12 | 11 | 9 | 1 | 12 E 51 N |  | Br | 15 | K | Sirius 34,11,1901 |
| 193 | 1854 | 4 | 1 |  |  | 14 E 52 N |  |  | 3 |  | Wien Ak. 37,812, 1859 |
| 194 |  | 8 | 1 | 12:34 | $N$ | 10 E 52 N | Perseid | Br, B | 83 | D, S, P | Wien Ak.37,809,1859; He is 61; K3 |
| 195 |  | 8 | 1 | 14:04 | $N$ | 10 E 52 N | Perseid | Br | 2.5* | D, S | He is $61 ; \mathrm{K} 4, \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~N} .39,116+118,1855$ |
| 196 |  | 10 | 17 | - |  | 10 E 54 W |  | 8 | Long |  | Jahr. A. \& G. 12, 1901 |
| 197 |  | 11 | 16 | 23: | 0 | 7 E 53 N |  | F, 8 | Long |  | Wien Ak. 37,811, 1859; Greg. |
| 198 | 1855 | 1 | 7 | 4:45 | T | 053 N | Quad. ? | Br | 15 - | D, C, E, P | B. A.A.S. $25,61,1856$ |
| 199 |  | 12 | 10 | - | - | 13 E 56 N |  | - | 15 |  | Jahr. A. \& G. 12, 1901 |
| 200 |  | 12 | 18 | 18:13 | N | 053 M |  | S | 10 | D, R, P | B.A.A.S. 25,61, 1856 |
| 201 | 1856 | 1 | 7 | 4:52 | T | 1 W 51 N | $350+33$ | $<M$ | 18 | D, C | (B.A.A.S. $25,54+60,1856$; <br> (134-140,1857; H.C. 6; K7d <br> (M.N. 16, 161, 1856 |
| 202 |  | 7 | 8 | 6: | D | 87 W 33 K | $157+15$ | $\mathrm{Br}_{3} 8$ | 15 | S | Am. Jour. Sc i. II, 22, 248 • 23,287 <br> H.C. 214 |
| 208 |  | 7 | 30 | 9:48 | $\stackrel{N}{*}$ | 2 E 49 N |  | Br | 4 | $z$ | C.R. $43,257,1856$ |
| 204 |  | 8 | 10 | 9:07 | T | 053 M | Perseld ?? | -3 | 1 |  | B.A.A.S. $27,140,1858$ |
| 205 |  | 10 | 29 | 6:15 | N | 14 E 46 N |  | 12 M | 30 | D, C | Unterh. Astr. 375,1856; Greg. |
| 206 | 1857 | 4 | 11 | 9: | $N$ | 94 N 46 M |  | >M | 10 | $Y$ | Greg. |
| 207 |  | 7 | 20 | - |  | 052 N |  |  | 5 | M | Greg. |
| 208 |  | 11 | 23 | 11:38 | N | 053 m |  | -3 | 5 | $Y$ | B.A.A.S. $27,148,1858$ |
| 209 | 1858 | 7 | 11 | 11:10 | N | II E 54 N |  | 5- | 3. |  | Wochen. 1, 297, 1858 |
| 210 |  | 8 | 9 | 11:59 | 1 | 140 E 36 M | Perseid ?? | 8 | 1 | $z$ | B. A.A.S. $34,60,1865 ; 36,417,1867$ |
| 211 |  | 8 | 12 | - |  | 2 E 49 N |  | -5, 8 | 3 |  | Greg. |
| 212 |  | 8 | 26 | 8:45 | N | 13 E 50 N |  | -4 | 1 | ${ }^{\gamma}$ | Wochen, 2, 221, 1859 |
| 213 |  | 9 | 10 | 10:20 | N | 7 E 50 M |  | $\frac{1}{2} M$ | Long | B, B | Wochen. 1, 415, 1858 |
| 214 |  | 11 | 12 | 14:45 | N | 7 E 51 M |  | 8 | 10 - | K, 2, s | Wochen. 2, 225, 1859 |
| 215 |  | 12 | 5 | 5: | T | 50 E 13 M |  | 8 r | 15 | D, E, P | B. A.A.S $28,86+91,1859$ |
| 216 |  | 8 | 9. | 14:56 | T | 24 E 38 M | Perseid | 0. | $3.7 *$ | D, P | Wien Ak. 56, II, 509, 1867 |
| 217 | 1859 | 8 | 9 | 15:56 | $T$ | 24 E 38 N |  |  | $2.8{ }^{*}$ | $M, P$ | Wien Ak. 37, 810, 1859 |
| 218 |  | 8 | 9 |  |  | 24 E 38 N |  |  | 2.3 |  | Wien Ak. 37, 812, 1859 |
| 219 |  | 8 | 10 | 19:20 | 0 | 74 W 43 N |  | 8 Br | Long | L, 2 | Am. Jour. Sci. II 28,300, 1859 |
| 220 |  | 8 | 17 |  |  | 12 E 51 N |  | 8 r | Long |  | Greg. |
| 221 |  | 8 | 20 | 6:40 | 0 | 118 E 24 N |  | 8 r | 10 | 5 | B. A. A.S. 33, 210,1864 |
| 222 |  | 10 | 18 | 9:37 | N | 24 E 38 N |  | -2 | 6 * |  | Wien Ak. 56 II, 509, 1867 |
| 223 |  | 10 | 27 | 9:32 | N | 24 E 38 N |  | -3 | $5{ }^{*}$ | M, P, S | (Wien Ak.44,II, 227, 1862; Schmidt (227, Wien Ak. 56, II, 509, 1867 |
| 224 |  | 11 | 8 | 17:30 |  | 053 n |  | 3 r | 10 | L, E | B. A.A.S. 29, 8, 1860 |
| 225 |  | 11 | 14 | 21:30 | 0 | 75 W 39 N | $243+30$ | S, 8 | 2 | D, S | Am. Jour.Sc i. 29, 137+298; 30, 186 H.C. 454 |



|  |  | M | 0 | HOUR |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 279 | 1864 | 81 | 10 | 6:41 | 0 | 24 E 38 N | $132+22$ | Br | 16* | L | Wien Ak. 50, II, 455, 1864; H.C. 280 |
| 280 | 18. | 81 | 15 | 12:40 | N | 9 E 47 M |  | F | Sev. | M | B. A. A.S. $34,62,1865$; Astr. Reg. 3 $11,1865$ |
| 281 |  | 8 | 22 | 9:08 | N | 22 E 39 N |  | -4 | 9 * |  | Wien Ak. 56, II, 499, 1867 |
| 282 |  | 9 | 8 | 3: | 0 | 14 E 37 N |  | 8 | 60 |  | B. A. A.S. $36,427,1867$ |
| 283 |  | 9 | 20 | 16:04 | W | 22 E 38 N |  | 8 r | 10 |  | Wien Ak. 56, II, 514, 1867 |
| 284 |  | 9 | 24 | 0:20 | 0 | 4 E 44 N | $334+50$ | $B$ | Sev. | D,R | C.R. 59,573, 605,1864 ; H.C. 360; <br> B. A.A.S. 36,427, 1867 |
| 285 |  | 11 | 11 | 5:36 | T | 2 E 44 N | $55 * 21$ | >M | 5 | E | C.R. 59, 831,1864 : H.C. 434; <br> B. A. A. S. 49, 44, 1880 |
| 286 | 1865 | 2 | 15 | 6: | N | 8 E 51 M | 279460 | - 4 | 15 | S,6 | Wochen. 8,79, 95, 1865; H.C. 60 |
| 287 |  | 2 | 17 | 5:30 | $T$ | 5 E 51 N |  | - 4 | 10 |  | Wochen. 9,367, 1866; B. A. A.S. $34,88,1865$ |
| 288 |  | 7 | 25 | 11:12 | $N$ | 24 E 38 N |  | - 4 | $10^{*}$ |  | Wien Ak. 56, II, 515, 1867 |
| 289 |  | 7 | 27 | 11:09 | N | 24 E 38 N |  | - 4 | $21^{*}$ |  | Wien Ak. 56, II, 515, 1867 |
| 290 |  | 10 | 18 | 14:54 | N | 24 E 38 N |  | 4 | 16 * |  | Wien Ak. 56, II, 516, 1867 |
| 291 |  | 11 | 12 | 16:00 | $N$ | 73 W 41 N | Leonid ? | $\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{B}$ | 1 + | D,C | Am. Jour. Sci. II, 41,58, 1866; KI |
| 292 |  | 11 | 12 | 16:00 | N | 73 W 41 N | Leonid? | Br | Sev, ? | 0 | Am. Jour.Sc l. II, 41,58, 1866; K2 |
| 293 |  | 11 | 12 | 17:07 | $N$ | 053 N | Leonld | 2/3 M | 1. | Y | B. A.A.S. 35,58, $1866: 36,429,1867$ |
| 294 |  | 11 | 13 | 12:48 | $N$ | 4 E 52 N | Leonid ? | Br | 36 * | 0, $p$ | Pop. Sc i. Mon. 79, 191, 1911 etc. ; K6 |
| 295 |  | 12 | 24 | 17:30 | $N$ | 10E54 N |  | $\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{B}$ | 3 | Z | Wochen. 9,8, 1866 |
| 296 | 1866 | 1 | 12 | 14:48 | $N$ | 8 E 45 N |  | 8 r | 6 | D, 8, E | Bol. Met.0ss. Torino Jan. 1866 |
| 297 |  | 6 | 9 | 4:55 | 0 | 14 E 49 N | $170+55$ | 8 | $30+$ | L, P | Wien Ak. 54, II, 200, 1866; H.C. 189; stones |
| 298 |  | 6 | 19 | 23:00 | 0 | 2 E 47 N | $200+54$ | $\frac{1}{2} M_{7} B$ | 15 | Y | B. A. A.S. $35,104+128,1866$; 36,430, 1867; H.C. 202 |
| 299 |  | 7 | 17 | 9:20 | T | 10 E 60 N |  | 1/3 M | 12 | D, S, P | ```Backhouse letter; B.A.A.S. 36, 430, 1867``` |
| 300 |  | 8 | 9 | 11:48 | N | 24 E 38 N |  | - 4 | $10.1 *$ |  | Wien Ak. 56, II, 517, 1867 |
| 301 |  | 10 | 18 | 15: | N | 1 ESI N | Orlonid | F | 5 | ${ }^{C}$ | B.A.A.S. $36,294+382,1867$ |
| 302 |  | 11 | 12 | 12:30 | N | 3 W 51 N | Leonld? | F | 45 | M, L, S | B. A. A.S. 42,370, 1873 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 303 \\ & 304 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14: 14 \\ \text { D. } m_{0} \end{gathered}$ | $n^{\prime \prime}$ ? | $75^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ E 53 M | Leonid | - | 15 5 | ${ }_{0}$ | Obs. 20. 273. 1897: K 7 <br> Am. Jour. Sc i. TT, 43, 276, 1867 (twllight?) $\mathrm{k8d}$ |
| 305 |  | 11 | 13 | - | N | 13 E 53 N | Leonid ?? | Br | Sev. | M | Sirlus 18,225-9,1890 |
| 306 |  | 11 | 13 | 12:10 | N | 052 N |  | 8 r | 12 |  | B.A.A.S. 36,306, 1867 |
| 307 |  | 11 | 13 | - | N | 052 N |  | ? | 0.6 * | C, P | B.A.A.S. $36,405,1867$ |
| 308 |  | 11 | 13 | - | N | 052 N |  | $?$ | 0.7 * | S, P | B.A.A.S. $36,405,1867$ |
| 309 |  | 11 | 13 | 12:33 | N | 4 W 56 K | Leonid | -3 | 5 | D,C | B.A.A.S. $36,306,1867 ;$ K 8 |
| 310 |  | 11 | 13 | 12:40 | N | 4 M 56 | Leonid | -5 | 8.2 | D,C | B. A.A.S. $36,306+372,1867$; <br> Phil. Mag. 33, 83, 1867; K9 |
| 311 |  | 11 | 13 | 13:07 | 7 | $052 \%$ | Leonid | 8 r | $10^{*}$ | D, C | B. A. A.S. $36,308,1867$ : K 10 |
| 312 |  | 11 | 13 | 13:08 | 8 N | 3 W 52 N | Leonid | -4 | 14 | D, S, P | B. A.A.S. 36,308,313 and Ap. 1867: K II |
| 313 |  | 11 | 13 | 13:12 | N | $2 \times 51$ | Leonid | 8 r | 6 | D, L | ```Denning letter; B.A.A.S.36,310, 1 8 6 7``` |
| 314 |  | 11 | 13 | 13:20 | 0 | 051 |  | -5 | 2 |  | B.A.A.S. $36,310,1867$ |
| 315 |  | 11 | 18 | 13:28 | 8 | 3 W 51 | Leonid ?? | ? $1 / 3 \mathrm{M}$ | $13+$ | C, 8 | B.A.A.S. 36,310,1867; Met. Mag. $1,93,1866$ |
| 316 |  | 11 | 13 | 13:30 | 0 | 24 E 38 |  | -4 | 2 |  | Wien Ak. 56, II, 499, 1857 |
| 317 |  | 11 | 13 | 13:45 | 5 | 051 | Leonid | Br | 3 | Y | B. A. A.S. 36,313, 1867 |
| 318a |  | 11 | 13 | 13:24 | 4 | 052 |  | -1? | ? 4+ | 8 | B. A.A.S. 36, 310,1867 same |
| 318b |  | 11 | 13 | 13:00 | 0 | 6 W 53 | Leonid ? | Br | 11 | C, R | Met. Mag. 1,109,1866) meteor? |
| 319 |  | 11 | 13 | 13:50 | 0 | 2 W 51 | Leonld | Br | $9 *$ | D, C | B. A.A.S. 36,406, 1867; K 12 |
| 320 |  | 11 | 13 | 14:10 | 0 | I E 51 | Leonid | -4 | 4 | M, B | B. A. A.S. 36,312, 1867 |
| 321 |  | 11 | 13 | 14: 11 | 1 | 73 W 42 | Leonid | 8 r | 9 | D,C,E | Am. Jour. Sci.II, 43, 86, 1867; K 3 |
| 322a |  | 11 | 13 | 14:12 | 2 | I W 53 | Leonid | ? | 3 | 0 | B. A. A.S. $36,313,1867$ ) same |
| 322b |  | 11 | 13 | 14:12 | 2 | I E 51 | Leonid | -2 | 6 | D, C, P | B. A.A.S. $36,313,1867$ ) meteor ?? |
| 323a |  | 11 | 13 | 14:14 | 4 | 4 W 56 | Leonid | -4 | 5.8 | 0, 1 | B.A.A.S. 36,314, 1867; K 14) same |
| 323b |  | 11 | 13 | 14:15 | 5 | 3 W 56 | Leonid | 8 r | 1 | $\gamma$ | B.A.A.S. $36,314,1867$ )meteor? |
| 324a |  | 11 | 13 | 14:16 | 6 N | 051 | Leonid | 8,-3 | 1.5 | $\gamma$ | B. A.A.S. $36,314,1867$; Gr. Obs 1866 , 298 Mo. 54 same |
| 324b |  | 11 | 13 | 14:16 | 6 | 2 N 52 | Leonid | -5 | 2 |  | B. A, A.S. 36,404, 1867 meteor? |
| 325a |  | 11 |  | 14:20 | 0 | IE51 | Leonid | -5 | 2.8 | DP, Y | B.A.A.S. 36,314, 1867 ) same |
| 325b |  | 11 | 13 | 14:21 | 1 |  | Leonid | -3 | 2 |  | B.A.A.S. 36,377, 1867 ) meteor? |
| 326 |  | 11 | 13 | 14:40 | 0. | 3 W 56 | Leonld | -5 | 15 | D, P | B. A. A.S. 36,373, 1867;etc. , K 16 |


| NO. | DATE |  |  | HOUR T | TYPE | $\lambda \phi$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { RADIANT } \\ \alpha \quad \delta \end{gathered}$ | MAX. MAGM. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DUR- } \\ & \text { ATION } \end{aligned}$ | MOTIOM OF TRAIM | REFERENCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $Y$ | M D | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 00 |  |  |  |  |
| 327 |  | 1113 |  | 15:06 | $N$ | 051 N | Leonid ? ?? | Br | Many | S | B. A.A.S. $36,319+407,1867$ |
| 328 |  | 1113 | 3 | 15:47 | $N$ | 2 W 55 N | Leonid |  | 8 |  | Denning: B. A. A.S. 36,370, 1867 ? |
| 329 |  | 1113 |  | 16:18 | N | 24 E 38 N | Leonid | Br | $51+$ | L,C | Wien Ak. 54, II, 775,779, 1866; $56, \text { II, } 502,1867$ |
| 330 |  | 1113 | 3 | 16:31 | $N$ | 24 E 38 N |  | -3 | 7 |  | Wien Ak. 56, II, 499, 1867 |
| 331 |  | 1113 | 3 | 16:56 | $N$ | 24 E 38 N |  | -4 | 13 |  | Wien Ak. 56, II, 499, 1867 |
| 332 |  | 1113 | 3 | 17:05 | N | 24 E 38 N |  | -3 | 7 |  | Wien Ak. 56, II, 499, 1867 |
| 333 |  | 11 l | 3 | 18:40 | N | I W 54 N | Sp. | 1 | 1.5 |  | B. A.A.S. 36,318, 1867 |
| 334 | 1867 | 6 I | 1 | 8:11 | $T$ | 2 E 46 H | $86+44$ | <M | 60 * | D,E, P, S | B. A.A.S. $36,378,1867$; H.C. 195 ; $\text { C.R. } 64,1304: \text { K } 9 d$ |
| 335 |  | 7 | 4 | 11:58 | N | 24 E 38 M |  | -4 | 5 * |  | Wien Ak. 56, II, 520, 1867 |
| 336 |  | 81 | 10 | 14:04 | N | II E 45 N | Sp ? | -3 | 3 | M, P | B. A.A.S. 37,348, 1868 Met. Ital. Sup. 1867 |
| 337 |  | 8 | 10 | 14:23 | $N$ | 24 E 38 N |  | -2 | 4** |  | Wien Ak. 56, II, 521, 1867 |
| 338 |  | 8 | 10 | 14:51 | N | 24 E 3 \& N |  | -3 | $10^{*}$ |  | Wien Ak. 56, II, 521, 1867 |
| 339 |  | 8 | 11 | - | - | 15 E 50 N |  | - | 5 |  | Jahr. A. \& G. 12, 1901 |
| 340 |  | 10 | 3 | 10:30 | $N$ | 7 E 51 N |  | 8 r | 8 | S, 8 | Wochen. 10,373, 1867 |
| 341 |  | 11 | 13 | 13:57 | $N$ | 72 N 44 N | Leonid | -5 | 6 | C | Am. Jour. Sci. 2, $45,255,1868$; K4 |
| 342 |  | 11 | 13 | 14:51 | N | 92 W 42 N | Leonid |  | 4 | 0 | Ame Jour. Sci. 2, $45,227,1868$; K5 |
| 343 |  |  | 13 | 14:56 | $N$ | 92 W 42 N | Leonld |  | 3 | 0 | Am. Jour. Scl. 2,45, 231, 1868; P. A. 44, 562, 1936; K6 |
| 344 |  |  | 13 | 15:03 | $N$ | 92 W 42 K | Leonid? |  | 2 | D | Am. Jour. Sci. 2, 45, 231, 1868 ; P. A. 44,562, 1936; K7 |
| 345 |  |  | 13 | 15:08 | N | 92 W 42 N | Leonid ?? |  | $?$ | D, C | Am. Jour. Sci. 2, 45, 231, 1868 ; <br> P.A. 44,562, 1936; K8 |
| 346 |  |  | 13 | 16:00 | $N$ | 73 W 41 N |  |  | 4 | D | Am. Jour. Sci. 2, 45, 81, 1868; K 10 |
| 347 |  |  | 13 | 16:01 | $N$ | 92 W 42 N | Leonid ?? |  | ? | D | Am. Jour. Sc i. 2, 45, 231, 1868 ; <br> P.A. 44,562, 1936; K 9 |
| 348 |  |  | 13 | 16:07 | $N$ | 77 W 39 N | Leonid ? |  | 5 | D, L | U.S.Naval Observatory; K II |
| 349 |  | 11 | 13 | 16:18 | $N$ | 74 W 43 N | Leonid |  | 1 | B, Y | Proc. B.M.S. 4, 67, 1867 |
| 350 |  |  | 13 | 16:30 | N | 75 W 40 N | Leonld |  | $3+$ | C | Proc. Am. Phil. Soc. 10, 357 |
| 351 |  |  | 13 | 17:01 | $N$ | 74 W 43 N | Leonid? | F | 1 | Y | Proc. B.M.S. 4, 67, 1868 |
| 352 |  | 11 | 13 | 17:01 | N | 74 W 43 N | Leonid ? | F | 1 | Y | Proc. B.M.S. 4, 67, 1868 |
| 353 |  |  | 13 | 17:07 | N | 74.143 N | Leonid? | F | 1.1 | Y | Proc. B.M.S. 4, 67, 1868 |
| 354 355 |  |  | 13 | 17:41 | N | $74 . \begin{aligned} & \text { W } \\ & \\ & \text { N }\end{aligned}$ | Leonld? | F | 1.1 | $Y$ | Proc. B.M.S. 4, 67, 1868 |
| 355 |  |  | 14 |  | $N$ | ? 4 E51 M |  | - | 1 |  | Jahr. A. \& G. 12, 1901 |
| 356 |  | 11 |  | - | N | 61 W 16 N |  | - | Some |  | Jahr. A. \& G. 12, 1901 |
| 357 |  | 12 | 31 | 19:30 | T | \| W 51 N | $(348+33)$ ? | P -4 | 40 | 0,5 | B.A.A.S. 37, $356-390$, 1868; H.C. 517 ; K 17 |
| 358 | 1868 | 6 | 5 | 23:40 | - | 97 W 39 N | $69+24$ | >M, $B$ | 17 | L | Am. Jour. Scl. 2, 46, 429, 1868 |
| 359 |  | 6 | 14 | 10:30 |  | 8 E 52 N |  | F | $3+$ | Y | Wochen. 11, 227, 1868 |
| 360 |  | 7 | 12 | 10:15 |  | 8 E 52 N |  | -4 | 2 | Y | Wochen. 11, 237, 1868 |
| 361 |  | 8 | 10 | 12:25 | 5 | 2 W 53 N | Perseid | -4 | 0.5 | $D, S, R, P$ | B. A. A.S. 37,379, 1868: K 18 |
| 362 |  | 9 | 5 | 8:15 | 5 N | 8 E 47 M | $14-2$ | -2 | $2+$ | $Y$ | C.R. 69, 326, 1869; H.C. 332 b |
| 363 |  | 9 | 8 | 9:30 | 0 | 8 E 52 K |  | - | $2+$ | M | Wochen. 11, 374, 1868 |
| 364 |  | 11 | 13 | 11:17 | - | 13 E 52 n |  | Pr | 2 |  | Jahr. A. \& 6. 12, 1901 |
| 365a |  | 11 | 13 | 11:17 | 7 | 75 W 40 N | Leonid | 8 r | 10 | $s$ | B. A. A.S. 38,291, 1869 ) |
| 365 b |  | 11 | 13 | 11:25 | 5 N | $74 \times 41 \mathrm{~N}$ | Leonld ? | - 5 | 8 | c, P | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Am. Jour. Sc 1. } 2,47,410, \text { Aph.) same } \\ & \text { Jour. } 26,107,1907 \end{aligned}$ |
| 366 |  | 11 | 13 | 11:34 | 4 N | 75 W 40 N | Leonid | 8 Br | 7 | S | B. A. A. S. 38, 291, 1869 |
| 367 |  | 11 | 13 | 12:20 | 0 | 4 W 40 N |  | x | Sev. | K | A. N. 72,354, 1868 |
| 368 |  | 11 | 13 | 12:25 | 5 . N | 77 W 391 | Leonid ? | - -3 | 310 | Y, E | 'Mov.Met. 1868', Eastman |
| 369 |  | 11 | 13 | 12:30 | 0 | 77 W 39 N | Leonld ?? | ? ${ }^{-4}$ | 40 | R | 'Mov.Met. 1868', Eastman |
| 370 |  |  | 13 | 13:16 | 6 N | 74 W 411 | Leonid | $>-3$ | 344 | D,S,P | Am. Jour. Sc i. 2, 47, $121+408$, 1869; X 12 |
| 371 |  | 11 |  | 13:53 | 3 | 74 W 411 | Leonld | 8 r | 0.5 * | S | Am. Jour. Sci. 2, 47,410,1869 |
| 372 |  | 11 |  | 14:33 | 3 N | 4 W 40 N | Leonid | 8 r | 10 | R | B. A.A.S. $37,244,1868$; <br> A. N. $72,353,1868$ |
| 373 |  | 11 | 13 | 14:45 | 5 N | $74 \times 41$ | Leonld ? | ? -5 | 510 | M | Am. Jour. Sci. 2,47,410, 1869 |
| 374 |  | 11 | 113 | 14:46 | N | 052 | leonid | - 3 | $31+$ |  | Gr. Obs. 1868, 98 No. 4 |
| 375 a |  | 11 | $1 \begin{array}{ll}13 \\ 1\end{array}$ | 14:48 | 48 N | 74 W 41 | Leonid ? | ? Br | 3 | D, B | Am. Jour. Sci. 2,47,411, 1869) |
| 375b |  |  |  | 15:00 | 00 N | 76 W 42 | Leonld | - | Sev. | 0 | Am. Jour. Sci. $2,47,125,1869$ ) Possibly same meteor; K 13 |
| 376 |  |  |  | 15:50 | 50 N | 12 E 42 | Leonid | F | 5 | L,0,S | Nochen. 12,335, 1869; Proc. $\text { B.M.S. } 4,246,1868$ |
| 377 |  | 11 | 113 | 15:52 | 52 N | 73 W 43 | Leonid | 8 r | 3 | D | Am. Jour. Sc i. 2,47,411, 1869; K 14 |


| 10. | DATE |  |  | HOUR | TYPE | $\lambda$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { RADIAMT } \\ \alpha \quad \delta \end{gathered}$ | max. MAGN. | DUR- <br> ATIOM | MOTIOM of train | REFEREMCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $Y$ | N | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc 0$ |  |  |  |  |
| 378 | 1868 | 11 | 13 | 16:16 | N | 12 E 42 N | Leonid ? | F | Long | $Y$ Y | Proc. B.M.S. 4, 247, 1868 |
| 379 |  | 11 | 13 | 16:30 | N | 75 W 40 N | Leonid | - | Sev. |  | B. A.A.S. 38,291, 1869 |
| 380 |  | 11 | 13 | 16:31 | N | 75 W 40 N | Leonld | - | Sev. |  | B. A.A.S. 38,291, 1869 |
| 381 |  | 11 | 13 | 16:51 | $N$ | 12 E 42 N | Leonid | F | 10 | C | Wochen. 12,335, 1869 |
| 382 |  | 11 | 18 | 17:06 | $N$ | 73 W 41 N | leonld | Br | 6 | D | Am. Jour.Sci. 2, 47, 412, 1869; K 15 |
| 383 |  | 11 | 13 | 17:25 | N | 36 W 39 N | Leonid? | - | 10 | D | Am. Jour.Sc i. 2, 47, 125, 1869; K 16 |
| 384 |  | 11 | 13 | 17:30 | N | 71 W 42 N | Leonid | 8 r | 7 | D,B,S | Am.Jour.Sc i. 2, 47,413,1869; K 17 |
| 385 |  | 11 | 14 | 12:20 | 1 | 4 W 40 N |  | X | Sev. |  | B. A A. S. 38,294, 1869 |
| 386 |  | 11 | 14 | 13:30 | N | $39 \mathrm{~W} / 3 \mathrm{~S}$ | Leonid? | 8 | 15 | $2 ?$ | B. A. A. S. $38,246,1869$ |
| 387 |  | 12 | 1 | 7:14 | N | 8 E 52 N |  | - | Long | M | Wochen. 11,408, 1868 |
| 388 | 1869 | 5 | 5 | 6:32 | 0 | 8 E 49 N |  | - | Long |  | Met. Mag. 4, 151, 1869; Stone |
| 389 |  | 6 | 7 | 9:07 | $T$ | 8 E 52 H | $250+35$ | Br | 23 | D | Wochen. 12,191 \& 198, 1869; H.C. 190; Stone Meteorite? |
| 390 |  | 6 | 17 | 13:12 | $N$ | 5 E 43 H |  | $F$ | 18 | $Y$ | L'Annee Sci. 14, 15, 1869 |
| 391 |  | 8 | 24 | 7:25 | T | 78 W 41 H |  | Br | 30 | D, R, P | B. A.A.S. 39, 89, 1870; M. W.R. Sept. 1907; K IOd \& II d |
| 392 |  | 9 | 7 | 9:50 | N | 6 E 46 N |  | $8 \mathrm{r}, 8$ | 15 + | Y | Wochen. 12,328, 1869 |
| 393 |  | 11 | 6 | 6:50 | N | 4 W 51 N | $62+37$ | $\frac{1}{2} M, B$ | 50 | D, S, P | B. A. A.S.39, 79, 1870 : Kat.I, 58-267, 1869 : K19 MN 309, 1869:Proc.B.M.S.5, 139, 1870 |
| 394 |  | 11 | 13 | 13:15 | $N$ | 87 W 30 N |  | F, B | 50 | D,C | Am. Jour. Sc i. II 49, 245, 1870; K18 |
| 395 |  | 11 | 13 | 14:33 | W | 120 W 34 N | Leopld? |  | 8.5 | D, R | M. N. 30, 67, 1870; Amo Jour. Sci. 2, $49,245,1870$ |
| 396 |  | 11 | 13 | 15:32 | $N$ | 32 E 32 N | Leonid | Br. ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | 3 + | 2 | M. N. 30, 31, 1869 |
| 397 |  | 11 | 13 | 15:40 | N | 32 E 32 N |  |  | 5 + | 0, 5 | MoN. 30,31, 1869 |
| 398 |  | 11 | 14 | 14:? | N | 24 E 38 N | Leonid? | F | 15 | L | Wochen. 13, 101, 1870 |
| 399 |  | 12 | 12 | - | - | 4 W 56 H |  | - | Long |  | Jahr. A. \& G. 12, 1901 |
| 400 | 1870 | 2 | 26 | 9:43 | $N$ | 2 E 49 N |  | $-4,8$ |  |  | Wochen. 13, 128, 1870 |
| 401 |  | 8 | 6 | 10:05 | N | 5 W 55 N | Sp. |  | 23 | D, P | Backhouse letter |
| 402 |  | 8 | 15 | 9:00 | N | 5 W 55 W | $312-12$ | F, 8 | 20 | D, P | Backhouse letter; Nat. 2,357, 1870; H.C. 296; K 12 d |
| 403 |  | 9 | 27 | 6:08 | T | 10 E 54 m | $152+47$ | B | 20 | S,L | A.N. 77,321,1871; H.C. 370; meteorite ? |
| 404 |  | 11 | 3 | 15:. | $N$ | 78 E 27 N |  | F | 5 | B,E,S | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B. A. A.S. } 40,32,1871 \text {, Nat. 3, } \\ & 209,1871 \end{aligned}$ |
| 405 |  | 11 | 13 | 10:06 | N | 5 E 46 N |  | Br, 8 | 4 + | 2 ? | C.R. $73,154,1871$ |
| 406 |  | 11 | 14 | - | - | 12 E 52 N |  | - | 10 |  | Jahr. A. \& G. 12, 1901 |
| 407 |  | 12 | 12 | 5:30 | $T$ | 14 E 36 N | $106+32$ | Br | 35 | D, E | B. A.A.S. 43,296, 1874; Denning |
| 408 | 1871 | 2 | 13 | 9:04 | N | $3{ }^{\text {W } 51 ~ M ~}$ | 118-3 | M, B | 10 | P, C | B.A.A.S. $40,32,1871$; H.C. 57; Bruun 47, 41, 1908 |
| 409 |  | 2 | 27 | 10:58 | $N$ | 2 E 49 N |  | - | 60 + |  | Wochen. 14, 239, 1871 |
| 410 |  | 3 | 17 | 10:49 | H | $0 \quad 46 \mathrm{H}$ | $345+50$ | B | 60 | $2 ?, 5, E$ | C.R. $72,328+383+1871$; $\text { H.C. } 97$ |
| 411 |  | 4 | 22 | 10:37 | N | 9 E 45 M | $231-7$ | - 3 | 3.5 |  | B. A. A.S. $40,36,1871$; H.C. 137 |
| 412 |  | 4 | 30 | 14:30 | N | 79 W 9 N |  | 8 r | 2 | $Y$ | Mat. 4, 149, 1871 |
| 413 |  | 7 | 13 | 10:06 | N | 3 E 46 N |  | $\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{B}$ | 5. | Y | C.R. $73,154,1871$ |
| 414 |  | 8 | 10 | 12:31 | N | I W 52 \| | Perseld |  | 30 | S | B.A.A.S. 41,83,1871; $43,278+$ 284; 1874; Denning |
| 415 |  | 9 | 6 | 19:07 | T | 10 E 45 N |  | -5,8 | 2 | Y | Pub. Brera 7,17 |
| 416 |  | 9 | 8 | 11:13 | N | 30 E 31 H |  | $\mathrm{Br}, 8$ | 3.3 | C | Am. Jour. Scl. III 2,474, 1873 |
| 417 |  | 9 | 14 | 7:32 | N | 18 E 48 N |  | F | 2 |  | Wochen. 14,411, 1871 |
| 418 |  | 11 | 14 | 16:00 | N | 7 E 45 H | Sp. ? | - 3 | 4 | S | Pub. Brera 7, 103 |
| 419 |  | 12 | 6 | 8:15 | N | I W 53 N | Sp. | -4, B | 3 | Y | B. A.A.S. 41, 113, 1872: H.C. 485 |
| 420 |  | 12 | 8 | 11:41 | $N$ | II E 44 N | Sp. | $>1 ; 8$ | $8{ }^{\circ}$ |  | Pub. Brera 7, 108 (Mo. 8938) |
| 421 |  | - | 22 | 8:15 | N | 99 W 20 N |  | 8 | 10 | Y | Am. Jour. Sci. III 3,235,1872 |
| 422 | 1872 | 5 | 15 | 14:45 | N | 100 W 15 N |  | $8 \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{B}$ | 15. | K, R | B. A. A.S. $43,368,1873$ |
| 423 |  | 8 | 9 | 9:53 | N | 13 E 44 N | Perseid | M | 2 |  | Pub. Brera 7,53 (Mo. 4346) |
| 424 |  | , | 11 | 10:10 | N | 13 E 44 N |  |  | 60 | 2 9, K | Pub. Brera 7,69 |
| 425 |  | 8 | 30 | 17.15 | T | 12 E 42 N | $90-14 ?$ | Br | 15 | S | B.A.A.S. 44, 250, 1875 ; Wochen. 15, 299, 1872; stones |
| 426 |  | 10 | 24 | - | - | 34 E 47 N |  | - | Long |  | Jahr. A. \& 6. 12, 1901 |
| 427 |  | 11 | 27 | 6: | T | 22 E 39 M | Bielld? | 8 r | $8+$ | $Y$ | Met. Mag. 7, 2, 1872 |
| 428 |  | 11 | 27 | 6:35 | N | 9 E 45 K | Blelld ? | - | 21 | L,C | B. A.A.S. 42,390, 1873 |
| 429 |  | 11 | 27 | 7:30 | N | 2 E 44 N |  | $B$ | 15 |  | C. R. 75, 1553, 1872 |
| 430 |  | 11 | 27 | 7:55 | N | I W 53 M | Blelid | +2 | 2 |  | Am. Jour. Sci. III 5, 152, 1873 |
| 431 |  | 11 |  |  |  | 8 E 52 M |  |  | 10 |  | Jahr. A. \& G. 12, 1901 |
| 432 |  |  | 27 |  |  | 8 E 52 N |  |  | 7 |  | Jahr. A. \& G. 12, 1901 |


| H0. | DATE |  |  | HOUR | TYPE | $\lambda \phi$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { RADI ANT } \\ \alpha \quad \delta \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Max. MAGM. | DUR- <br> ATIOM | MOTION OF TRAIM | REFERENCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $Y$ | M | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| 433 |  | 1127 | 27 | 11:22 | N | 58 E 20 S | Bielld ? | - | 4 | M | B. A.A.S. 42,392, 1873; Mat. 7, 232, 1873 |
| 434 |  | 112 | 27 | 11:44 | $N$ | 58 E 20 S | Bielid | - | $1+$ |  | B. A.A.S. $42,392,1873$; Mat. 7,232, 1873 |
| 435 |  | 12 | 12 | 4:53 | $T$ | 87 W 39 H |  | $\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{B}$ | Sev. | E | Am, Jour. Scl. III, 5,318, 1873 |
| 436 | 1873 | 5 | 15 | 8:05 | T | 53 W 488 N |  | $\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{B}$ | 30 | K | Am. Jour. Sci. III, 6, 154, 1873 |
| 437 |  | 6 | 17 | 8:46 | $T$ | 17 E 50 N | 249-20 |  | 45 | S | B.A.A.S. 43, 270, 1874: H.C. 200 |
| 438 |  | 6 | - | 4:30 | 0 | 12 E 54 N |  |  | 270 | M, ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | Sirius 34; 29,56,1901; K 13 |
| 439 |  | 9 | 20 | 10:57 | $N$ | 3 E 46 N |  | Br, 8 | 10 | D | C.R. 77, 678, 1873 |
| 440 |  | 9 | 22 | 17:10 | $T$ | 72 E 30 N | . $320+20$ | $>-1$ | 45 | H,S | B. A. A.S. 44, 237, 1875: Farringt on; A.R. 12, 69, 1874; stones |
| 441 |  | 10 | 13 | 9:41 | $N$ | 16 E 48 N |  | X | $25+$ | $Y$ | A.N. 82,289, 1873 ; B.A.A.S. 43, 345,1874 |
| 442 | 1874 | 810 | 10 | $\square$ | $N$ | 18 E 48 N |  | - 5 | 2.6 |  | A.N. 84, 357, 1874; date ? |
| 443 |  | 9 | 1 | 9:00 | $N$ | 5 W 50 N |  | 8 r | 3 | Y, $p$ | B.A.A.S. $44,206,1875$ |
| 444 |  | 9 | 2 | 10:53 | $N$ | 1 W 53 N |  | 2/3 M | 2 | K | B.A.A.S. 46,102,1877 |
| 445 |  | 10 | 11 | 8:55 | $N$ | 053 N |  | Br | 6 | Y, P | B.A.A.S. 44, 202, 1875; Met. Mag. 9, 144, 1874: Nat. 10,482, 1874 |
| 446 |  | 10 | 17 | 17:10 | $N$ | 12 E 48 H |  | X | 3 | z,k | Sirius 34, 14, 1901 |
| 447 |  | 12 | 17 | 10:00 | N | 2 E 49 \\| |  | Br | $1+$ | $Y$ | Nat. $11,154,1874$ |
| 448 | 1875 | 2 | 10 | 6:00 | T | 2 E 46 N | $53+50$ | Br | 20 | S | C.R. $80,444+541+575+683$, 1875; H.C. 49; meteorite |
| 449 | ; | 8 | 10 | 13:24 | N | 2 W 55 N | Perseid | - 5 | 4.5 | D, L | Backhouse letter |
| 450 |  | 9 | 11 | 11:00 | N | 3 W 56 H |  | 8 r | 3.5 | S,R | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B. A.A.S. } 45,124,1876 \text {, Mat. } 12,460 \text {, } \\ & 1875 \end{aligned}$ |
| 451 |  | 10 | 24 | - | - | 9 E 55 N |  | - | 45 |  | Jahr. A. \& G. 12, 1901 |
| 452 |  |  | 27 | 9:20 | N, | 95 W 40 N | $355+52$ | $B$ | 15 | L | B.A.A.S. 44, 170,$1875 ; 104+150$, 1876; H.C. 512 |
| 453 | 1876 | 6 | 27 | 23:27 | D | 15 E 60 N | $180+44$ | B | 2 | $Y$ | Wochen. $24,270,1881$; Mat. 16, 238, 1877; meteorite |
| 454 |  | 7 | 8 | 8:45 | $N$ | 85 W 42 H | $305+7$ | $\frac{4}{4} \mathrm{M}_{8} \mathrm{~B}$ | 40 | $Y$ ? | Proc.Am.Phil.Soc. 16,590, 1877; H.C. 215, Rep. Signal off. U,S.A. 1877, P. 287 |
| 455 |  | 7 | 17 | 8:30 | T | 17 E 48 N | $260+45$ | - 4 | 12 | S | Wochen. 19,364, 1876: K 14 d ; H.C. 226 |
| 456 |  | 8 | 10 | 9:54 | $\ldots$ | $2 \text { W } 52 \text { N }$ | Persold | - 3 | 5.5 | 0 | B.A.A.S. 45, 132,1876; Denning |
| 457 |  | 8 | 11 | 11:24 | ${ }^{N}$ | O 52 M | Perseld | >-4 | 1 | Y, S | B. A.A.S. 45, 134, 1876 , A.R. 14, 216, 1876 |
| 458 |  | 8 | 12 | 9: 04 | N | 8 E 52 M | Perseld | F | Many | $Y$ | Wochen. 19,341, 1876 |
| 459 |  | 9 | 19 | 10:14 | N | 2 W 52 N | $14+6$ | - 2 | 3 | D | B.A.A.S. 46,108,1877 |
| 460 |  | 9 | 24 | 6:30 | $T$ | $\bigcirc 51 \mathrm{M}$ | $285+35$ | - 5 | 16 | D, C, P | B.A.A.S. 46, 138,1877 ; H.C. 363 |
| 461 |  | 10 | 18 | 14:00 | N | 72 W 42 N | Orionld ? | ? $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{M}$ | $15+$ | C | B.A.A.S. $46,110,1877$ |
| 462 |  | 10 | 22 | 11:30 | N | 2 W 53 N | Sp | 1/3M | $8 \pm$ | $Y$ | B.A.A.S. 46, 110,1877 |
| 463 |  | 11 | 17 | 4:24 | N | 162 W 64 M |  | M | 82 | D,S | Rep. Signal Off. U.S.A. 86, 1877 |
| 464 |  | 12 | 11 | 5:45 | $T$ | 52 E 14 N |  | X | $12 \pm$ | S | Met.Mag. $11,10,1876$ |
| 465 | 1877 | 3 | 16 | 8: | $\cdots$ | 26 E 34 S |  | $<M_{1} B$ | $1-$ | A | B. A.A.S. $46,118+193,1877$ |
| 466 |  | 4 | 29 | 8:37 | N | 20 E 66 | $146 \pm 0$ | S, 8 | 100 | D,S,Y | A. N. 89, 279, 1877; H.C. 144; K20; Wochen. 23,4, 1880; 24, 301, 1881; (meteorite 7 ?) |
| 467 |  | 9 | 11 | 8:07 | 7 | 7 E 47 N |  | 8 | 11 | C | B. A. A.S. $47,280,1878$ |
| 468 |  | 9 | 28 | 7:45 | N | 9 E 55 |  | M + | 75 | D, S, P | B.A.A.S. 47,280, 1878; Kohl; K15d |
| 469 |  | 10 | 2 | 8:58 | 8 N | $2 \times 52$ | $227+52$ | >-4 | 3 | D | B. A.A.S. 47,280,1878; Denning; Nat. $16,550,1877$ |
| 470 |  | 10 | 19 | 6: 13 | T | 6 W 53 | $20+15$ | Br | 10 | D,S, P | B. A. A.S. $47,288,1878 ;$ H.C. 400 |
| 471 |  | 11 | 20 | P. Mo | - | 79 W 37 |  | $\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{B}$ | Long |  | MoN. 38, 229,1878 |
| 472 |  | 11 | 27 | 6: | $T$ | 75 E 21 |  | F,B | 6 + | $B$ | Dle Maturf! 12,66, 1879 |
| 473 | 1878 | 1 | 24 | 7:06 | 6 | 96 W 32 |  | B | ? | D, L | M. W. R. 6, 12, 1878 |
| 474 |  | 3 | 24 | 22:22 | 2 D | 3 W 56 | 328-15 | ( Br | 15 | C | B.A.A.S. $47,18+32+291+302$, 1878; Н.C. 106 |
| 475 |  | 5 | 31 | 14:50 | 0 | 83 W 42 |  | Br | 3 - |  | M. W. R. 6. 11.1878 <br> Rep. Signal Off.U.S.A. 555, 1879 |
| 476 |  | 7 | 5 | 15:00 | 0 | 86 W 40 |  | F | 1 | $y$ | Rep. Signal Off.U.S.A. 555, 1879 B. A.A.S. $47,302,1878$; H.C. 249 |
| 477 |  | 7 | 29 | 10:20 | 0 N | 3 W 55 | $306+28$ | $8<\mathrm{M}$ | $1$ | $Y$ | B. A.A.S. 47,302, 1878; H.C. 249 <br> Wochen. 21, 295, 1878 |
| 478 |  | 8 | 7 | 10:53 | ${ }^{N}$ | 8 E 52 |  | $-5$ | Long | ${ }_{0 .}^{k}$ | Wochen. 21,295, 1878 <br> MoM.R.6, 13, 1874; Sept. 1907; K 19 |
| 479 |  |  | 23 | $10: 50$ $9: 24$ | ${ }^{4}$ N | 91 W 42 8 E 52 |  | Br | ? Long | $D, K$ | Wochen. 21, 297, 1878 |
| 480 481 |  | 8 | 24 | $9: 24$ $9: 10$ | ${ }^{4} \mathrm{O}^{\text {N }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \text { E } 52 \\ & 9 \text { E } 50 \end{aligned}$ |  | $F$ | Long | $\stackrel{Y}{2, K}$ | Wochen. $21,297,1878$ Wochen. 21, 312, 1878 |


| W0. | DATE |  |  | HOUR | TYPE |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { RAD I ANT } \\ \alpha \quad \delta \end{gathered}$ | MaX. MAGN. | DUR- <br> ATION | MOTION OF TRAIM | REFERENCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $Y$ | M | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 00 |  |  |  |  |
| 482 | 1878 | 9 | 22 | 8:45 | \% | 8 E 52 N |  | 8 r | Long | 8,8 | Wochen. 21, 351, 1878 |
| 483 |  | 11 | 22 | 8 : | ${ }^{*}$ | 121 W 37 W |  | 1/8M B | 3 |  | Rep. Signal Off. U.S.A. 616,1879 |
| 484 |  | 12 | 28 | - | N | 9 E 48 N |  | 1 | 2. |  | Wochen. 22, 8, 1879 |
| 485 | 1879 | 2 | 1 | - | 0 | 120 E 30 S |  | M | 45 |  | Mat. 20, 121, 1879 |
| 486 |  | 2 | 2 | 10:45 | 17 | 86 W 40 N |  |  | 1 |  | Proc. Am. Phil. Soc. 18,245,1879, |
| 487 |  | 2 | 20 | 8: | N | 87 W 36 N |  | 8 r | 1 ? |  | Rep. Signal Off. U.S.A. 664,1879 |
| 488 |  | 3 | 14 | 15:53 | N | 87 W 39 N |  | 8 | Sev. | 1 | Proc. Am. Phil. Soc. 18,245,1879 |
| 489 |  | 9 | 5 | 7:10 | $T$ | 114 N 39 N |  | $\mathrm{Br}^{\text {r }}$ | 45 | S | Rep. Signal Off. U.S.A. 621,1880 |
| 490 |  | 10 | 5 | 5:45 | $T$ | 1 E 45 N |  | X | $20+$ | D, S | C.R. 89,871, 1879 |
| 491 |  | 10 | 16 | $10: 46$ | N | 12 E 51 N | $282+48$ | -2 | - 16 | C, S | Wochen. 22,384, 1879; H.C. 396 |
| 492 | 1880 | 8 | 6 | 10: | N | 86 W 38 N | Perseld ?? | 8 r | 3 |  | M. W. R. 8, 15, 1880 |
| 493 |  | 8 | 10 | - | - | 10 E 56 N |  | - | 3 |  | Jahr. A. \& G. 12, 1901 |
| 494 |  | 9 | 5 | 9:30 | N | 71 W 42 N |  | Br | 1.5 | $y$ | M. W. R. 8, 224,1880 |
| 495 |  | 9 | 21 | - | - | 112 W 34 N |  | F, 8 | 4 |  | Rep. Signal Off. U. S.A. 923,1881 |
| 496 |  | 12 | 9 | 5:15 | $T$ | 82 W 32 N |  | ${ }^{\mathrm{Br}}$ | 20 | D, S | M. W.R. $8,16,1880$ |
| 497 | 1881 | 10 | 17 | 14:20 | N | 88 W 31 N |  | 8 | 10 | Y? | M. W. R. 9, 23, 1881 |
| 498 |  | 11 | 16 | 6:48 | $N$ | 87 W 36 N | Sp. | -6 | 15* | 0, S | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sid.Mes. I, 174, 1882; K21; Nat. } 25 \text {, } \\ & \text { 173, 1881 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 499 |  | 12 | 8 | - | - | 3 W 53 N |  | - | $?$ | L | Jahr. A. \& 6. 12, 1901 |
| 500 | 1882 | 5 | 11 | 4: | 0 | 107 W 43 N |  | F | $?$ | Y | Mat. 26, 208, 1882 |
| 501 |  | 7 | 9 | 7:50 | T | 112 W 34 N |  |  | 10 | D, L, S | M. W.R. 10, -, 1882; KI6d |
| 502 |  | 8 | 5 | 9: | N | 87 W 36 N | Perseld ? | 0 | 10* | D, S | Sid. Mes. 1, 175, 1882; K22 |
| 503 |  | 8 | 8 | 10:00 | $N$ | 72 W 41 N |  | B | 3 |  | Jahr. A. \& G. 12,190I, Mo W. R. Aug. 19, 1882 |
| 504 |  | 8 | 11 | 15: | N | 87 W 36 N | Sp. | 1 + | 1.2* | D,C | Sid. Mes. 1, 175, 1882; K23 |
| 505 |  | 8 | 18 | 10:30 | $N$ | 87 W 36 N |  | 1 | $10^{*}$ | D, 5, 2 | Sid. Mes. 1, 175, 1882; K24 |
| 506 |  | 8 | 19 | 13:30 | N | 87 W 36 N |  | 1 | 3 * | D, L, E | Sid. Mes. 1, 175, 1882; X25 |
| 507 |  | 9 | 10 | 7:15 | $T$ | 97 W 46 N |  | $\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{B}$ | 15 | 2 | M. W. R. 10, IX, 27, 1882 |
| 508 |  | 10 | 4 | 7:40 | $N$ | $97 \mathrm{WH7} \mathrm{~N}$ |  | Br, 8 | 1 | D, S | M.W. R. 10, 21, 1882; K20 |
| 509 |  | 11 | 18 | 13:10 | N | 37 E 20 N | Leonid ? | Br | 20 | D | Mat. 27, 149, 1882-3 |
| 510 | 1883 | 1 | 3 | 7:00 | / | 85 W 42 N |  | 8 r | 30 | $Y$ Y,,$S$ | Mo W. R. 11, 237, 1883, Jan. 21, 1583: K27 |
| 511 |  | 5 | 6 | 15:10 | $\stackrel{N}{*}$ | 87 W 36 W | Eta Aq. | 0 | $10^{*}$ | D, S | Sid. Mes. 2, 148,1883; K26 |
| 512 |  | 6 | 8 | 7:51 | $T$ | 58 E 36 N |  | >M | 43 | D, S, P | Obs. 6,271,1883 |
| 513 |  | 8 | 12 | 9: | 1 | I1 E 59 N |  | F | 1 | A | Nat. 28,425, 1883 |
| 514 |  | 8 | 12 | - | - | 81 W 32 N |  | - | 10 |  | Jahr. A. \& G. 12, 1901 |
| 515 |  | 8 | 26 | 11:50 | N | 4 W 55 H |  |  | 1 | 1 | Mat. 28,589, 1883 |
| 516 |  | 10 | 30 | 12:15 | H | 84 W 35 N |  | Br | 5 | $Y$ | M. W. R. 11, 237, 1883 |
| 517 |  | 12 | 23 |  | * | 96 W 39 N |  | F, ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | 13 | 8 | M. W. R. 11, 292, 1883 |
| 518 | 1884 | 5 | 27 | 8:45 | T | 9 E 63 H |  | F | 5 | 8 | Mat. 30, 200, 1884 |
| 519 |  | 7 | 3 | 8:30 | T | 77 W 43 N |  | dM, B | 10 | S,E | Sid. Mes. 3, 167,1884 : Mat. 37,274, 1888 |
| 520 |  | 7 | 12 | 9:30 | $N$ | 87 W 36 H |  | 1 | 4-* | D, S | Sid. Mes. 3, 188, 1884 |
| 521 |  | 7 | 31 | 14: | N | 77 W 43 W |  | 8 r | Sev.* | D,C | M.W.R. 12, 205, 1884 |
| 522 |  | 9 | 5 | 10:05 | $\cdots$ | 2 E 49 W |  | Br | $0.5+$ | S | C.R. $99,447,1884$ |
| 523 |  | 10 | 23 | 7:40 | N | 71 W 42 H |  | $B$ | 1 |  | M. W. R. 12, 263, 1884 |
| 524 |  | 12 | 22 | 11:19 | 1 | 8 W 53 W |  | F,B | 17 | $Y$ | Nat. 31, 194, 1885 |
| 525 | 1885 | 7 | 7 | 14: | 1 | 77 W 43 H |  | Br | Sev. | S | Sid. Mes. 4, 178, 1885 |
| 526 |  | 11 | 27 | 6: | $\cdots$ | 3 W 56 M |  | - 5 | 10 | c | Nat. 33, 176, 1885 |
| 527 |  | 11 | 27 | 6: $\pm$ | $N$ | 12 E 48 N |  | - | 1.5 | $Y$ | A. M. $113,138,1885$ |
| 528 |  | 11 | 27 | 6:04 | 1 | 2 W 55 M | Bielld | -4 | 6 | D, P | Backhouse letter |
| 529 |  | 11 | 27 | 6:20 | N | 14 E 50 N | Bielid | - | 1.+ | C | A. N. $113,230,1885$ |
| 530 |  | 11 | 27 | 6:30 | N | 3 W 56 W | Blelid ? | Br | 5 | C | Nat. 33, 176, 1885 |
| 531 |  | 11 | 27 | 6:52 | N | 18 E 60 H | Blelid? | 8 r | 7 | Y | Upsala pub. ; A. N. 113, 141, 1885 |
| 532 |  | 11 | 27 | 7: $\pm$ | N | 14 E 36 N | Blelid | -5 | 2.2 | D,S | A. N. $113,226,1885$ |
| 533 |  | 11 | 27 | 7: $\pm$ | N | 14 E 50 \% | Blelid | ${ }^{\text {Br }}$ | 2 + |  | A. N. $113,376,1886$ |
| 534 |  | 11 | 27 | 7:05 | $N$ | E H | Blelld | F | 7 + | D, B | C. et T. II, 1,492, 1885 |
| 535 |  | 11 | 27 | 8: $\pm$ | H | 5 W 36 N | Bielld ? | B | $25 *$ | $0, R$ | Nat. 33, 151, 1885 |
| 536 |  | 11 | 27 | 9: | H | 102 W 25 M | Bielldpp? | ? | ? | - | Am. Jour. Scl.III 33,221,1887 (doubtful train;) iron |
| 537 |  | 11 | 27 | 10: | $N$ | 24 E 415 | Bielld ? | F, B | 16 | M, K | Ann. der Hyd. 15, 80, 1887; Wochen. 30, 151, 1887 |
| 538 |  | 11 | 27 | - | $N$ | 6 E 51 N | Bielid? | F | $25 \pm$ | 2, 1 | Wochen. 28,392, 1885 |
| 539 |  | 11 |  | - | 1 | 60 E 25 N | Bielld | - | $8{ }^{*}$ |  | Mo No 46, 122, 1886 |
| 540 |  | 11 | 27 | - | $\cdots$ | 6 E 51 M |  | $B$ | 15 |  | ```C.et T.II I,491,1885;C.R. 101, 1211``` |


| NO. | DATE |  |  | HOUR | TYPE |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RAD I ANT } \\ & \alpha \quad \delta \end{aligned}$ | MaX. MAGN. | DUR- <br> ATIOM | MOTION OF TRAIN | REFERENCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $Y$ | M | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 541 | 1885 | 112 | 27 | - | $N$ | 90 E 20 N |  | < $F$ | $15 *$ |  | Eng. Mech. 43,103, 1886 |
| 542 |  | 12 | 8 | 5:48 | $N$ | 2 W 54 N |  | F | 1 |  | Eng. Mech. 42,318, 1885 |
| 543 | 1886 | 81 | 10 | 13:34 | N | 3 W 51 N | Perseid | -4 | 3.8 |  | Obs. 9,302,1886 |
| 544 |  | 11 | 2 | 8:05 | $N$ | 3 W 51 N | $55+9$ ? | Br | 3 - |  | Obs. 9,393,1886 |
| 545 |  | 12 | 4 | 9:17 | $N$ | 2 W 52 N | $162+58$ | -4 | 1.5 |  | Obs. 10,66, 1887; H.C. 481 Obs. $10,266,1887$; Nat. $36,93,1887$ |
| 546 | 1887 | 31 | 19 | 4: | D | 145 E 37 S |  | F, B | 30 | 2 | Obs. 10,266,1887; Nat. 36,93, 1887 gives March 17 |
| 547 |  | 42 | 21 | 9:08 | N | 34 E 47 N | $214-13$ | -5, B | 1 | 0 | Wien AK. 96,2a,919,1887 |
| 548 |  | 61 | 12 | 9: | N | 105 W 40 N |  | B | 3 |  | Republican, Denver, Colo.,June 13, 1887 |
| 549 |  | 617 | 17 | 7:45 | 0 | 2 E 48 N |  | $-8,8$ | 10 | $z$ | Obs. 10,300, 1887; C. R. 105, 85, 1887 |
| 550 |  | 8 | 18 | 1: | D | 57 E 58 N |  | F | 5 | Y | Nat. 43,228,1891; stones |
| 551 |  | 10 | 18 | - | $N$ | 122 W 37 N | Orionid | 8 r | $20^{*}$ |  | Sid. Mes. 7,34, 1888 |
| 552 |  | 10 | 19 | 15:35 | $N$ | $0 \quad 47 \mathrm{~N}$ |  | 8 r | $7+$ | M, L | C. R. $105,963,1887$ Wien Ak. $97,1+665 ;$ H.C. 407 |
| 553 |  | 10 | 23 | 4:24 | D | 15 E 46 N | 224-8 | B | 99 | D, S, B | Wien Ak. 97, $1+665$; H.C. 407 M. W. R. 16, 150, 1888 |
| 554 | 1888 | 6 | 22 | 12:30 | $N$ | 81 W 27 N |  | Br | Long | C, M | M. W.R. 16, 150, 1888 Bruun 27, 249, 1888 |
| 555 |  | 7 | 28 | 8:02 | T | 31 E 46 N | $227+21$ | Br | 10 | M, L | Bruun 27, 249, 1888 M. N. 49, 19, 1888; Obs. 11, 338, 1888 |
| 556 |  | 8 | 13 | 11:33 | $N$ | 2 W 52 N | Perseld | -5 | 3 | M | M. N. 49, 19, 1888; Obs. 11, 338,1888 |
| 557 | 1 | 8 | 19 | 6:35 | D | 113 W 46 N |  | S, B | 10 |  | Sci. 12,132,1888; M.W.R. 16,202, 1888 |
| 558 |  | 10 | 20 | - | $N$ | 92 W 31 N |  |  | Sev. |  | Times, Albany, N. Y , , 1888 Oct. 26 |
| 559 |  | 11 | 13 | 16:35 | $N$ | 3 W 48 N | Leonid | P, B | 10 | S | Cosmos 12, 13, 1889 |
| 550 |  | 11 | 13 | 17:19 | N | 2 W 52 N | Leonid | F | 9 | D, P | Mo N. 49, 66, 1888; Obs. 11, 427, 1888 |
| 561 |  | 12 | 31 | 7:57 | N | 4 E 51 N |  | -5 | $4+$ | Z, L, P | C. et T., 9,529,572,593, 1889 |
| 562 | 1889 | 2 | 11 | 18:52 | T | 3 W 48 N |  | $\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{B}$ | 30 | D, S | Cosmos 12,338,1889 |
| 563 |  | 3 | 22 | 6:30 | T | I W 52 N |  | $F$ | 45 | M | Nat. 39,537, 1889 |
| 564 |  | 8 | - | 15: | $N$ | 3 W 56 N |  | Br | $1+$ | $Y$ | Nat. 42,618,1890 |
| 565 |  | 11 | 23 | - | - | 122 W 38 N |  | - | Long |  | Jahr. A. \& G. 12, 1901 |
| 566 |  | 11 | 23 | - | - | 151 W 34 S |  | - | 60 |  | Jahr. A. \& G. 12, 1901 |
| 567 | 1890 | 1 | 17 | 5:11 | $T$ | 15 E 47 N | $114+22$ | <M, B | 30 | ${ }^{s}$ | Wien Ak. 99, ITa, 1050,$1890 ; \mathrm{H}_{\text {c C. }} 24$ |
| 568 |  | 5 | 2 | 5:15 | D | 94 W 43 N | $43+36$ | Br, B | 15- | M, S | (Am. Jour.Sci. 39, 521;40,318, 1890; <br> (H.C. 147; St ones, Sci.'ㄱ, 304, 1890 |
| 569 |  | 6 | 8 | 11:53 | $N$ | 4 W 50 N |  | -3 | 5* | D, P | Backhouse letter, Denning |
| 570 |  | 8 | 6 | 8:30 | $N$ | 59 W 43 N |  | Br | 20 | C | Ann. der Hyd. 18,465, 1890 |
| 571 |  | 8 | 23 | 11:30 | $N$ | 21 E 52 N |  |  | 20 | $\underline{8}$ | Sirius 24,34, 1891 |
| 572 | 1891 | 7 | 10 | 11:25 | N | 3 E 50 N |  | Br | $3+$ | E, B, P | C.et T. $12,312,1891$; BSAF 5, 126,1891 |
| 573 |  | 7 | 29 | - | - | I1 E 56 M |  | - | 12 |  | Jahr. A. \& G. 12, 1901 |
| 574 |  | 8 | 9 | 15: | $N$ | 122 W 37 N | Perseld ? | -5, B | $1+*$ | S | Sid. Mes. 10,470,1891 |
| 575 |  | 8 | 11 | 9:45 | ${ }^{N}$ | 18 E 48 N | Sp. ? | -3 | 1 |  | 0'Gyalla 13-14,65 |
| 576 |  | 8 | 12 | 10:34 | ${ }^{N}$ | 18 E 48 N | Perseid | 1 | 1 |  | 0'Gyalla 13-14,67 |
| 577 |  | 8 | 26 | 6: | D | 112 W 46 N |  | 8 | Sev. |  | Pittsburgh 'Com.Gazette' 1891 Aug. 24 (pos. 1892) |
| 578 |  | 9 | 8 | 10: | $N$ | 23 W 51 N |  | 8 r | 4 | L, E | Ann. der Hyd. 19,513,1891 |
| 579 |  | 9 | 27 | 14:55 | N | 14 E 48 N |  |  | 0.9* | D,S, P | M. V. F. 2, 120, 129 |
| 580 |  | 10 | 10 | 9:16 | $N$ | 9 E 50 H | Sp. ? | -3 | 10 | D, C, P | A.N. 129,43,1892; Denning; K 21 |
| 581 |  | 10 | 23 | 8:15 | N | I E 48 N |  | $\bigcirc$ | 30 | K | Sirius 34, 16,1901 |
| 582 |  | 10 | 30 | 9:13 | N | 2 W 52 N |  | >1 | $10^{*}$ | D,S | Obs. 14, 419, 1891: K 22 |
| 583 |  | 11 | 14 | 5: | T | $0 \quad 45 \mathrm{H}$ |  | F, B | 15 | 2 | Cosmos. 20,478,1891 |
| 584 |  | 11 | 15 | - | - | 74 W 41 N |  | F | 1 |  | Jahr. A. \& G. 12, 1901 |
| 585 |  | 11 | 18 | 10:30 | - | 119 W 35 N |  | F | $40+$ | Y | Pub. A.S. P. 4;37, 1892 |
| 586 | 1892 | 5 | 3 | 16:00 | - | 44 W 16 N | Eta Aq.? | ? Br | 3 | C | Ann. der Hyd. 20, 293, 1892 |
| 587 |  | 8 | 17 | 8:30 | - | N. At lant ic |  |  | 90 |  | Ann. der Hyd.; Sirius 34, 16,1901 |
| 588 |  | 10 | 18 | 10:44 | 4 | 15 E 48 N | $145+40$ | 8 B | 3 | Y | Bruun 39, 220, 1900; H.C. 339 |
| 589 |  | 11 | 22 | $8+$ | N | 25 E 5 S |  | B | 1* | M | C. et T. $14,168,1893$ |
| 590 | 1893 |  | 18 | 6:07 | 7 T | 4 W 56 H |  | Br | 45 | D,C | $\begin{aligned} & \text { J. B. A. A. 3, 335, 1893; Nat. } 47,495 \\ & \quad+516 ; 1893 ; 48,54 \end{aligned}$ |
| 591 |  |  | 8 | - | - | 14 E 50 N |  |  | 10 |  | Sirius 34, 16, 1901 |
| 592 |  |  |  | 8:40 | 0 N | I E 51 N |  | Br | 6 | S | Nat. 48, 425, 1893 |
| 593 |  |  | O 23 | - | - | N. At lantic |  |  | 35 |  | Jahr. A. \& G. 12, 1901 |
| 594 | 1893 | 11 | 14 | 14:50 | 0 | 122 W 37 N | Leonid | 8 | 30 | D, B | P. A. 1,192, 1893; K28 |
| 595 |  |  |  | 15:14 | 4 | 5 E 57 N | Leonid | Br | $30+$ | Y, 1 | Ann. der Hyd. 22,35,1894 |
| 596 |  | 12 | 8 | -- | - | 13 E 53 M |  |  | 1 |  | Jahr. A. \& G. 12,1901 |
| 597 |  | 12 | 30 | P.M. | . | 94 W 42 N |  | Br | 5- |  | P.A. 1,281,1893 |
| 598 | 1894 | 2 | 21 | 7:18 | 8 | 3 W 56 N |  | -3 | 3 | $Y$ | Nat. 49, 419,1894 |
| 599 |  | 4 | 11 | 15:45 | 5 | 3 W 18 S |  | - | $3+$ | K, R | Ann. der Hyd. 24, 330,1896 |


| 10. | DATE |  |  | HOUR | TYPE |  | RADIANT $\alpha \delta$ | Max. MAOM. | DUR- <br> ATIOM | MOTIOM OF TRAIM | REFERENCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $Y$ | N | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 600 | 1894 | 7 | 27 | 7:30 | T | 122 W 37 N | $225+54$ | F | 45 | 0,C | Lick Obs.Contr. 5, 1895; H.C. 246; Nat. $50,399,1894$ |
| 601 |  | 8 | 9 | - | - | 14 E 51 m |  | - | 1 | $z$ | Jahr. A. \& G. 12, 1901 |
| 602 |  | 8 | 10 | 13:52 | $N$ | 12 E 42 N | Perseid | -4 | 2 * | E, L | A.N. $148,33,1898$; Sirius 27,105, 1899 |
| 603 |  | 8 | 10 | - | - | 12 E 52 N |  | $\cdots$ | 5 |  | Jahr. A. G. 12, 1901 |
| 604 |  | 8 | 26 | 10:20 | \% | 3 W51 H | $305+79$ | $x, B r$ | 30 | D, S, B, P | Mem. B. A. A. 4, 17, 1894; M. N. 55, 238, 1895,etc.: K24; Eng.Mech. 60, 91, 1894 |
| 605 |  | 10 | 31 | 5:13 | T | 5 E 52 N |  | M, B | $5+$ | S, 8 | C. et T. 14,$457 ; 15,46,1893-94$ |
| 606 | 1895 | 1 | 5 | 4:35 | D | 13 E 56 M |  |  | 3 | C, P | Kohl notes |
| 607 |  | 4 | 19 | 14:47 | N | $3 W 51$ M | Lyrid | -3 | 5 | M, C, E | Мем. B. A. A. 5, 4, +13,1897 |
| 608 |  | 10 | 21 | 12:05 | $N$ | 27 E 33 S |  | F | 30 | D,E | Mem. B. A.A. 4, 17,1896 |
| 609 |  | 11 | 14 | - | - | 12 E 51 N |  | - | 41 |  | Jahr. A. \& G. 12, 1901 |
| 610 |  | 11 | 14 | 6.50 | - | 7 E 51 N |  | - | 10 |  | Jahr. A. \& 6. 12, 1901 |
| 611 |  | 11 | 22 | 6:50 | N | $\bigcirc 51$ M |  |  | 3 |  | Nat. 53, 134, 1895 |
| 612 |  | 12 | 12 | 17:42 | $N$ | 81 W 41 N | Sp, | Br, 8 | 5 | S, 8 | P.A. 3,270, 1898 |
| 613 | 1896 | 1 | 13 | 17:45 | N | 90 W 35 N |  | $\stackrel{?}{+}$ | 0 | $2 \% \mathrm{C}$ | Nat. 53,612,1896 |
| 614 |  | 2 | 9 | 21:30 | D | 4 W 40 N |  | $5^{+}$ | 330 | D, R | $\text { Nat }{ }_{\text {i } 896 ;}^{53,395,1896 ;} \text { stones } ; \text { C. et T., 17.49, }$ |
| 615 |  | 2 | 11 | 16:45 | $N$ | 81 W 41 N |  | -6 | $10^{*}$ | D, C | P. A. 3,382, 1898 |
| 616 |  | 3 | 4 | 9:14 | * | O 53 M |  | X | 16 | K | Sirius 34, 16,1901 |
| 617 |  | 6 | 13 | 10:59 | N | O W 52 H |  | -4 | 1- | E | Nat. 54, 221, 1896 |
| 618 |  | 6 | 19 | 8:57 | N | 145 E 35 S |  | > M, B | 2 | $Y$ | Mem. B. A. A. 6,II, 48, 1896 |
| 619 |  | 9 | 10 | 8: 45 | N | 4 W 54 N | $72+42$ | -5 | $0.9 *$ |  | A. N. 142, 89, 1896; H.C. 339 |
| 620 |  | 9 | 24 | 8:30 | $N$ | 38 W 34 N |  | F | 7 | $\gamma, E$ | Ann. der Hyd. 25,219, 1897; K25 |
| 621 |  | 11 | 3 | 6:30 | N | 112 W 35 N |  | X | 120 | C | $\text { A. N. } 177,13,1908 ; \text { B. S. A. F. , 22, 331, }$ |
| 622 |  | 11 | 13 | 15:40 | N | 6 W 53 N | Leonid | -1 | 0.2 | 0 | M. W. 57, 63,1896 |
| 623 |  | 11 | 13 | 16:31 | N | 8 E 48 W | Leonid | -3 | 3 | D, B | A. N. 142,353, 1896; K26 |
| 624 |  | 11 | 13 | 16:33 | N | O 53 N | Leonid | -4 | 2 | S | Mem. B. A. A. 6,2,51, 1896 |
| 625 |  | 11 | 13 | 17: | N | 118 W 34 N | Leonid | - | 4.+ | $Y$ | Pub. A.S. P. 9, 41, 1897 |
| 626 |  | 11 | 16 | 12 + | $N$ | 44 E 48 N | Leonid ?? | $F$ | Sev. | $Y$ | Mat. 55, 160, 1896 |
| 627 |  | 12 | 16 | 4:30 | T | I E 51 M |  | $\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{B}$ | 3- | D, S, P | B. S. A. F. 11,292, 1897 |
| 628 | 1897 | 1 | 2 | - | - | 18 E 59 N |  | - | $15+$ |  | Jahr. A. 8. G. 12,1901 |
| 629 |  | 2 | 24 | 3:45 | D | 110 W 32 N |  | $B$ | 5 | $y$ | M.W.R. 25, 56, 1897; stones |
| 630 |  | 2 | - |  |  | O 50 H |  |  | 3 | D,S | B.S.A.F. 11, 125, 1897 |
| 631 |  | 5 | 5 | 6:26 | D | 122 W 38 N |  | F, B | 84 | 2 | Pub. A.S. P. 9, 146, 1897 |
| 632 |  | 10 | 16 | - | - | 9 E 54 N |  | - | 5 |  | Jahr. A. \& G. 12, 1901 |
| 633 |  | 10 | 27 | 17:35 | N | 79 W 41 N | Sp. | 8 r | 1 |  | P.A. 5,441, 1897 |
| 634 |  | 10 | - | $5:$ | D | 114 W 47 N |  | F | 20 | S | Pub. A.S. P. $10,84,1898$ |
| 635 | 1898 | 1 | 2 | - | - | 9 E 49 N |  | - | 3 |  | Jahr. A. \& 6. 12, 1901 |
| 636 |  | 4 | 25 | 9:32 |  | 20 E 45 N |  | -4 | 6 | D | B.S.A.F. 12,366, 1898 |
| 637 |  | 7 | 5 | 8:50 | - | 78 W 43 N |  | $-5,8$ | 6 | S, M | P.A. 6,365, 1898; Nat. 58,604 |
| 638 |  | 7 | 11 | 7:13 | T | 88 E 23 N |  |  | 6 | O | Denning |
| 639 |  | 8 | 11 | 10:20 | N | 12 E 42 M | Perseid | X | 5* | 0 | A. N. 148,33, 1898 ; Sirius 32, 105, 1899 |
| 641 |  | 9 | 15 | 8:45 | N | 8 E 48 n |  | Br | 2.5 |  | C. et T. 19,340,415 + 439 |
| 642 |  | 10 | 19 | 12: + | $N$ | 41 W 17 N | Orionld? ? ? | ? 8 r | 10 | - D | Ann. der Hyd. 27,520,1899; K27 |
| 643 |  | 10 | 21 | 10:45 | 5 | 12 E 56 N |  | F | 2 | . | Pub. A.S. P. 10,84, 1898 |
| 644 |  | 11 | 7 | 5:20 | - T | 75 W 41 N | Sp. | F, B | 3- | 2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { P. A. } 6,566,1898 ; \text { B.S.A.F. } \\ 13,137,1899 \end{gathered}$ |
| 645 |  | 11 | 12 | 11:20 | - | 71 W 42 | Leonid | Br | 1 |  | P.A. 7,99, 1899 |
| 646 |  | 11 | 12 | 14:52 | , | 71 N 42 | Leonid | 8 r | 1 |  | P.A. 7,99, 1899 |
| 647 |  | 11 | 12 | 14:57 | N | 71 W 42 N | Leonid | Br | 1 |  | P. A. 7, 98, 1899 |
| 648 |  | 11 | 13 | 12:27 | N | 73 W 41 M | Leonidpp? | 8 r | 3 |  | P.A. $6,85,1901$ |
| 649 |  | 11 | 13 | 13:34 | N | 73 W 41 N | Leonidpp? |  | 2 |  | P.A. 6, 85, 1901 |
| 650 |  | 11 | 14 | 13:47 | H | 122 W 37 N | Leonid | -9,8 | 42 | D, L | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P. A. } 6,555,1898 ; \text { Pub. A.S.P. } 10 \text {, } \\ & 241,1898 \end{aligned}$ |
| 651 |  | 11 | 14 | 13:50 | 1 | 88 W 44 N | Leonid | 8 | 3 | 27, E | P.A. 7,47, 1899 |
| 652 |  | 11 | 14 | 14:57 | W | 71 N 42 N | Leonid | $>-5$ | 2.5 | S, P | P.A. 6,573,1898: Ladd Obs. notes |
| 653 |  | 11 | 14 | 15:19 | W | 12 E 42 N | Leonid | 1 | $15^{*}$ | D, C, B, 1 | Sirlus 27, 106, 1899 |
| 654 |  | 11 | 14 | 16: 13 | N | 71 W 42 N | Leonid | -4 | $9-$ | D, C, B | A.J. 19, 168, 1899 |
| 655 |  | 11 | 14 | 17:17 | N | 89 W 43 N | Leonid ?? | -4 | 3- | D | Ap.J. 9, 154, 1899 |
| 656 |  | 11 | 14 | 17:20 | N | 89 W 43 N |  | -3 | 1 | M, C | Ap.J. 9, 16, 1899 |


| N0, | DATE |  |  | HOUR | TYPE |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { RADI AMT } \\ \alpha \quad \delta \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Max. MAGN. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DUR- } \\ & \text { ATION } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MOTIOM } \\ & \text { OF TRAIM } \end{aligned}$ | REFERENCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $Y$ | M | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 00 |  |  |  |  |
| 657 |  | 11 | 15 |  |  | 12 E 42 N |  |  | 15 |  | Jahr. A. \& G. 12, 1901 |
| 658 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 12 E 42 N |  |  | 15 |  | Jahr. A. \& G. 12, 1901 |
| 659 |  | 12 | 21 | 7:25 | $N$ | 43 W 23 S |  | Br | 1+ |  | C.R. 128,190, 1899 |
| 660 | 1899 | 8 | 7 | 13:18 | $N$ | 105 W 40 N | Sp. | > $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{B}$ | Sev. |  | P.A. $7,448,1899$ |
| 661 |  | 8 | 10 | - | $N$ | 5 E 50 H |  | -2 | 10? | A | B.S.A.F. 14, 64, 1900 |
| 662 |  | 8 | 12 | 12:53 | N | 2 E 49 N | Perseid ? | Br | 20 | D, E | C.R. 129,404, 1899; Obs. 22, 379, 1899; K28 |
| 663 |  | 8 | 24 | 9:00 | $N$ | II E51 N |  |  | 60 | D, C, K | Sirlus 32, 250, 1899: 33, 18, 1900 |
| 664 |  | 9 | 2 | 12:05 | $N$ | 2 W 52 N |  | > -4 | 1 |  | Mem. B.A.A. 9,24, 1901 |
| 665 |  | 10 | 10 | 6: | T | 20 E 40 N |  | B | 8 - | L | B. S.A.F. 14, 18, 1900 |
| 666 |  | 10 | 25 | 10:08 | N | I W 51 H | Sp. | -5 | 3 | 8 | Mem. B.A.A. 9,25,1901 |
| 667 |  | 11 | 14 | 17:40 | N | I W 52 N | $193+27$ | -4 | 5 | D, S | Mem, B.A.A. $9,19+25,1901$; H.C. 453; Nat. 61,223,1900 |
| 668 | 1900 | I | 9 | 2:57 | 0 | IE51 N | 280-12 | F | 1 | $\gamma$ | Met. Mag. 35/36, 6, $1900-1$, M. N. 72, 426, 1912 |
| 669 |  | 2 | 20 | 18:45 | $T$ | 047 N |  | B | ? | E | B. S. A.F. $14,149,1900$ |
| 670 |  | 3 | 29 | 9:47 | $N$ | 122 W 37 N |  | Br | 95 | D, K | Publ. A.S.P. 12, 128, 1900 |
| 671 |  | 4 | 10 | 18:45 | D | 73 W 19 N |  | 8 r | 45 | C | B.S.A.F. 14,383, 1900 |
| 672 |  | 4 | 16 | 7:05 | $T$ | 123 W 42 N |  | F | 30 | B, Y | Pub, A.S. P. 12, 132, 1900 |
| 673 |  | 7 | 15 |  |  | 16 E 51 N |  |  | 1 |  | Jahr, der Sch, Gesell. Breslau II 37,1900 |
| 674 |  | 7 | 16 | $8: 20$ | N | 98 W 20 N |  | 1/4 M | 1 |  | B.S.A.F. 14, 472,1900 |
| 675 |  | 7 | 17 | 8:47 | $T$ | 5 E 54 N | 249-20 | Br | 47 | 0 | Mem. B.A.A. 10, I, 19, 1902; H.C. 227; etc. |
| 676 |  | 9 | 2 | 6:45 | 0 | 2 W 55 N | $334+57$ | 8 r | 46 |  | Mem. B. A.A. 10, 1, 19, 1902; H.C. 326: Obs. 23,387, 1900 |
| 677 |  | 10 | 27 | 11:18 | $N$ | 6 W 52 M | $136+34$ | -5 | $13^{*}$ | D, P | Nat. $63,14,1900 ;$ H.C. 415; etc: K29 |
| 678 |  | 12 | 7 | 3:20 | 0 | 105 W 39 N | $50+18$ | $-5,8$ | $60+$ |  | P. A. 9,426, 1901 |
| 679 |  | 12 | 16 | 4:42 | $T$ | 9 E 56 N |  | M, B | 15 + | S, P | Sirius 34,53,1901: |
| 680 |  | 12 | 18 | 12:45 | $N$ | 2 W 54 N | 162-5 | Br | 15 | D, C | Mem, B.A.A. 11, 17, 1901; Denning |
| 681 |  | 12 | 25 | 13:05 | $N$ | 152 E 33 S |  | Br | 30 | $D, R$ | J. B. A.A. 12, 28, 1901 |
| 682 | 1901 | 1 | 10 | 9:03 | $N$ | 5 E 51 M |  | -2 | 10 |  | B.S.A.F. 15, 110,1901 |
| 683 |  | 4 | 29 | 15:15 | N | 32 E 48 N | $211+4$ | $1 / 4 \mathrm{M}$ | 4- |  | Bruun 41, 160,1902 |
| 684 |  | 7 | 5 | 8:45 | $T$ | $0 \quad 45 \mathrm{~N}$ |  | $\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{B}$ | $4 ?$ | B | B.S.A.F. $15,419,1901$ |
| 685 |  | 7 | 7 | 10:45 | T | 6 W 58 N |  | Br | 5 | C | Mem. B. A.A. 11, 28, 1903 |
| 686 |  |  | 11 | 11:02 | $N$ | I E5I |  | -4 | 1 |  | Mat. 64, 411, 1901 |
| 687 |  |  | 19 | 11:30 | $N$ | 4 W 51 N | Orionid | -4 | 5 | D, B, E | Mem. B.A.A. 11,21, 1903: K30 |
| 688 |  | 10 | 19 | 12:03 | $N$ | 14 E 48 N | Orionid | Br | 6* | D, B | Mitt. V. A. P. II, 109, 1899 |
| 689 |  | 10 | 19 | 16:03 | $N$ | 14 E 48 N |  | -3 | 10* | D,C | Mitt. V. A. P. II, 109, 1899 |
| 690 |  |  | . 13 | 6:53 | $N$ | 3 W 55 | $87+34$ | M | 1.5 | D, C | Mem.B.A.A. 11,17, 1903; H.C. 450; etc. |
| 691 |  | 11 | 14 | 14: | N | 110 W 50 N | Leonid | Br | 4 |  | P. A. $10,16,1902$ |
| 692 |  |  | 14 | 14:54 | N | 88 W 40 N | Leonid | Br | 16 | D,C | P.A. $10,51+, 107,1902:$ Barnard notes: K30 |
| 693 |  |  | 14 | 15:05 | N | 88 N 42 N |  | X | $50 \pm$ | D, C, P | Barnard letters |
| 694 |  | 11 | 14 | 15:10 | N | 93 W 44 | Leonid | Br | 3 | 2 ? | P.A. 9,561, 1901 |
| 695 |  | 11 | 14 | 15: 15 | N | 118 W 34 | Leonid | $F$ | 14 | D, C | P.A. $10,16,1902 ; M_{0} W_{0}$ R. Sept 1907: E.M. 74, 381,1901: K31 |
| 696 697 |  | 11 11 | $\begin{array}{ll}1 & 14 \\ 1 & 14\end{array}$ | $16: 10$ $16: 15$ | N | 110 W 50 93 W 44 | Leonid | 8 Br | 20 | 0,8 | P.A. 10,51, 1902; M.W.R. Sept. 1907: K32 |
| 698 |  | 11 | 114 | 16:50 | N | $118 \times 34$ | Leonid? | ${ }_{\text {F }}$ | 6 | R | P.A. $9,561,1901$ P.A. $10,18,1902$ |
| 699 |  | 11 | 114 | 17:09 | N | 71 W 42 | Leonid | -3 | $20^{*}$ | 27, P, E | P.A. 10,49,1902; Denning: K29 |
| 700 |  | 11 | 114 | 17:18 | N | 93 W 44 | Leonid | Br | $9+$ | D | P.A. 9,561,1901 |
| 701 |  |  |  | 17:40 |  | 78 W 38 | Leonid | $<-2$ | $3+$ | S | Ollivier note |
| 702 |  |  |  | 5:36 | N | 052 | $263+36$ | > M | 5 | 279 | M.N. 62,170,1901; J.B.A.A. 12, 127, 1902 |
| 703 | 1902 |  |  | 12:13 | N | 3 W 54 |  | Br | 10 | $Y$ | Eng. Mech. 75, 32, 1902 |
| 704 |  |  | 29 | 7:40 | - | $0 \quad 50$ |  | -4 | 1.5 |  | B.S.A.F. 16, 197, 1902 |
| 705 706 |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll}7 & 13 \\ 8 & 27\end{array}$ | 10:50 | - | 0 0 | $315+31$ | >M | $2+$ | S, Y | Nat. 66, 309, 1902; Obs . 25, 293, 1902 |
| 706 707 |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll}8 & 27 \\ 9 & 10\end{array}$ | 15: 13 | ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | 0 <br> 17 |  | -5 | 1.5 | S | Mem. B. A. A. 12, 1, 29, 1902 |
| 707 708 |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll}9 & 10 \\ 9 & 15\end{array}$ | $12: 45$ 17.45 | N | $\begin{array}{ll}17 & \text { W } 31 \\ 84\end{array}$ |  | Br | Sev. | 0 | Ann. der Hyd. 30, 552, 1902; K32 |
| 708 709 |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll}9 & 15 \\ 5 & 18\end{array}$ | $17: 45$ $9: 30$ | T | 84 W 42 12 |  | 遃 | $20 \pm$ | S, Y | M. W. R. 32, 172, 231, 1904 |
| 709 |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll}5 & 18 \\ 6 & 28\end{array}$ | 9:30 $11: 28$ | - N | 12 E 48 9 E 49 |  | F | 30 25 | $S, R, P$ $0, B$ | Pub, A.S. P. 16, 27, 1903 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0,8 | A. N. 163,251, 1903; K33 |




| 10. | date |  |  | HOUR | TYPE |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { RADIANT } \\ \alpha \quad \delta \end{gathered}$ | MaX. MAGN. | DUR- <br> ATION | MOTION <br> OF TRAIN | REFERENCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $Y$ | 1 | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc 0$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 812 | 1914 | 8 | 14 | 9:34 | N | 053 N | Perseid | > -4 | 2 |  | Obs.37,364, 1914; M.N.75, 450, 1915 |
| 813 |  | 10 | 24 | 16:06 | N | 119 W 34 N | Sp | X | 5 | D, P, C | F. H. Hays letter |
| 814 |  | 12 | 24 | 15:15 | N | 11 E 59 N |  | F, 8 | $15 \pm$ | D, R | Sirius 48,92,1915; K41 |
| 815 | 1915 | 7 | 8 | 12:45 | $N$ | 24 E 51 N |  | X | 5 | D, R | Sirius 48,267,1915 |
| 816 |  | 8 | 12 | 13:34 | N | 87 W 37 N | Perseid | -2 | 1.5 |  | R.C.Lamb report |
| 817 |  | 8 | 13 | 11:08 | N | I W 52 N | Perseid | -4 | 0.5 |  | J. B.A.A. 26, 183, 1915; H.C. 568. |
| 818 |  | 10 | 5 | 10:56 | N | 5 W 50 * | $248+72$ | $\rightarrow \frac{1}{2}$ | 18 | D, R, P | $\begin{aligned} & \text { J.B.A.A.26, 23, 1915; H.C. } 583 \text {; } \\ & \text { B.S.A.F. } 30,70,1916 \end{aligned}$ |
| 819 |  | 10 | 28 | 9:00 | $N$ | 74 W 41 N |  | -3 | 1 |  | R. Lambert report |
| 820 | 1916 | 1 | 3 | 4:35 | D | 83 E 57 N |  | $F$ | 20 + | S, P | Photo by Volkor, mss. |
| 821 |  | 4 | 3 | 3:25 | 0 | 9 EIN | $357+80$ | $8 \mathrm{r}, 8$ | 15 | $D, S, P$ | Sirius 51,177,1918: H.C.531: K2Odj iron |
| 822 |  | 4 | 3 | 13:28 | N | 26 E 53 N |  | Br | 22 | 0, S | Sirius 49,121,1916 |
| 823 |  | 6 | 28 | 15:30 | $T$ | 4 E 44 M |  | < $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{B}$ | 30 |  | B. S. A.F. 30,430,1916 |
| 824 |  | 7 | 26 | 10:07 | $N$ | - 52 N | (Perseld) | -4 | 3* | 2 | J.B.A.A. 27, 39+110, 1916; H.C. 564 |
| 825a |  | 8 | 7 | 9:40 | $N$ | 3 E 46 N | (Perseld) | 8 | 4 | D, S | C.R.163,239,1916 ) Probably |
| 8256 |  | 8 | 7 | 9:48 | $N$ | 1 E 46 N |  |  | 4* | D, P | B.S.A.F.30,430, 1916) same meteor |
| 826 |  | 9 | 25 | 10:30 | N | 2 E 50 N |  | Br | 15 |  | B.S.A.F.30,430,1916 |
| 827 |  | 10 | 17 | 23:47 | 0 | 132 E 45 N |  |  | 35 | L | Sirius 52,52,1919; iron |
| 828 | 1917 | 5 | 31 | 9:55 | $N$ | 95 W 43 N |  | F, 8 | $1+$ | Y | P.A. 25, 483, 1917 |
| 829 |  | 6 | 29 | 9:01 | * | 15 E 51 N | 249-20 | F, ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | 18 | S, B | Sirius 50,210, 1917: H.C. 552 |
| 830 |  | 7 | 18 | 4:00 | 0 | 123 W 38 N |  | F | 17 | D, S | Pub. A.S. P. 29, 191, 1917 |
| 831 |  | 10 | 1 | 10:30 | $N$ | 99 W 31 N |  | F,B | 45 | R,C | Univ. of Texas, Bul.1772,1917 |
| 832 |  | 10 | 17 | 14:10 | N | 052 N | Orionid | -6 | 15 | D | J.B.A.A.28, 118,1918 ; H.C. 589 |
| 833 |  | 10 | 19 | 12:17 | $N$ | 053 N | Orionid |  | 6 |  | Denning letter |
| 834 | 1918 | 2 | 25 | $9:$ | N | 140 W 67 N |  | S, 8 | 5 | L | N. Y. Times 1918-7-8土 Jour.,R.A.S.C. $12,180,1918$ |
| 835 |  | 4 | 23 | 7:20 | $T$ | 81 W 34 n |  | F, B | 40 | D | M.W.R.46,357, 1918: P.A.27, 126, 1919 |
| 836 |  | 5 | 25 | 21:40 | D | 31 E 26 S |  | 8. | 30 |  | Union Obs. Cir. $44,383,1919$, stones? |
| 837 |  | Sum | mer | - | - | 82 W 33 N |  | F | 1.3* | C, B, B | P.A. 26, 585, 1918 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 838 \\ & 839 \end{aligned}$ | 1919 | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11: 12 \\ 7: 15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} N \\ \text { D } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \text { E } 46 \text { N } \\ 76 \mathrm{~W} 39 \text { W } \end{array}$ | Sporadic | F, ${ }^{\text {M }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ 60 ? \end{gathered}$ | 2,C, L | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B.S. A. F. } 33,259,1919 \\ & \text { P. A. } 27,477,1919 \end{aligned}$ |
| 840 |  | 10 | 27 | 15:18 | $\cdots$ | 3 W 51 N | 99-16 | -5, 8 | 2 | Y | Obs. $42,415,1919$ |
| 841 |  | 12 | 24 | 15:25 | N | 8 E 62 N |  | F, 8 | 15 | C | Himmels. 46,161, 1926 |
| 842 | 1920 | 1 | 16 | 4:55 | $T$ | 053 H | $132+33$ | 8 r | 15 |  | Obs.43, 96, 1920; Mat. 104, 544, 1919 |
| 843 |  | 5 | 2 | 15:30 | $N$ | 10 E 35 N | Eta Aq. | 8 r | 8 | S,E | B.S.A.F. 43, 269, 1920 |
| 844 |  | 6 | 8 | 8:45 | $N$ | 96 W 36 N |  | F,B | 18 | D | Reports to A.M.S. |
| 845 |  | 6 | 30 | 20:39 | D | 7 E 50 N | 139-2 | F, $B$ | 2 | $Y$ | Sonneberg Mitt. Mr. 4; H.C.554, st ones |
| 846 |  | 7 | 17 | 8:06 | $T$ | 12 E 50 N | $144+60$ | F, 8 | 3 |  | Sonneberg Mitt. Mr. 4: H.C. 559 |
| 847 |  | 8 | 11 | - | $N$ | 68 E 42 N |  | - | ? | D, S, P | Mss. |
| 848 |  | 9 | 7 | 9:35 | $N$ | 5 E 45 N |  | , -4 | 4 | S, E | B.S. A. F. 34, 528, 1920 |
| 849 |  | 11 | 7 | 14:49 | $N$ | 78 W 38 N |  | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | 10.5 | D, P, K | 01 l ier notes |
| 850 | 1921 | 4 | 19 | 21:00 | 0 | 84 W 32 N |  | F | 2 | S | Am. Jour. Sc 1. V, 3, 211, 1922; P. A. 29, 307,1921: Iron |
| 851 |  | 4 | 27 | 12:42 | $N$ | 4 E 51 N |  | x | 40 | D,E | B. S.A.F.36, 171, 1922; G. A.d'Anvers 8,29,1921; etc. |
| 852 | 1922 | 9 | 22 | 6:35 | 1 | 16 E $44 \times$ |  | M | 2 | $Y, K$ | Sirius 56,116,1923 |
| 853 |  | 11 | 14 | 13:11 | H | 9 E 49 N |  | X | 65 | D, C, R | A. H. 218, 47, 1923 |
| 854 |  | 11 | 14 | 15:16 | N | $94 \times 42 \times$ | Leonld | -2 | 2.9 |  | P. Mead report |
| 855 |  | 11 | 14 | 15:26 | ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | $94 \times 42 \mathrm{~N}$ | Leonid | -2 | 1.5 |  | P. Mead report |
| 856 |  | 12 | 28 | $5 \pm$ | T | 74 E 32 N | Quadr. | Br | 20- | D, S | Obs.46, 94, 1923; H.C.611; M.B. A. A. $24,80,1923$ |
| 857 | 1923 | 8 | 11 |  | $N$ | 71 W 43 N | Perseld | $1 / 3 \mathrm{M}$ | 0.7 | D | P. A. 32, 195, 1924 |
| 858 |  | 9 | 7 | 7:45 | $N$ | 053 N | $260+4 ?$ | 8 r | 12 | D, R | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Obs. } 46,318,1923 ; \text { Nat. } 112,454,+520 \text {, } \\ & \quad 1924 \end{aligned}$ |
| 859a |  | 9 | 11 | 15:30 | $N$ | 38 W 40 N | $347+2 ?$ | $-3,8$ | $20+$ | $Y$ | Obs.46, 346, 1923; U. S. N. Hy. 0) Same |
| 8596 |  | 9 | 15 | 15:18 | $N$ | 38 W 46 N |  |  | 20 |  | Jour.R.A.S.C. 17, 356, 1923) meteor! |
| 860 |  | 10 | 30 | 17:50 | $N$ | 148 EE 33 M |  | $B$ | 6 | S, P | H. G.Finne letter |
| 861 | 1924 | 4 | 11 | 6:00 | $N$ | 79 W 16 N |  | Br | 7 |  | U.S.N. Hy. 0 . |
| 862 |  | 4 | 3 | 15:16 | $\cdots$ | 66 W 40 N |  | Br | 6 | Y, R | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 863 |  | 5 | 18 | 12: | N | 9 E 50 n |  |  | 2.5 |  | A. N. 222, 287, 1924 |
| 864 |  | 5 | 21 | 5:40 | T | 26 E 26 |  | 8 | 30 | D, S, P | Union Obs.Cir.66, 328, 1925 |
| 865 |  | 5 | 22 | 7:47 | $T$ | 76 W 39 N |  | - | 5 | D, B, B | P. A. 32, 447, 1924 |
| 866 |  | 6 | 14 | 12: | N | 9 E 50 N |  | - | 2.5 |  | A. W. 222, 287, 1914 |
| 867 |  | 6 | - | 6:30 | 0 | 101 W 48 |  | Br | 60 | 2 | A. Halverson report |



| N0. | DATE |  |  | HOUR | TYPE |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { RADIANT } \\ \alpha \quad \delta \end{gathered}$ | MAX. MAGN. | DURATIOM | MOTION OF TRAIM | REFERENCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\gamma$ | M | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc 0$ | $\bigcirc 0$ |  |  |  |  |
| 933 | 1928 | 11 | 14 | 16: | N | 89 W 43 N | Leonid | $\pm 1{ }^{1}$ | 12 | $Y$ | J. Stebbins report |
| 334 |  | 11 | 15 | 12:22 | N | $74 . \begin{aligned} & \text { W } \\ & \text { N }\end{aligned}$ | Leonld ?? | Br | 5+* | S | U.S.N. Hy. ${ }_{0}$ |
| 935 |  | 11 | 15 | 12:28 | $N$ | 73 W 38 N | Sp. | Br | 5 |  | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 936 |  | 11 | 15 | 13:18 | $N$ | 75 W 36 N | Leonid | Br | 4* | C, E | U.S.N. Hy, O. |
| 937 |  | 11 | 15 | 14:35 | N | 59 W 8 N |  |  | 15 | 0 | Mss. U. S. W. B. |
| 938 |  | 11 | 15 | 15:16 | N | 108 W 21 N |  | 8 r | 15 | S | Mss. U.S.W. B. |
| 939 |  | II | 15 | 15:18 | N | 99 W 19 N | Leonid | Br | 10 |  | Tacubaya Mss. |
| 940 |  | 11 | 15 | 15:38 | N | 36 W is S | Leonid | 8 | 30 | D, L, L | Mss.U.S. W. B. |
| 941 |  | 11 | 15 | 15:55 | $N$ | 37 W II S | Leonid ? |  | 25 | E, L | Marlne Obs. 6,241,1929 |
| 942 |  | 11 | 15 | 17:18 | N | 70 W 27 N | Leonid | 9 Pr | 1- | $Y$ | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 943 |  | 11 | 15 | 17:40 | N | 15 E 43 N | Leonid | Br | 2.8 |  | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 944 |  | 11 | 16 | 13:35 | N | 174 E 31 N | Leonid? |  | 20 | L | MSS. U.S. W. B. |
| 945 |  | 11 | 16 | 16:07 | N | 98 W 32 N | Sp. | > M, B | 8 | Y | O.E. Monnig reports |
| 946 |  | 11 | 16 | 16:48 | $\cdots$ | $\bigcirc 53 \mathrm{~N}$ | Leonid | 8 | 5 |  | Obs. 52, 123, 1929 |
| 947 |  | 11 | 16 | 17:20 | $N$ | 5 E 37 N | Leonid ?? | 8 | 12 |  | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 948 |  | 11 | 19 | 15:00 | $N$ | 9 W 52 H |  | 8 | ? | Y, C | U.S.N. Hy, O. |
| 949 |  | 11 | 20 | 13:34 | N | 94 W 14 N | Leonid? |  | 1 |  | Mss. U.S.W.B. |
| 950 |  | 12 | 9 | 15:54 | N | 120 W 34 N | Sp. |  | 5 | $Y$ | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 951 | 1929 | 1 | 16 | 13:18 | $N$ | 74 N 26 N |  | 8 Br | 2 | $Y^{Y}$ | U.S.N. Hy, O. |
| 952 |  | 1 | 19 | 18:32 | * | 94 W 14 N |  | Br | 5 | $Y$ | U.S.N. Hy, O. |
| 953 |  | 2 | 1 | 10:51 | $N$ | 38 E 47 N |  | 8 r | $3-$ |  | Mirov. A.B. 25, 4, 1929 |
| 954 |  | 4 | 8 | 12:20 | $N$ | 155 W 3 S |  | - 5 | 17 | C, $P$ | Marine Obs. 7, 84, 1930 |
| 955 |  | 5 | 31 | 13:58 | $N$ | 119 E 32 N |  | Br | 1 |  | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 956 |  | 7 | 2 | 9:56 | N | 130 W 24 S |  | 8 | 26 | D | Mss. U.S.W. B. |
| 957 |  | 7 | 25 | 9:30 | $T$ | 12 E 53 N |  | Br | 10 | D | Das Weltall 28, 175, 1929 |
| 958 |  | 8 | 5 | 13:43 | N | 57 W 36 N | Perseld?? | Br | 3 |  | Marine Obs. 7,175, 1920 |
| 959 |  | 8 | 7 | 12:33 | $N$ | 30 W 10 N |  | $?$ | 2* | D,C | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 960 |  | 8 | 11 | 13:00 | * | 105 W 39 N |  | Br | 5 | D,C,P | A.B.Sperry letter |
| 961 |  | 8 | 19 | 0:45 | D | 75 W 45 N |  | Br | 30 |  | J.Lobedford letter; Jour.R.A.S.C. 23, 378, 1929 |
| 962 |  | 9 | 11 | 15:28 | $N$ | $136 \mathrm{E} \pm 36 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  | 1 |  | The Heavens (Jap.) 10,121,1930 |
| 963 |  | 11 | 1 | 16:20 | N | 120 W 34 N |  | $?$ | 30 |  | O.G.Mart in letter |
| 964 |  | 11 | 16 | 15:30 | N | 100 W 17 N |  | 8 r | 5 |  | U.S.N. Hy. 0 |
| 965 |  | 12 | 28 | 11:20 | N | 37 E 23 H |  | -4 | 1.5* |  | Marine Obs. 7, 243,1930 |
| 966 | 1930 | 2 | 16 | 16:08 | N | 92 W 35 H |  | 8 | 5 |  | P.A. 38,387, 1930 '; stones |
| 967 |  | 5 | 21 | 10:31 | $N$ | 97 W 32 N |  | -1 | 2 * | D, Y, K | P.A. 38,442, 1930 |
| 968 |  | 6 | 3 | 8:30 | T | 97 W 45 N |  | $\mathrm{Br}, 8$ | 30 + | , | E. Gruse letter |
| 969 |  | 6 | 12 | 8 8: | $T$ | 89 W 37 N |  | Br | 10 | D, C, P | Miss MoL.Jones letter |
| 970 |  | 8 | 30 | 15: 15 | * | 167 E 26 S |  | M | 3 | D | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 971 |  | 7 | 4 | 10:05 | N | 97 W 47 N |  | $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{M}$ | 1.3 | Y | P.A. 38,510, 1930 |
| 972 |  | 7 | 5 | - | $N$ | 5 W 36 N |  | Br | 5 | $Y$ | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 973 |  | 7 | 5 | 14:36 | N | 14 W 33 N |  | 8 r | 1 | 0 | U.S.M. Hy. O. |
| 974 |  | 7 | 26 | 9:51 | $N$ | 55 W 27 M | Delta Aquarid | -5 | 7 |  | U.S.M. Hy. 0 . |
| 975 |  | 7 | 28 | 12:58 | $N$ | 87 W 26 N | Sp. | Br | 1 |  | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 976 |  | 8 | 2 | 12:15 | $N$ | 76 W 411 K |  | Br | 1 |  | Mrs. E. Grouser letter |
| 977 |  | 9 | 1 | 15:52 | $N$ | 54 W 33 N |  | B | 7 | R | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 978 |  | 9 | 1 | 16:30 | $N$ | 48 W 30 N |  | B | 5 |  | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 979 |  | 10 | 14 | 10:30 | N | 120 W 34 N |  | - | 10 | D,C | U.S.M. Hy. O. |
| 980 |  | 11 | 14 | 13:57 | ${ }^{\mathrm{N}}$ | 2 W 37 N | Leonid | $\mathrm{Br}^{\text {r }}$ | 4 | C, P | Marine Obs. 8, 229,1931 |
| 981 |  | 11 | 15 | 14:30 | W | 6 W 55 M | Loonld ?? | F | Sev. |  | Mat. 126, 969, 1930 |
| 982 |  | 11 | 16 | 12:03 | N | 36 W 53 W |  | B | 13 | L, P, E | Marlne Obs. 8,229,1931 |
| 983 |  | 11 | 16 | 13:45 | N | 114 W 26 N | Leonid ?? | 8 r | 45 | $Y$ | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 984 |  | 11 | 16 | 13:47 | W | 81 W 24 W | Leonld | ? | 16 | D, C | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 985 |  | 11 | 16 | 14:28 | $\cdots$ | 75 N 19 N | Leonid | -4 | 2 | Y | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 986 |  | 11 | 16 | 14:30 | N | 69 W 30 M | Leonid | ? | 0.7 | D | U.S.N. Hy, O. |
| 987 |  | 11 | 16 | 14:54 | \% | 82 W 24 M | Sp. | ? | 10 | D, R | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 988 |  | 11 | 16 | 15: $1^{\prime \prime}$ | $\cdots$ | 84 W 26 N | Leonid | 8 | 1 | B | U.S.N. Hy. 0. |
| 989 |  | 11 | 16 | 15:15 | $\cdots$ | 92 W 42 N | Leonid ? | Br | 10 |  | P.A. 38, 623, 1930 |
| 990 |  | 11 | 16 | 15: 17 | N | 39 E 45 N | Leonid | -9 | 6 | D, P, S | V. Fedyusklu report |
| 991 |  | 11 | 16 | 15:28 | N | 143 W 28 N | Leonid | Br | 6 | $\gamma$ | U.S.N. Hy, 0 . |
| 992 |  | 11 | 16 | 15:35 | N | 85 W 26 M | Leonld ?? | ? | Long | $L$ | U.S.M. Hy. O. |
| 993 |  | 11 | 16 | 15:43 | 3 | 74 W 23 N | Leonid | $B$ | 25 | 0 | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 994 |  | 11 | 16 | 16:08 |  | 92 W 42 N | Leonid ?? | P Br | 10 | D | P.A. 38, 623, 1930 |
| 995 |  | 11 | 16 | 16:32 | N | 61 W 43 N | Leonld? |  | Sevo* | S, P | Marine Obs. 8, 228,1931 |
| 996 |  | 11 | 16 | 17:23 | N | 102 M 17 M | Leonid | Br | 3 | E,S | U.S.N. Hy. O. |



| N0. | DATE |  |  | HOUR T | TYPE | $\lambda \phi$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { RADIANT } \\ \alpha \\ \delta \end{gathered}$ | Max. MAGN. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DUR- } \\ & \text { ATIOM } \end{aligned}$ | MOTION OF TRAIN | REFERENCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $Y$ | H D | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 00 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1063 | 1931 | 111 | 17 | $14: 14$ N | ${ }^{*}$ | 148 E 24 N | Leonid | $?$ | $5.5+$ | C | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 1064 | 1931 | 11 | 17 | 14:26 | N | 37 W 16 S | Leonid | 8 r | 4- |  | Marine Obs. 9,201,1932 |
| 1065 |  | 11 | 17 | 16:26 | N | 121 E 25 H | Leonid | ? | 9 | M | Kwasan Bul. Mo. 233 |
| 1066 |  | 12 | 1 | 1836 | $T$ | 79 W 37 N | $169+34$ | 8 r | 60+ | 0, S | Proc. Va. Ac. Sci.31, 1931-2 |
| 1067 |  | 12 | 8 | 14:00 N | $N$ | 80 W 28 N | Sp | -4 | 1 | S | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 1069 |  | 123 | 31 | 12:02 N | $N$ | 8 E 52 N | 51-28 | $-3$ | Sev. |  | A.N. 257, 5, 1935; etc. |
| 1069 | 1932 | 1 | 2 | 14:28 | $\cdots$ | 118 W 32 W |  | Br | 24 | D,C, P | P.V.Stump report: U.S.N.Hy.O. <br> (2 Ships) |
| 1070 |  | 21 | 14 | 13:51 | $N$ | 62 W 19 N |  | 8 | 3 | Y | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 1071 |  | 3 | 3 | $N$ | N? | 22 W 56 N |  | ? | 10 |  | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 1072 |  | 4 | 5 | 9:14 K | ${ }^{\text {H }}$ | 120 W 32 N | $245+38$ | Br | 37 | M | Reports from 3 Ships: U.S.N.Hy.O |
| 1073 |  | 4 | 6 | 9:00 K | ${ }^{\text {H }}$ | 123 W 32 H |  | 8 | 2 |  | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 1074 |  | 5 | 3 | 17:12 | N | 139 E 35 S | Eta Aq. | 1 | 3 | D, P | R. C. Shinkfield report |
| 1075 |  | 6 | 4 | 11:57 | N | 84 W 41 N |  | , M | 10 | 0 | $P_{0} A_{0} 40,416,1932$, and letter |
| 1076 |  | 7 | 5 | 11:50 | N | 20 W 12 N |  | 8 r | 5 |  | U.S.N. Hy, 0 |
| 1077 |  | 72 | 29 | 7:52 | $T$ | 75 W 40 N |  | -3,8 | 3 | L | 2 reports |
| 1078 |  | 8 | 10 | 4:30 | D | 94 W 38 N | Sp. | F, 8 | 20- | L | P. A. 44,93,1936 and reports; stone |
| 1079 |  | 8 | 10 | 8:22 | $T$ | 052 N | Perseld |  | 0.5 | Y | Obs. 56,35, 1933 . |
| 1080 |  | 8 | 11 | 15:10 | N | 81 W 33 W | Perseid | -4 | 1.5 | M | W. P. Wamer report |
| 1081 |  | 9 | 21 | 17:25 | T | 122 W 44 N | 42 * 50 | $\frac{1}{2} M, B$ | 15 | S | P. A. 46, 274, 1938 |
| 1082 |  | 10 | 17 | 14:16 | N | 83 W 24 N | Sp. | ? | 3 |  | U.S.N. Hyo Oo |
| 1083 |  | 11 | 14 | 14:45 | M | $84 \mathrm{~W}^{36} \mathrm{~N}$ |  | ? | 1 |  | Mlss A. Willlams report |
| 1084 |  | 11 | 15 | 13:00 | N | 72 W 42 N | Leonld | -2 | 1.3 |  | Annals H.C.O. 82, 151,1935 |
| 1085 |  | 11 | 15 | 13:01 | $N$ | 104 W 18 N | Leonid | -5 | 1 |  | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 1086 |  | 11 | 15 | 13:07 | N | 76 W 39 N | Leonld | 0 | 0.5 | D | Proc. Am. Phfl. Soc. 72, 225, 1933 |
| 1087 |  | 11 | 15 | 14:08 | 1 | 76 W 39 N | Leonld | -3 | 9 | $0, B, P$ | Proc. Am. Phll. Soc. 72, 225, 1933 |
| 1088 |  | 11 | 15 | 14:35 | ${ }^{W}$ | 76 W 39 N | Leonld | -4 | 9 | D, B, P | Proc. Am. Phil. Soc. 72, 225, 1933 |
| 1089 |  | 11 | 15 | 16:05 | N | 72 W 42 N | Leonld | -3 | 3 | C | Annals H.C.O. 82, 15, 1935 |
| 1090 |  | 11 | 15 | 16:05 | $N$ | 98 W 33 N | Leonid | 0 | 3.7 | $\gamma$ | Texas 0.B. Mo.16, and report |
| 1091 |  | 11 | 15 | 16:15 | N | 79 W 36 N | Leonid | ? | 1+ | $Y$ | J.C. Swanson report |
| 1092 |  | 11 | 15 | 16:30 | $N$ | 100 N 31 N |  | Br | 2 | $Y$ | Mrs. F. O. Hester report |
| 1093 |  | 11 | 15 | 18: | N | 74 W 42 N | Leonid | 8 | 2 | S | J. A. Kingsbury report |
| 1094 |  | 11 | 16 | 10:23 | $N$ | 95 W 39 N | Leonid | $x$ | $16+$ | D, E | E.F. Bowman report |
| 1095 |  | 11 | 16 | 15:24 | N | 39 W 55 N | Leonid | $\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{B}$ | 6 | C, 0 | Marine Obs. 9, -, 1932 |
| 1096 |  | 11 | 16 | - | N | 38 W 45 N |  | F | 5 |  | H. F. Ryan report |
| 1097 |  | 11 | 16 | 17 | N | 80 W 26 N |  | F | 8 |  | T. H. Bockhoff report |
| 1098 |  | 11 | 16 | 17 | $N$ | 92 W 35 N |  | F | 5 | $2 ?$ | A. J. Adams report |
| 1099 |  | 12 | 2 | 14:14 | N | 75 W 35 N |  | 8 r | 8 | s | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 1100 |  | 12 | 7 | 7:09 | N | 80 W 27 N | Sp. | $?$ | 1 |  | U.S.N. Hy, O. |
| 1101 |  | 12 | 15 | 8: 24 | $N$ | 99 W 5 S |  | - 5 | 5 | Y | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 1102 | 1933 | 1 | 2 | 14:15 | N | 44 W 32 H | Quad. ? | 8 B | 10 | D | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 1103 |  | 3 | 23 | 18:05 | $T$ | 100 W 36 N | $342+9$ | Br | 90 | $D, S, P, E$ | P.A. 43,291, 1934; Proc.Am. Phil. Soc. 75,486,1935; stone |
| 1104 |  | 5 | 1 | 15:05 | $N$ | 47 W 37 H |  | Br | 15 | R, P | Marine Obs. $11,50,1934$ |
| 1105 |  | 5 | 5 | 14:34 | N | 69 E 39 M |  | 8 r | 13 |  | I. S. Artapowltsch report |
| 1106 |  | 5 | 17 | 10:16 | ${ }^{\prime}$ | 175 E 42 S | $154-14$ | B | 5 | C, Y | J. B. A. A. 45, 74, 1934 |
| 1107 |  | 7 | 27 | $9:$ | $N$ | 41 W 34 N |  | 8 r | 1.7 |  | Marine Obs. 11,95, 1934 |
| 1108 |  | 8 | 21 | 8: 05 | N | 84 W 36 N | $279+65$ | 7 M | 3.4 | D, B | M. W. R. 61,326,1933; <br> Flower Obs. Rep. 23 |
| 1109 |  | 9 | 21 | 8:38 | N | 69 E 39 N |  | -4 | 1.8 * | * D,C,P | I. S. Astapowitsch report |
| 1110 |  | O | 27 | 13:35 | N | 64 N 32 N |  | Br | 13 | D, C | U. S. M. Hy. O. |
| 1111 |  | 10 | 17 | 7:17 |  | 69 E 391 |  | - | 8.5 * | 2, B, P | I.S.Astapowitsch report |
| 1112 |  | 10 | 09 | 7: - |  | 1 E 46 | Drac. | Br | 3 | 0 | B.S.A.F. 47, 509, 1933 |
| 1113 |  | 10 | 09 | 7:35 |  | 2 E 49 | Drac. | $\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{B}$ | 20+* | S. P | B.S.A.F. 47,508, 1933 |
| 1114 |  | 10 | 09 | 7:45 | N | 1 E 48 | Drac. | 8 r | 15 | Y, S, P | B.S.A.F. $47,578,1933$ |
| 1115 |  | 10 | 09 | 8:29 | N | 2 E 49 | Drac. | 8 r | 4. | D, S, P | B.S.A.F. 47, 503, 1933 |
| 1116 |  | 10 | 09 | 13:537 | 3 N | 69 E 34 | Drac.? | 0 | $0.7 *$ | D, B, P | I. S. Astapowitsch report |
| 1117 |  | 10 | $0 \quad 13$ | 10:48 | 3 | 69 E 39 |  | 8 r | 4* | D, R, P | I. S. Astapowitsch report |
| 1118 |  | 10 | O 17 ? | ? 10:26 |  | 69 E 39 | Orionid | Br | 14 | $D, E, P$ | I. S. Astapowitsch report |
| 1119 |  | 10 | 019 | 11:01 |  | 69 E 39 | Orionid | - | $9 *$ | $D, R, B, P$ | I. S. Astapowitsch report |
| 1120 |  | 11 | 19 | 14:55 |  | 75 W 38 | Sp. | 8 B | 6 |  | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 1121 |  | 11 | 110 | 17:45 | N | 80 W 33 | Sp. | 2/3 M | $M 5$ + | S | A. W. Beasley letter |
| 1122 |  | 11 | 112 | 14:40 | N | ? 69 E 39 |  | - | 2 | $Y$, $P$ | I. S. Astapowitsch report |
| 1123 |  | 11 | 116 | 14:06 | N | 19 W 52 |  | 8 r | 15 | M | U.S.M. Hy. O.) not same meteor |
| 1124 |  | 11 | 116 | 14:21 | N | 16 W 55 |  | - 3 | ? | S | U.S.N. Hy, O.) |


| N0. | DATE |  |  | HOUR T | $\lambda \phi$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { RADIANT } \\ \alpha<\delta \end{gathered}$ | MAX. MAGN. | DURATIOM | MOTIOM OF TRAIN | REFERENCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\gamma$ | M D | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc 0$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1125 | 1933 | 12 | 5 | 5:03 N |  | 19 E 54 N | Sp. | $>0$ | 9 | 0 | A. N. 251,223,1934 |
| 1126 |  | 121 | 16 | 11:46 N |  | 86 W 26 N | Sp. | Br | 8 | $L$ | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 1127 | 1934 | 5 | 5 | 14:47 N |  | 93 W 28 N | Sp. | 8 Br | 13 | M, C | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 1128 |  | 51 | 11 | 16:26 N |  | 75 E 10 N | Eta Aquarlo | Br | 3 | D, S, P | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 1129 |  | 6 | 5 | P.M. N |  | 164 E 79 S |  | 1 | 0.1 * | D | T.C.Poulter report |
| 1130 |  | 61 | 17 | 18:45 N |  | 164 E 79 S |  |  | ? * | 0 | T.C. Poulter report |
| 1131 |  | 61 | 17 | 18:48 N |  | 164 E 79 S |  | 10 | 0.1* | 0 | T.C.Poulter report |
| 1132 |  | 7 | 5 | 8:23 T |  | 25 E 48 N |  | 8 r | 24 | S, 8 | A. H. 253, 291, 1934 |
| 1133a |  | 81 | 10 | 12:58 N |  | 30 E 60 H |  | Br | 1 ? | S | A. Kokhanov report) same |
| 1133b |  | 81 | 10 | 15:58 N |  | 30 E 60 N | Perseid | -9 | 1.5* | S | V. Petrov report ) meteor ?? |
| 1134 |  | 81 | 11 | 8:25 M |  | 91 W 38 N |  | -7 | 5 * | D, C, P | J.W.Simpson report |
| 1135 |  | 81 | 11 | 9:46 |  | 70 W 44 N | Perseid | -5 | 22 | D, B, P | R.M. Dole report |
| 1136 |  | 8 | 11 | 12:16 |  | 30 E 60 N |  | Br | 5 | S | A. Manotskov report |
| 1137 |  | 8 | 11 | 12:45 N |  | 15 E 49 N | Perseid | -5 | 6 | D, P | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P.A. } 42,510,1934, \text { B.S.A.F. } \\ & 49,201+289,1935 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1138 |  | 8 | 12 | 12: |  | 50 E 70 N | Perseid | -2 | 3 | D, P | W. Gurlev report |
| 1139 |  | 9 | 4 | 12: |  | 50 E 70 N |  | -1 | 0.4* |  | N. Gurlev report |
| 1140 |  | 9 | 6 | 7:20 |  | 100 W 36 N |  | Br | 10 |  | P. A. 42,518, 1934 |
| 1141 |  | 10 | 3 | 17:50 |  | 39 E 5 S |  | Br | 5 | D,S | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 1142 |  | 10 | 6 | 10:40 |  | 118 W 34 N |  | 8 r | $2+$ | D,C | U.S.N. Hy. 0. |
| 1143 |  | 10 | 8 | 8:17 |  | $74 \mathrm{H}^{20} \mathrm{~N}$ |  | Br | 20 | C | U. S.N. Hy, O. |
| 1144 |  | 10 | 8 | 9:04 |  | 77 W 22 N |  | 8 | 2.5 |  | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 1145 |  | 10 | 11 | 10:29 |  | O W 54 N | $250+75$ | 7 M | 3 | D, E, P | Mat. 134, 1004, 1934 |
| 1146 |  | 10 | 13 | 11:58 | , | 69 E 39 N | Sp. | - 2 | $5.2 *$ | D,S | I. Astapou tisch report |
| 1147 |  | 10 | 18 | 14:29 |  | 148 E 22 M |  | Br | 2.6 |  | U. S.N. Hy. O. |
| 1148 |  | 10 | 29 | 7:17 |  | 75 W 40 N |  | 1/3 M | 3.2 | $Y$ | A. Johns on report |
| 1149 |  | 11 | 5 | 7:16 | 1 | 43 E 13 N |  | Br | 11 | R, P | Marine Obs. 12,147, 1935 |
| 1150 |  | 11 | 11 | 12:56 | $N$ | 84 W 25 N |  | M, 8 | 8 | C | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 1151 |  | . 11 | 16 | 15:34 | 1 | 136 E 35 N | $74+31$ | -5 | 0.8 | D, R, P | H. Inouye report (2 observers) |
| 1152 |  | 11 | 16 | 17:14 | N | 140 E 35 N | Leonid | -4 | 2 |  | Kwasan Obs. Bul. 3, 298, 1935 |
| 1153 |  | 12 | 4 | 13:16 | $N$ | 27 W 12 M |  | 8 | 2 ? |  | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 1154 | 1935 | 1 | 4 | 13:00 | $N$ | $38 \mathrm{E} \pm 22 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  | 3 | S, P | Marine Obs. 13,99, 1936 |
| 1155 |  |  | 27 | 6:08 | T | 78 W 40 N | $80 \$ 46$ | -5 | 124 | D, S | Mo W. R. 63, 158, 1935; Flower Obs. |
| 1156 |  |  | 24 | 7:05 | T | 10 E 55 M |  | Br | 25 | D, S, Ph. | A. M. 255, 153, 1935: Mat. 136, 224, 1935 |
| 1157 |  |  | 20 | 12:36 | $N$ | 85 W 21 N |  | -5, 8 | 8 |  | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 1158 |  |  | - 27 | 10:20 | N | 123 N 46 N |  | Br | $71^{*}$ | S | U.S.N. Hy, O. |
| 1159 |  | 6 | 9 | 7:39 | T | 97 W 35 N |  | < M | 40 | D, S, P | Tex Obs. Bul. Mo. 57 and reports |
| 1160 |  |  | 27 | 14:00 | $N$ | 69 E 39 M |  | +1 | 2.2 | D, R | N. Gurlev report |
| 1161 |  | 7 | 7 | - | $N$ | 69 E 39 M |  | - | ? | D | W. Gurlev report |
| 1162 |  |  | 10 | 11:18 | $N$ | 75 W 40 N |  | -6 | 10- | K, C | 5 reports to A.M.S. |
| 1163 |  | 7 | 9 | 15:05 | $N$ | 69 E 39 N |  | $+2$ | 0.8 * | D, P | N. Guriev report |
| 1164 | - | 7 | 11 | 14:45 | N | 73 W 41 H |  | 8 | , |  | C. A. Isterholm report |
| 1165 |  |  | 722 | 12:04 | N | $136 \mathrm{E} \pm 36 \mathrm{M}$ |  | - | 3.8 | D, P | Kwasan Obs. Bul. 4,311,1936 |
| 1166 |  |  | 730 | 13:37 | $\stackrel{N}{N}$ | 69 E 39 N |  | $+1$ | $1.3^{*}$ | 0 | W. Guriev report |
| 1167 |  |  | 731 | 8:30 | T | 79 W 44 N |  | - 4 | 10 | D,S | Jour.R.A.S. Can. 29, 329, 1935 ; report |
| 1168 |  |  | 85 | 13: 02 | $N$ | 69 E 39 N |  | - | 0.8 * | - D, P | N. Guriev |
| 1169 |  |  | 818 | 12:26 | N | 30 E 60 N |  | 0 | 0.3 | D,E | V. N. Petrov report |
| 1170 |  |  | 96 | 13:56 | N | 70 W 28 N |  | - 2 | 9 | M, L | U.S.N. Hy, O. |
| 1171 |  |  | $9 \quad 17$ | 19:46 | N | 119 W 36 N |  | $\frac{1}{2} M_{0} B$ | - | $Y$ | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 1172 |  |  | 927 | 7:32 | $N$ | 78 W 13 S |  | -5 | 30 * | $\boldsymbol{S}$ | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 1173 |  | 10 | $\begin{array}{ll}0 & 3\end{array}$ | 9:00 | N | 16 W 25 N |  | 08 | Sev. | $Y$ | Marine Obs. 13,132,1936 |
| 1174 |  | 10 | 020 | 11:57 | $N$ | 69 E 39 N |  | $+1$ | 2.1 * | D, P | M. Gurlev report |
| 1175 |  |  | 024 | 7:17 | $N$ | 3 E 40 N |  | - 6 | 20 * | D, R | A. N. 257, 251,1935 |
| 1176 |  |  | 027 | 10:11 | $N$ | 69 E 39 M |  | + 1 | $9.5 *$ | D, P | N. Guriev report |
| 1177 |  |  | $1 \quad 13$ | 5: 05 | T | 12 E 10 S |  |  | 21 | D,S | Marine Obs. 13, 132, 1936 |
| 1178 |  |  | 118 | 14:39 | $N$ | 75 W 40 N | Leonid | < 8 r | 1.5 | D,C, P | R.S.Whitney report |
| 1179 |  |  | 128 | 16:37 | H | 14 N 39 M |  | 8 | 4.5 | M | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 1180 |  |  | $12 \quad 16$ | 10:40 | $N$ | 69 E 39 N |  | +1 | 1.5* | - D, P | W. Guriev report |
| 1181 |  |  | 1221 | 10:42 | $N$ | 81 W 24 N |  | $B$ | 10 | D,C,P | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 1182 | 1936 |  | 421 | 14:19 | N | 12 E 44 N | $N$ Lyrid | -10 | 0 2.5* | D, S, P | E. Loreta report; Jour.R.A.S.Can. $30,250,1936$ |
| 1183 |  |  | $7 \quad 21$ | 11:28 | $N$ | 63 E 36 H |  | 0 - | $61 *$ | D, S, P | N. Guriev report |
| 1184 |  |  |  | 12:00 | $N$ | 13 E 51 \% | M $23+30$ | O-14 | $4{ }^{30+}$ | D, P | A. H. 261,345, 1936; Die Sterne $16,203,1936$ |


|  | DATE |  |  | HOUR T |  | $\lambda \phi$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { RAD I ANT } \\ \alpha \quad \delta \end{gathered}$ | MAGN. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OUR- } \\ & \text { ATION } \end{aligned}$ | MOTION <br> OF TRAIN | REFERENCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $Y$ | 0 | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1185 | 1936 | 726 | 26 | 10:36 N |  | 63 E 36 N |  | - | 1.1 | D,S, P | M. Guriev report |
| 1186 |  | 72 | 28 | 9:04 |  | 12 E 44 N | Sp. | - 4 | 1.7* | D,C,P | Jr. RoA.S. Can. 30,369, 1936 |
| 1187 |  | 8 | 9 | 8:50 |  | 63 E 36 N |  | - | 4.1 | $D, B, B, P$ | M. Guriev report |
| 1188 |  | 81 | 10 | 15:34 |  | 70 W 14 N | Perseid ? | Br | 2 | $Y$ | U.S. M. Hy. $0_{0}$ |
| 1189 |  | 81 | 11 | 12:22 |  | 99 W 30 N | Perseid | - 4 | 5 | D, $B^{\text {P }}$ | R.H. Wilson report |
| 1190 |  | 81 | 11 | 12:02 |  | 63 E 36 H |  | - | 1.2 | D, P | N. Gurlev report |
| 1191 |  | 81 | 11 | 12:53 |  | 63 E 36 N |  | - | $1.2^{*}$ | D, P | W. Guriev report |
| 1192 |  | 81 | 11 | 14:23 |  | 63 E 36 N |  |  | 2. |  | N. Gurlev report |
| 1193 |  |  | 11 | 14:59 |  | 63 E 36 N |  | - | 1.2 |  | M. Guriev report |
| 1194 |  |  | 12 | 9:28 |  | 63 E 36 N |  |  | . 4 |  | N. Guriev report |
| 1195 |  | 8 | 12 | 13: 15 |  | 63 E 36 N |  | - | 8.8 |  | N. Guriev report |
| 1196 |  | 8 | 12 | 12:35 |  | 87 W 36 N | Perseid | <M | 0.7 | C, 0 | L. JoWlison report |
| 1197 |  | 8 | 13 | 9:25 |  | 63 E 36 M |  | - | 0.6 |  | N. Gurlev report |
| 1198 |  | 8 | 13 | 14:36 |  | $12 \mathrm{E} 44 \begin{gathered}\text { M }\end{gathered}$ | Perseld | 0 | 0.8** | D,C, P | Jr. R.A.S. Can. 30,369, 1936 |
| 1199 |  | 8 | 13 | 15:37 |  | 12 E 44 N | Sp. | - 1 | 1.2* | D,C,P | Jr. ReA.S. Can.30,369, 1936 |
| 1200 |  | 8 | 14 | 10:14 N |  | 63 E 36 N |  | $\cdots$ | 6.3 |  | M. Guriev report ${ }^{\text {P. A. 44, } 568,1936 ; ~ s t o n e s ~}$ |
| 1201 |  | 8 | 17 | 7:12 T |  | 98 W 34 N |  | F, $B$ | 15 + | $\gamma$ | P. A. 44, 568 , 1936; |
| 1202 |  | 9 | 7 | 7:30 |  | 63 E 36 N |  | - | 5.6 |  | H. Gurlev report |
| 1203 |  | 9 | 11 | 8:10 |  | 63 E 36 N |  | - | 7 |  | N. Guriev report |
| 1204 |  | 9 | 13 | 14:39 N |  | 63 E 36 N |  | - | 3.3 |  | M. Guriev report Hoffmeister report |
| 1205 |  | 9 | 15 | 12:21 |  | II E 50 N |  | $-5$ | 8 * | D,C, P | Hoffmeister report Hoffmelster report |
| 1206 |  | 9 | 16 | 13:16 |  | II E 50 N | . | -1 | 10 * | D,B,P | Hoffmelster report |
| 1207 |  | 9 | 20 | 12:10 N |  | 10 W I S |  | Br | 13 + | D | U.S. N. Hy. 0 |
| 1208 |  | 10 | 18 | 5:23 |  | 69 E 39 N |  | - 1 |  |  | M. Guriev report |
| 1209 |  | 10 | 18 | 14:31 |  | 70 W 44 N | Orionid | -6,8 | 2.2 | D, B, P | R.M. Dole report |
| 1210 |  | 10 | 19 | 11:53 N |  | 89 W 43 N | Orionid | B | 7 |  | Amat. Astr. 2, 142 |
| 1211 |  | 10 | 19 | 14:17 |  | 70 W 20 N | Orionid ?? | 8 Br | 4 | D,C | U.S.N. Hy. 0. |
| 1212 |  | 10 | 21 | 11:05 N |  | 80 W 30 N | Sp. | < $F$ | 10 | D | Dearborn report |
| 1213 |  | 10 | 21 | 11:34 N |  | 21 E 52 N | Orionid ? | ? -3 | 7* | L | Acta Astr. 3,38,1937 |
| 1214 a |  | 10 | 21 | 14:36 N |  | 87 W 26 N | Orionid | 8 r | 11 |  | U.S.N. Hy. O. <br> )same |
| 1214b |  | 10 | 21 | 14:49 N |  | 85 W 26 N |  | Br | 5 | C,M | Marine Obs. 14, 143, 1937 )meteor E. Loreta report |
| 1215 1216 |  | 10 | 22 22 | $11: 03$ $11: 58$ | N | $\begin{array}{lllll}12 \mathrm{E} & 44 \\ 61 \\ 61 & \mathrm{~W} & 24 & \mathrm{~N}\end{array}$ | Orionld Orionld | $\mathrm{Br}^{-2}$ | ${ }^{6}{ }^{\text {3 }}$ | D,S,P D,C | E. Loreta report U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 1216 1217 |  | 10 | 22 16 | $11: 58$ $11: 03$ | $N$ | 61 N 24 N 12 E 44 | Leonid | 8 B -4 | $5^{30}$ * | D,C | U.S.N. Hy. O. <br> E. Loreta report |
| 1218 |  | 11 | 16 | 14:44 | $N$ | 81 W 29 N | Sp. | -2 | 3.5 | D | R.F. Stevens report |
| 1219 |  | 11 | 124 | 15:40 | $N$ | 11 E 50 N |  | -3 | 15 * | D, C, P | C. Hoffmelster report |
| 1220 |  | 12 | 2 | 13:59 | $N$ | 30 E 34 N |  | Br | 11 | D, C | U. S. Ne Hy. O. |
| 1221 |  | 12 | 22 |  | $N$ | 21 E 52 N | $171+16$ | Br | 0.8 |  | Acta Astr. 3,119,1937 |
| 1222 | 1937 | 1 | 119 | 6:04 | T | 72 W 21 N |  | $\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{B}$ | 20 | D,S, P | Marine Obs. 15, 10, 1938 |
| 1223 |  |  | 27 | 14:16 | $N$ | 46 W 31 N |  | M | 12 | M | U.S.N. Hy* O. |
| 1224 |  |  | 321 | 6:52 | T | 3 W 51 N |  | ? | 8 | D, P | Jour. B. A. A , 47, 255, 1937 |
| 1225 |  |  | 530 | 15:09 | H | 66 W 30 N |  | Br | 3 |  | U.S. W. Hy. O. |
| 1226 |  |  | 620 | 8:33 | T | 98 W 36 N |  | >V | 10 | D,S | S. Burch report |
| 1227 |  |  | 621 | 7:41 | $T$ | 117 W 33 N |  | Br | 32 | D, C, P | 0. B. Landau report |
| 1228 |  |  | 621 | 7:50 | $T$ | 97 W 33 N |  | $>M$ | 204 | D, C | Texas 0. 8. 2,83,1938 |
| 1229 |  |  | 623 | 8:25 | T | 79 W 44 N |  | $-5,8$ | 30 | D, S | A. Davidson report |
| 1230 |  |  | 83 | 12:57 | N | 11 E 44 N | Perseld | 10 | 1.5* |  | Jour. R.A.S. Can., 32,91, 1938 |
| 1231 |  |  | 87 | 14:31 | $N$ | 37 E 22.N | Perseid ? ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | ?? 8 r | 5 | D, R, P | Marine Obs. 15,90, 1938 |
| 1232 |  |  | 88 | 10:08 | $N$ | 30 E 60 N | Perseld | - -8 | 2.5 | Y, E, P | V. M. Petrov report |
| 1233 |  |  | 89 | 10:48 | N | 11 E 44 N | - $335+67$ | $7-2$ | 0.4 | 7.5 | Dle Sterne, 17,237,1937 |
| 1234 |  |  | 811 | 11:30 | N | 11 11254. N 37 N | 1 Perseld | d $\begin{aligned} & \text { d } \\ & =-4\end{aligned}$ |  | 2, $0, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}$ | L. Arstanian Report |
| 1235 |  |  | $8 \quad 12$ | 12:14 | N | 122 N 37 N | N Parseld |  |  |  | C. $\mathrm{H}_{\text {a Smith }}$ report |
| 1236 |  |  | 13 | 12:02 | W $\mathbf{W}$ | 77 W 43 N 90 W 39 N | N Perseld <br> N Sp. | 1 $\begin{array}{r}-3 \\ 0\end{array}$ | 1.5 20 | Di, ${ }_{\text {D }}$ | Jour. ReA.S. Can. 31,398, 1937 |
| 1237 1238 |  | 8 | 17 | $11: 31$ $11: 45$ | N | 90 E 60 N | N | -4.5 | 5 0.7 | M | V.N. Petrov report |
| 1239 |  | 1 | 26 | 7:58 | $N$ | 4 E 51 N |  | Br | $6{ }^{*}$ | D, C | Astr.Gaz. 24, 99-100; 1937 |
| 1240 |  | 10 | 4 | 11:10 | $N$ |  |  | $X, \mathrm{Br}^{\text {r }}$ | r 6.5 | 5 S | Marine Obs. 15, 139, 1938 |
| 1241 |  | 10 | 30 | 8:25 | $N$ | 21 W 49 N |  | 8 | 5 | 5 0 | U. S. W. B. report |
| 1242 |  | 10 |  | 10:06 | N | $78 \times 31$ |  | Br | 15 | 5 D | U.S.N. Hy. O. 2522; 1938-1-5 |
| 1243 |  | 10 | 31 | 14:48 | H | 147 E 32 |  | Br | 9 | 9 D,C | U.S.N. Hy, O. |
| 1244 |  | 11 | 13 | 7:22 | * | $58 \times 19 \mathrm{~N}$ |  | -3 | 4 | 4 D,S | U.S.N. Hy, U. S. |
| 1245 |  | 11 | 1 | 11:42 | N | 145 E 27 |  | -4, 8 | $8 \quad 0.9$ | 9 S | U.S. M. Hy. O. |
| 1246 |  | 11 | 12 | 16:40 | $N$ | 12 E 441 | $H$ | -10 | $11{ }^{1}$ | - D,S,P | E. Loreta report |
| 1247 |  | 12 |  | 15:50 | \% | 93 W 14 l |  | - 3 |  | 4 D,C,P | U.S.N. Hy. O.; Mo D. Berg repor U.S. W. B. report |
| 1248 |  | 12 | 18 | 11:17 | * | 45 W 31 | $\cdots$ | ? | ? 11 | 1 E,S,P | U.S. W. B, report |


| $N 0$. | DATE |  |  | HOUR | TYPE |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { RAD IANT } \\ \alpha \quad \delta \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAX. } \\ & \text { MAGK } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OUR- } \\ & \text { ATIOM } \end{aligned}$ | MOTION of Train | REFERENCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $Y$ | M | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | 0 O |  |  |  |  |
| 1249 | 1938 | 2 | 7 | 5:50 | $T$ | 79 W 36 N |  | > M | $5+$ | 0 | A.C.Howell report |
| 1250 |  | 2 | 18 | 8:13 | $N$ | 37 E 23 N |  | 8 | 2 | Y | Marine Obs. 16,11,1939 |
| [25] |  | 3 | 5 | 13:54 | * | 84 W 25 N |  | 8 | 3- | D, C | U.S.N. Hy. 0. |
| 1252 |  | 6 | 1 | 7:50 | $T$ | 117 W 49 N | $167+13$ | M > , B | $60+$ | D, B, B, P | 48 reports to A.M.S. |
| 1253 |  | 6 | 24 | 5:40 | 0 | 80 W 41 N |  | M, ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | 15. | D |  |
| 1254 |  | 7 | 25 | 10:11 | $N$ | 12 E 44 N |  | -3 | 5* |  | E. Loreta report |
| 1255 |  | 7 | 28 | 11:46 | $N$ | 12 E 44 N | Perseld | 0 | $0.3{ }^{*}$ | P | E. Loreta report |
| 1256 |  | 7 | 29 | 12:28 | N | 118 W 48 N |  | $1 / 8 \mathrm{M}$ | 5 | 2, P | B.C. Parmenter report |
| 1257 |  | 10 | 21 | 13:35 | $N$ | 12 E 44 N | Sp. | $\pm 1$ | 0.5* |  | Jour. R. A.S. Canada 33,114, 1939 |
| 1258 |  | 10 | 22 | 13:52 | $N$ | 104 W 18 N |  | -5 | 1.3* | C | U.S.N. Hy, 0 . |
| 1259 |  | 10 | 26 | 12:50 | $N$ | 88 W 16 N |  | -5 | 3.5 | C | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 1260 |  | 10 | 27 | 7:55 | $N$ | 81 W 29 N |  | -4,8 | Sev. | 2 | D. Faulkner report |
| 1261 |  | 11 | 21 | 14:12 | $N$ | 111 W 23 N |  | Br | 1+ | 5 | U. S.N. Hy. O. 2575 |
| 1262 |  | 11 | 20 | 17:42 | $N$ | 9 E 49 N | $160+45$ | -6 | 25 | D, E | A.N. 269, 276-8; 1939 |
| 1263 |  | 11 | 24 | 15:43 | $N$ | 15 E 43 N |  | 8 r | 25 | D, E | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 1264 | 1939 | 1 | 11 | 14:02 | $N$ | 79 W 12 N | 170-19 | -5 | 7 | D, P | P. A. 47, 204, 1939 |
| 1265 |  | 4 | 16 | 15:30 | N | 57 W 12 N |  | +3,8 | 4.8 | C, E, D | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 1266 |  | 4 | 22 | 9:45 | $N$ | 74 W 41 N | Sa. | F | $7+$ | 0 | Mo. Preis report |
| 1267 |  | 4 | 28 | 22:20 | D | 87 W 32 N |  | X | Sev. | M | P. A. 48, 93, 1940; The Sky June, 1940, p. 6 |
| 1268 |  | 5 | 2 | 7:01 | $T$ | 96 W 29 N |  | F | 15 | $s, r$ | The Sky Aug. 1939, p.6; stones |
| 1269 |  | 5 | 15 | 9:05 | $N$ | 74 W 41 N |  | X | $10+$ | D, P | F.W.Smith report |
| 1270 |  | Summ |  | - | - | 116 W 48 N |  | F | 30 | $Y$ | Wm. Tess in report |
| 1271 |  | 7 | 16 | 10:00 | N | 11 E 44 N | Perseid ? | -2 | 2.5 | D, C, P | Jour. R. A. S.Canada 33,388, 1939 |
| 1272 |  | 7 | 16 | 11:23 | N | 126 E 31 N |  | $\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{B}$ | 2 | $Y$ | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 1273 |  | 8 | 9 | 16:15 | N | 81 W 40 N | Perseld?? | - | 15 | S, P | W. A. Dletrich report |
| 1274 |  | 8 | 12 | 13:30 | N | 11 E 44 N | Perseid | -3 | 7.5* | D, P | Jour. R.A.S.Canada 33,390, 1939 |
| 1275 |  | 8 | 12 | 14:07 | N | 11 E 44 N | Perseid | +1 | 0.5** | D, P | Jour.R.A.S.Canada 33,390, 1939 |
| 1276 |  | 8 | 15 | 9:55 | N | 11 E 50 N | Perseid | x | 17 | D, R, P | Die Sterne 19, 242,1939 |
| 1277 |  | 8 | 16 | 15:50 | N | 43 W 24 N | Perse id?? | -3 | 3.5 | M | U.S.N. Hy, O. |
| 1278 |  | 9 | 11 | 13:16 | N | 79 W 28 N |  | F | ?? | D | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 1279 |  | 9 | 14 | 9:35 | N | 90 W 42 N |  | $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{M}$ | 6 | D, P | P.J.Klaas report |
| 1280 |  | 9 | 16 | 9:29 | N | 90 W 28 N |  | 8 | $0.8{ }^{*}$ | S | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 1281 |  | 10 | 6 | 14:57 | N | 61 W 18 N |  | -3 | 3.5 | C, 0 | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 1282 |  | 10 | 8 | 11:01 | N | 113 W 37 N |  | - | 2.5 | S | E. $A_{0}$ Kinoatek report |
| 1283 |  | 10 | 19 | 14:59 | N | 85 W 25 N |  | F, B | 20 | D | U.S.N. Hy. O. |
| 1284 |  | 10 | 19 | 16:25 | N | 85 W 21 N |  | - | 10 | 0 | U.S.N. Hy. 0 . |
| 1285 |  | 10 | 20 | 12:22 | N | 11 E 44 N | Orionid | -5 | 6* | D,C,P | Jour. R.A.S.Canada 33,441,1939 |
| 1286 |  | 10 | 20 | 13:44 | N | 11 E 44 N | Orionid | 0 | $1 *$ | D, P | Jour. R.A.S.Canada 33,443, 1939 |
| 1287 |  | 10 | 20 | 16:24 | N | 11 E 44 N | Orionid | -7 | 2.3* | D, S, P | Jour. R.A.S.Canada 33,443, 1939 |
| 1288 |  | 10 | 21 | 16: 18 |  | 79 W 45 N | Orionid | -3 | $3 *$ | D, P | Jour. R.A.S.Canada 33,439, 1939 |
| 1289 |  | 10 | 22 | 15:06 |  | 10 E 43 N | Orionid | -1 | $1.3^{*}$ | P | Mme. Corucci report |
| 1290 |  | 11 | 8 | 10:08 | B | 11 E 44 N |  | -2 | $3.2{ }^{*}$ | D, C, P | Jour. R.A.S.Canada 33,443, 1939 |
| 1291a |  | 11 | 16 | 14:28 | 8 | 70 W 44 N | Leonid | M | 41 | D, P | R.M.Dole report ) same |
| 1291b |  | 11 | 16 | 14:19 | N | 79 W 45 N | Leonid | -3+ | $5.5 *$ | D | Jour. R.A.S.Canada, 33, 439) meteor 1939: 34. 425. 1940 |
| 1292 |  | 11 | 16 | 16:30 | - | 79 W 45 N | Leonid | -3 | 9* | D, P | Jour. R. A. S. Canada 33,439, 1939 |
| 1293 |  | 11 | 16 | 17:04 | \% | 81 W 40 N |  | x | $1+$ | 2 | Wmo A. Dietrich report |
| 1294 | 1940 | 3 | 22 | 7:22 |  | 83 W 42 N |  | Br | 15 | D, C, P | Mrs.M. Back report |
| 1295 |  | 4 | 2 | 14:07 | 7 | 88 W 22 N |  | 8 | 5 | - | U.S.N. Hy. 0. |
| 1296 |  | 4 | 20 | 15:52 | 2 | 81 W 29 M | Sp. | -6 | 0.3 | D, S, P | A.E.Hayes report |
| 1297 |  | 8 | 11 | 13:03 | 3 | 12 E 44 N | Perseid | -2 | $0.8{ }^{*}$ | $D, P$ | E. Loreta report |
| 1298 |  | 8 | 12 | 13:53 | 3 | - 12 E 44 N | Perseld | 0 | $2.2{ }^{*}$ | D, P | E. Loreta report |
| 1299 |  | 8 | 12 | 14:57 | 7 | 12 E 44 N | Perseld | -2 | 2.2** | D, P | E. Loreta report |
| 1300 |  | 8 | 14 | 15:37 | 7 | 12 E 44 N | Perseld | -2 | 2.3* | D, P | E. Loreta report |
| 1301 |  | 9 | 11 | 14:56 | 6 | 84 W 25 N |  | F | $1+$ | Y | U.S. W.B. report |
| 1302 |  | 10 | 21 | 15:17 | 7 | 91 W 28 M | Orionid | - | 1.5 | D, C | U.S.N. Hy. 0. |
| 1303 | 1941 | 1 | 9 | 18:12 | 2 | 73 W 38 N |  | F, 8 | 2 | M | U.S.N. Hy. 0. |
| 1304 | 1850 | 10 | 3 | 8:30 | 0 | 73 W 42 M |  | F | 60? | A ? | A.A.A.S. Proc. 6,191, 1851 |
| 1305 | 1862 | 9 | - | P.M. | ? | $0 \quad 46 \mathrm{~N}$ |  | F,B | Long |  | L'Espace Celeste |
| 1306 | 1865 | 2 | 10 | - | - | 78 E 12 M |  | M, B | 5 |  | Astr. Reg. 3,162,1865 |
| 1307 | 1868 | 6 | 8 | 9:50 | T | 1 W 52 N |  | ${ }^{8 r}$ | 4 | S | Eng. Mech. 7, 351, 1868 |
| 1308 | 1871 | 10 | 18 | 9:45 | 5 | 8 W 48 K |  | Br | 15. | C | Astr. Reg. 9, 18, 1871 |
| 1309 | 1873 | 2 |  | 9:45 | 5 | 145 E 38 S |  | -4 | 5 | D | Eng. Mech. 17, 171, 1873 |
| 1310 | 1882 | 2 | 3 | 3:45 | 5 | 23 E $47 \times$ |  | F,B | Long |  | St ones, Wient A. K. 89, 11, 283, 1914; H.C.45; |



## Table II

The first column gives the serial number taken from Table I. Hb gives beginning height and He gives end height of meteor itself in kilometers, the unit everywhere employed. V gives its observed geocentric velocity in $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{sec}$. In Columns 4 and 5, H1 gives height of the upper and H2 of the lower end of the train. Column 6 gives the direction of drift in azimuth, starting at South for $0^{\circ}$ and going to West. To get bearings one must add $180^{\circ}$. Vt is the velocity of the drifting train in $\mathrm{km} /$ hour. Va is the same velocity expressed in degrees/minute. When the drift was recorded as rapid or slow, without further data, the letters R and S are used in this column. When a double designation, as N/S, appears it means that the direction of motion may be either to North or to South, the data being ambiguous. Z denotes that the observer reported no drift of train. Unfortunately, for those which lasted a really long time, there is frequently nothing to show whether the observer meant with respect to the stars, in which case there would be a westward drift due to rotation of Earth, or with respect to Earth's surface, in which case drift would be really zero.

I used my best judgment in such cases. The last column gives other data of value as to the train, if available.

With regard to the direction of drift, in the older cases I have usually taken the results of former computers, but often checked them. In some cases I got different results which are entered here, the others being omitted. I am myself responsible for the reduction of all reports to the A. M. S. and those that came from ships, unless the observer himself specifically recorded the direction of drift. Even then, when possible, I checked. This is also true as to Loreta's work and the manuscript reports from the U. S. S. R., both of which are so numerous. I derived all drifts by plotting on a large celestial globe and passing a great circle through the observed points or at observed angle to the meteor's plotted path. Where this circle cuts the horizon was taken as the direction of drift. Hence a drift of $90^{\circ}$ means towards the West point, not from it. In the 'Remarks' A stands for N. America, S for the oceans, Q for Southern Hemisphere, E for the land-mass of Europe-Asia and a few in N. Africa.


| \% 0. | HETEOR |  |  | TRA1 1 |  |  |  |  | REMARKS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hb | He | $V$ | $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | d | Vt | Va |  |  |
| 77 | km | km | km/sec | km | km | $180^{\circ}$ | km/ $/ \mathrm{Hr}$ | o/min |  | E |
| 83 |  |  |  |  |  | 45 |  |  | 3 levels | E |
| 87 |  | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Explosion point | A |
| 93 |  |  |  |  |  | 235 |  | S | Small vertical comp. | A |
| 95 |  |  |  |  |  | 225 |  | S |  | A |
| 96 |  |  |  |  |  | NE/SW |  |  | Upper part only | A |
| 97 98 |  |  |  |  |  | 270 270 |  |  |  | A |
| 102 | $89+$ | 48 |  |  |  | 270 | $300+$ |  |  | A |
| 115 |  |  |  |  |  | 90 |  | 0.7 |  | A |
| 116 |  |  |  |  |  | $2 \pm$ |  | 5 | Small deformation | A |
| 127 |  |  |  |  |  | c 112 | 151 | 0.5 + | For 88 km height assumed | A |
| 128 |  |  |  |  |  | c 112 |  |  | Slow | E |
| 129 |  |  |  |  |  | c 135 + |  |  |  | E |
| 134 |  |  |  |  |  | c 270 |  | 0.1 | Midd le part | S |
| 136 |  |  |  |  |  | E/W |  |  | Contradictory evidence | E |
| 138 |  |  |  |  |  | c 135 |  | 0.2 | K2 | E |
| 147 152 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 2 |  |  | Bent, after 15 min .2 | E |
| 155 |  |  |  |  |  | 45 |  |  | $Z$ for 7 min., then S W | E |
| 157 |  |  |  |  |  | z |  |  |  | A |
| 163 | 145 | 92 | 89 |  |  |  |  | S | Parallel strata; v. Iow vel. | E |
| 170 |  |  |  |  |  | $z$ |  |  | Sparks dropped perpendicularly. | E |
| 171 | 140 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Particles fell to 16 km ; burst at 32 km | E |
| 175 |  |  |  |  |  | c 11 | 200 | 1.2 | For 88 km height (assumed?); length train 19 km | A |
| 184 |  |  |  |  |  | $z^{+}$ |  |  |  | E |
| 191 | 96 | 37 | 24 |  |  |  |  | S | Certainly small if any | E |
| 194 |  |  |  |  |  | c 270 |  | 0.7 |  | E |
| 195 |  |  |  |  |  | c 180 |  |  |  | E |
| 198 |  |  |  |  |  | c 202 |  | 0.7 | For 87 km height; train 24 km long | E |
| 200 |  |  |  |  |  | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}z \\ 90\end{array}\right.$ |  |  | Upper part <br> Lower part | E |
| 201 | 219 | 114 |  |  |  | c 315 |  |  | Upward component | E |
| 202 | 56 | 13 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | A |
| 205 |  |  |  |  |  | 270 |  |  | Middle to E $\pm$, rest E/W | E |
| 215 |  |  |  |  |  | c 270 | 129 ? | 0.4 | On assumptions | E |
| 216 |  |  |  |  |  | c 270 |  |  | Large drift | E |
| 225 | 300 | 16 | $>58$ |  | 16 | 270 |  |  |  | A |
| 228 |  |  |  |  |  | 90 180 |  |  | Upper level; first had vertical component |  |
| 229 |  |  |  |  |  | 180 |  |  | "Parts of train"; rapid drift | E |
| 230 | 88 829 | (16) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Burst at 16 km |  |
| 232 | $\begin{aligned} & 229 \\ & 312 \end{aligned}$ | 104 104 | 94 86 |  |  | c 0 | 579 | 3.4 | von Wiessl's values; Denning's vel, for train: Herchel's values | E |
| 235 | 312 | 104 | 86 |  |  | 112 |  |  | train; Herchel's values <br> Or opposite; somewhat ambiguous |  |
| 237 |  |  |  |  |  | z |  |  |  | E |
| 243 |  |  |  |  |  | 145 |  |  |  | Q |
| 245 | 160 | 54 | 67 |  |  | W/E |  |  | Ambiguous | E |
| 247 |  |  |  |  |  | 56 |  |  | Upper fourth | E |
| 248 | 39 | 37 | 24 | (38 | 38) | 180 |  |  | Several strata | E |
| 252 | 56 | 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E |
| 253 254 | 56 |  |  |  |  | 315 |  |  | At least 3 strata | E |
| 254 256 | 56 | 40 |  |  |  | 335 |  |  | Train in Teles. 24 sec . duration only Or Z, for middle part | E |
| 260 |  |  |  |  |  | 90 |  |  |  | E |
| 263 |  |  |  |  |  | c z |  |  |  | E |
| 264 |  |  |  |  |  | - 90 |  |  |  | E |
| 265 | 212 | 59 | 88 |  |  | - |  |  |  | E |
| 266 | 259 | 12 | 30 |  |  | c ${ }^{63}$ |  |  | Probably middle part | E |
| 274 275 |  |  |  |  |  | $z$ $z+$ |  |  | Train $44 \times 2.5 \mathrm{~km}$ |  |
| 275 279 | 282 43 | 23 37 | 30 50 | 37 $(40$ | 23 $40)$ | 2+ |  |  | Train $44 \times 2.5$ km |  |
| 284 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | E |
| 285 | 170 | 48 | 109 |  |  |  |  |  | Middle of train lasted longest | E |
| 286 | 185 | 45 | 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  | E |


| NO. | METEOR |  |  | T R A I M |  |  |  |  | REMARKS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hb | He | $V$ | $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | d | Vt | Va |  |  |
|  | km | km | km/Sec | km | km | 0 | Km/hr | o/min |  |  |
| 291 |  |  |  |  |  | 180 |  |  |  | 1 |
| 292 |  |  |  |  |  | 180 |  |  |  | A |
| 294 |  |  |  |  |  | 180 |  | 0.3土 | Decreasing vel. in drift, X6 | E |
| 295 |  |  |  |  |  | 29 |  |  | Probable interpretation | E |
| 296 |  |  |  |  |  | 90 |  |  |  | E |
| 297 | 58 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  | . | E |
| 298 | 130 | 30 | 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  | E |
| 299 303 |  |  |  |  |  | 225 315 |  |  |  | E |
| 303 304 |  |  |  |  |  | 315 90 |  | 2.0 |  | E |
| 305 |  |  |  |  | 90 | ? |  |  | Vertical component of drift | E |
| 309 |  |  |  |  |  | c 315 | 521 | 2.8 | For 97 km height assumed | E |
| 310 |  |  |  |  |  | c 349 | 270 | 2.0 | For 80 km height | E |
| 311 |  |  |  |  |  | c 124 | 77 | 0.8 | For 97 km height assumed | E |
| 312 | 160 | 85 |  | 95 | 85 | c 270 | 180 | 1.6 | Train expanded at rate of $0.16 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{min}$ | E |
| 313 |  |  |  |  |  | c 0 |  |  |  | E |
| 319 |  |  |  |  |  | c 292 |  |  |  | E |
| 321 | 193 | 97 |  | 105 | 97 | c 180 | 200 |  | Train expanded at rate of $0.27 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{min}$ |  |
| 322 323 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}0 \\ \hline 315\end{array}$ |  |  |  | E |
| 323 325 |  |  |  |  |  | c $\begin{gathered}315 \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{gathered}$ | 274 | 2.0 | For 97 km height assumed | E |
| 326 |  |  |  | 108 | 98 | c ${ }^{2} 26$ | 338 | 1.8 | Assuming 103 km .alt. | E |
| 328 |  |  |  |  |  | c 0 |  | 1.9 |  | E |
| 334 | $\left\{_{115}^{137}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{r} 67 \\ 105 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | 90 |  |  | von Niess l's values, B.A.A.S. values doubtful! | E |
| 341 |  |  |  |  |  | 135 |  |  |  | A |
| 342 |  |  |  |  |  | 315 |  | 1.8 |  | A |
| 343 |  |  |  |  |  | 315 |  | 0.7 |  | A |
| 344 |  |  |  |  |  | 338 |  | 0.8 |  | A |
| 345 |  |  |  |  |  | 349 |  |  | Large drift of $11^{\circ}$ | A |
| 346 |  |  |  |  |  | 270 |  | 0.6 |  | A |
| 348 |  |  |  |  |  | 180 |  |  |  | A |
| 357 | 148 | 119 | 30 |  |  | 270 |  |  | Slow drift; hopeless disagreement as to results | E |
| 358 | 126 | 20 |  |  | A1 |  |  |  | Explosion cloud $2.3 \times 1.5 \mathrm{~km}$; Infer 2 drift | ${ }^{\text {A }}$ |
| 361 |  |  |  |  |  | 315 | c 770! | 4.0 | Very $72 ; 3$ strata |  |
| 362 | 307 | 111 | 88 |  |  |  |  |  | Inclination of orbit $112^{\circ}$ | E |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 67 180 | 153 $<100$ | 0.8 | Height assumed? from Haverford, Pa. | A |
| 370 | 193 | 79 |  |  | 79 | $\left\{\begin{array}{c}180 \\ 0\end{array}\right.$ | $<100$ 100 |  | Upper part, train 48 km long $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lrom New } \\ & \text { England }\end{aligned}$ fart |  |
| 373 |  |  |  | (82) |  |  |  |  |  | A |
| 375 |  |  |  | 105 | 84 | 180 |  |  |  | A |
| 377 |  |  |  | (124) |  | 180 |  |  | Brighter portion of the meteor track; train 16 km long | A |
| 382 | 137 | 97 |  |  |  | $\left\{\begin{array}{c}0 \\ 180 \\ 0\end{array}\right.$ |  | 1.0 | Drifts as seen from Hew Haven, Conn; from New York, N.Y."to W by E, $5^{\circ}$ in 3 min" | A |
| 383 |  |  |  |  |  | 270 |  |  | Slow; possibly Z ? | A |
| 384 386 |  |  |  |  | 95 | 180 |  |  |  | A |
| 386 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | Possibly E ?; if so slow | $Q$ |
| 389 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | E |
| 391 |  |  |  |  | (64) | c 180 | $257 ?$ |  | Vel. due to Denning, who gives d to H ; mean height | A |
| 393 | 145 | 43 | 55 | 76 | 43 | c 135 | 161 | 0.6 |  | E |
| 394 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}180 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | $\mathrm{s}$ | Meight $98-97 \mathrm{~km}$ assumed; 3 strata | A |
| 395 |  |  |  |  |  | c 292 | 137 | 0.9 | Height 88-97 Km assumed; 3 strata | A |
| 396 397 |  |  |  |  |  | c $\quad 90$ c $\quad 135$ | 48 193 | S | Height 88-97 Km assumed | E |
| 401 |  |  |  |  |  | c $\begin{gathered}135 \\ \\ \\ 0 \pm\end{gathered}$ | 193 |  |  | E |
| 402 | 74 | 82 |  | 74 | 82 | 45 |  |  | Deflected upwards at 67 km ! where most persistent part of train was; mean height train 74 km | E |


| 10. | HETEOR |  |  | TRA IN |  |  |  |  | R EMARKS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nb | He | $V$ | $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | d | Vt | Va |  |  |
| 403 | km <br> 166 <br> (83) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Km } \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{sec}$ <br> 65 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{km} \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{km} \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | - | km/hr | o/Min | Train 31 Km long | E |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 407 |  |  |  |  |  | c 270 | 64 | 0.2 | Height 87 km assumed | E |
| 408 | 105 | 32 | 74 | 46 | 44 |  |  |  | Train $2^{\circ}$ long | E |
| 410 | 164 |  | $>30$ |  |  | $z$ |  |  | Possibly to W, slow | E |
| 411 |  | 69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E |
| 414 416 | 145 | 66 |  | 97 | 77 |  |  |  |  | E |
| 416 424 |  |  |  |  |  | 180 $2 \pm$ |  |  | For center; also-vertical component | E |
| 428 |  |  |  |  |  | 90 | $s$ |  | Drift short distance | E |
| 433 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Train had vertical component | $Q$ |
| 437 | 163 | 32 | 44 | 64 | 32 |  |  |  | Train $148 \times 0.8 \mathrm{~km}$ | E |
| 438 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Very uncertain; 9 parallel strata | E |
| 439 |  |  |  |  |  | 45 |  | 2 |  | E |
| 446 448 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | E |
| 448 449 | 192 |  |  |  |  | c 292 | 174 | 0.5 | Height 97 km assumed? | E |
| 452 | 267 | 22 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |
| 454 | 142 | 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |
| 455 | 164 | 79 | 29 |  |  | NW/SE |  |  | 5 strata | E |
| 456 |  |  |  |  |  | c 45 | 319 | 2.0 | Height 105 km assumed | E |
| 457 |  |  |  |  |  | C 90 | 204 | 1.0 | Height assumed? | E |
| 459 |  |  |  |  |  | c 315 |  |  |  | E |
| 460 | 93 | 26 | 24 | 48 | 26 | c 180 |  | 0.9 | 3 strata | E |
| 463 |  |  |  |  |  | 90 |  | 0.3 | 3 sections | A |
| 466 | 68 | 31 | 34 |  |  | 180 |  | 5 | Exp. at 35 km | E |
| 468 |  |  |  |  |  | C 90 |  |  | $5 \text { strata }$ | E |
| 469 |  |  |  |  |  | c 180 | 225 | 1.9 | Height assumed? | E |
| 470 |  |  |  |  |  | $(225$ | 394 |  | Upper part | E |
|  | 97 | 64 |  |  |  | 12 | 0 |  | Lower part |  |
| 471 473 | 113 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |
| 473 |  |  |  |  |  | 90 |  |  |  | A |
| 474 | 80 | $32$ | 53 |  |  |  |  |  | Herschel's values | E |
| 479 |  |  |  |  |  | 270 |  |  |  | A |
| 481 |  |  |  |  |  | $z \pm$ |  |  |  | E |
| 489 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Several strata | A |
| 490 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0.5 |  | E |
| 491 496 | 122 | 93 | 34 |  |  | 90 |  |  |  | E |
| 498 |  |  |  |  |  | c 225 |  | 0.3 | Slow | A |
| 501 |  |  |  |  |  | 90 |  |  | Lower part | A |
| 502 |  |  |  |  |  | c 0 | 170 | 0.5 | Assuming average height of ? Km | A |
| 504 |  |  |  |  |  | c 338 | 212 | 0.8 | Assuming average height of ? Km | A |
| 505 |  |  |  |  |  | c 160 |  | 0.2 |  | A |
| 506 507 |  |  |  |  |  | c 270 | 373 | 0.5 | Assuming average height of ? Km | A |
| 508 |  |  |  |  |  | 23 |  |  | Or NoW.; ambiguous | A |
| 509 |  |  |  |  |  | 90 |  | 0.2 |  | E |
| 510 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | Or to E, very slow | A |
| 511 |  |  |  |  |  | 281 |  | 1.7 |  | A |
| 512 |  |  |  |  |  | 225/45 |  |  | Strata $1,3,5$ to $\mathrm{N}_{0} \mathrm{E}_{0} ; 2,4,6$ to S.W. | E |
| 520 |  |  |  |  |  | 315 |  |  | Several degrees | A |
| 521 |  |  |  |  |  | 180 |  | S |  | A |
| 528 |  |  |  |  |  | c 315 $\pm$ |  |  |  | E |
| 531 |  |  |  |  | 100 | N/s |  |  | Mean height | E |
| 532 |  |  |  |  |  | $(45$ |  |  | Upper part | E |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (225 |  |  | Lower part |  |
| 537 |  |  |  |  |  | z $\pm$ |  |  | Very slow | $Q$ |
| 538 |  |  |  |  |  | 180 |  |  |  | E |
| 545 | 105 | 45 |  | 76 | 69 |  |  |  |  | E |
| 547 | 133 | 3 | 40 |  |  | 158 |  |  | Burst at 34 km | E |
| 548 |  |  |  |  |  | 2? |  |  |  | A |
| 553 | 220 | 33 | 48 | 40 | 33 | c 225 | 200 |  | Train 21 km long | E |


| N0. | HETEOR |  |  | TRA I M |  |  |  |  | REMARX |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hb | He | V | $H_{1}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $d$ | Vt | Va |  |  |
|  | Km | Km | Km/Sec | km | Km | $\bigcirc$ | km/Hr | 0/Min |  |  |
| 554 |  |  |  |  |  | NW/SE |  |  |  | A |
| 556 | 126 | 76 |  | 95 | 76 |  |  |  | Train 29 Km long | E |
| 560 | 105 | 60 |  | 92 | 72 | c 315 | 290 |  | Train 24 Km long | E |
| 561 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | Expansion only | E |
| 562 567 |  |  |  |  |  | 270 |  |  |  | E |
| 567 569 | 157 | 40 | 54 | 77 | 39 | c 315 | 290 | 1.0 | Height assumed ? | E |
| 579 |  |  |  |  |  | c ${ }^{23}$ $(2$ |  |  | Different velocities indicated | E |
| 580 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & (158 \\ & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 48 | 0.5 | Middle part; | E |
| 582 |  |  |  |  |  | c 202 | 463 | 3.4 |  | E |
| 583 |  |  |  |  |  | $z$ |  |  | At point of appearance | E |
| 588 | 257 | 43 | 81 |  | 59 |  |  |  | Train 634 km long | E |
| 590 |  |  |  | (93) |  | c 248 | 201 |  | Mean height given $\pm$ | E |
| 594 |  |  |  |  |  | 315 |  | 0.2 |  | A |
| 600 |  |  |  |  | (45) | c 67 | 105 | 0.6 | Exp. pt. 45 km | A |
| 601 |  |  |  |  |  | 2士 |  |  |  | E |
| 604 | 145 | 48 |  | 93 | 48 | c 315 | 196 | 0.7 | 12 Km long at first; at end ovad of 6 km diam. | E |
| 605 |  |  |  |  |  | 315 |  |  |  | E |
| 608 |  |  |  |  |  | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 180\end{array}\right.$ |  |  | Upper part | Q |
| $612$ |  |  |  |  |  | ( 180 90 |  | S | Lower part Small, or 2 | A |
| 613 |  |  |  |  |  | z + |  |  | Vertical component at bottom | A |
| 614 |  |  |  |  |  | c 225 |  | 0.2 | $70^{\circ} \mathrm{drift}$ | E |
| 615 |  |  |  |  |  | 225 |  |  | Whole train | A |
| 619 | 132 | 105 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  | E |
| 621 |  |  |  |  |  | N/S |  |  | $10^{\circ} \mathrm{train}$, not less than 80 or 100 Km ; 5 strata | A |
| 622 |  |  |  |  |  | 225 |  |  |  | E |
| 623 |  |  |  |  |  | 135 |  |  | K 26 | E |
| 627 |  |  |  |  |  | 270 |  |  | Varying velocities | E |
| 630 |  |  |  |  |  | 270 |  |  |  | E |
| 636 |  |  |  |  |  | 135 |  |  |  | E |
| 638 |  |  |  |  |  | c 180 |  |  |  | E |
| 639 |  |  |  |  |  | ( 112 |  |  | Upper part; K 23 | E |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ( 292 |  |  | Lower part |  |
| 642 644 |  |  |  |  |  | 135 |  |  |  | S |
| 644 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | A |
| 650 |  |  |  |  |  | c 23 | 171 | 0.3 | Height 88-97 Km assumed | , |
| 651 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 90 |  |  | Or slowly to K | A |
| 653 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}(90 \\ \\ \hline\end{array} 270$ |  |  | Upper part <br> Lower part | E |
| 654 |  |  |  |  |  | 165 |  |  |  |  |
| 655 |  |  |  |  |  | 180 |  | S |  | A |
| 662 |  |  |  |  |  | c 45 |  | 0.7 |  | E |
| 663 |  |  |  |  |  | c 45 |  |  |  | E |
| 667 | 114 | 68 | 33 | 114 | 68 | 180 |  | 0.1 | Duration longest lower end | E |
| 670 |  |  |  |  |  | 90 |  |  |  |  |
| 675 | 93 | 24 |  |  |  | c 90 |  |  | Path length 280 Km | E |
| 676 | 136 | $32 \pm$ |  |  | $40+$ | c 225 |  |  | Path length 134 Km | E |
| 677 | 122 | 108 | 64 |  | 108 | c 315 | 187 | 1 | K 29 | E |
| 678 | $40+$ | 11 | 42 | (25) |  |  |  |  | Mean height train given, burst 19 Km | A |
| 680 |  |  |  |  |  | c 270 |  |  |  | E |
| 681 |  |  |  |  |  | $90+$ |  |  |  | 8 |
| 683 | 102 | 34 | 37 |  |  |  |  |  | Train 0.8 Km wide; path length 147 Km | E |
| 686 | 153 | 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E |
| 687 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | S |  | E |
| 690 | 144 | 83 | 53 |  |  | c 45 |  |  | Path length 264 Km | E |
| 692 |  |  |  |  |  | 225 |  | 0.3 |  | A |
| 693 |  |  |  |  |  | c 90 |  | 0.4 |  | A |
| 694 |  |  |  |  |  | z+ |  | S | Or to W, v. slow | A |
| 695 |  |  |  |  |  | 169 |  |  | K 31 | A |




| 10. | METEOR |  |  | TRA IN |  |  |  |  | REMARXS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hb | He | $V$ | $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | d | Vt | Va |  |  |
|  | km | km | km/Sec | km | km | 0 | km/Hir | e/min |  |  |
| 904 |  |  |  |  |  | 90? |  |  | Or Z; ambiguous | s |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ( 225 |  |  | Top | s |
| 906 |  |  |  |  |  | $\left(\begin{array}{l}2 \\ 1 \\ 45\end{array}\right.$ |  |  | Center |  |
| 915 |  |  |  |  |  | ( $\begin{array}{r}45 \\ 270\end{array}$ |  |  | Bottom ${ }^{\text {Bottom; several others E/W }}$ | s |
| 917 |  |  |  | $27 \pm$ | $19+$ |  |  |  | Train 24 Km long | A |
| 919 | 119 | 53 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  | Path length 74 Km | $Q$ |
| 920 |  | 75 |  | 106 | 75 | c 2 |  |  | Expansion only, radial $V=120 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | A |
| 924 |  |  |  |  |  | 270 |  |  | Most peculiar curved path! | E |
| 925 |  |  |  |  |  | 270 |  | S | Very slow | P |
| 327 |  |  |  |  |  | 180 |  |  | 3 variable velocities, lower part of train only | S |
| 937 |  |  |  |  |  | c 135 |  | 2.1 |  | S |
| 940 |  |  |  |  |  | 90 |  |  |  | $Q$ |
| 946 | 145 | 80 | 68 |  |  |  |  |  | Path length 61 Km ? | E |
| 953 | 72 | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Path length 120 km | E |
| 954 |  |  |  |  |  | 90 |  |  | 3 levels, solution rather? | $Q$ |
| 956 |  |  |  |  |  | 135 |  | 0.1 |  | Q |
| 957 |  | 110 |  | 110 |  | 270 ? |  | 5 | Or z; 2 observers | E |
| 959 |  |  |  |  |  | 0/2/0 |  |  | 3 strata | S |
| 960 |  |  |  |  |  | 90 |  |  | 3 strata | A |
| 967 |  | 25 |  |  |  | c 34 |  |  | Lower condensation only | A |
| 969 |  |  |  |  |  | c 135 |  |  |  | A |
| 970 |  |  |  |  |  | 250 |  |  |  | $Q$ |
| 973 |  |  |  |  |  | 23 |  |  |  | S |
| 979 |  |  |  |  |  | 270 |  | S | E at first, then stationary | A |
| 980 |  |  |  |  |  | 270 |  | $s$ | Top and bottom 2 ; center to E | E |
| 984 |  |  |  |  |  | 350 |  |  | 3 levels for lower part | A |
| 986 |  |  |  |  |  | E/V |  |  | 3 strata, vertical component also | S |
| 987 |  |  |  |  |  | 202 |  |  | Middle; 3 strata | S |
| 990 |  |  |  |  |  | c 112 |  | 2.2 | Drift to WWH: then to NW | E |
| 993 |  |  |  |  |  | 225 |  | $1+$ |  | S |
| 994 |  |  |  |  |  | c 270 | 100 | 1.0 | Height assumed | A |
| 995 |  |  |  |  |  | 90 |  |  | For strata 2 and 4 out of 5, others 2 | S |
| 996 |  |  |  |  |  | E/W |  |  | Upper part; lower Z?? | S |
| 1001 | 113 | 35 | 21 |  |  | 20 |  |  | Upper and lower parts; middle Z, | s |
| 1002 |  |  |  |  |  | 80 ? |  | s | Very slow or 2 | E |
| 1003 | $92 ?$ | 92 |  | $92 ?$ | 92 | c 0 |  |  | End part of train drifted S; rest ?? | A |
| 1004 |  |  |  |  |  | $2 \pm$ |  |  | Zero N-S; small E-W | A |
| 1005 |  |  |  |  |  | c 23 |  |  |  | A |
| 1006 |  |  |  |  |  | z |  |  |  | A |
| 1007 |  |  |  |  |  | $345 \pm$ |  | $s$ |  | A |
| 1014 |  |  |  |  |  | 27 |  |  | Or E very small | E |
| 1015 |  |  |  |  |  | c 146 |  | 0.4 |  | E |
| 1016 |  |  |  |  |  | c 90 |  | 0.5 |  | E |
| 1020 |  |  |  |  |  | z + |  |  |  | S |
| 1021 |  |  |  |  |  | c 2 |  |  |  | S |
| 1022 |  |  |  |  |  | c 292 |  | 0.7 |  | A |
| 1025 |  |  |  |  |  | N/S |  |  | 2 strata ? | S |
| 1026 |  |  |  |  |  | 270 |  |  | Lower part; rest ?? | S |
| 1027 |  |  |  |  |  | 180 |  |  | Whole train | S |
| 1029 |  |  |  |  |  | c 270 |  |  |  | A |
| 1029 1033 |  |  |  |  |  | SSW/NNE |  |  | 3 strata; some possibly z | A |
| 1033 1035 |  |  |  |  |  | c $\begin{array}{r}180 \\ \\ \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | 1.0. | Slight change dir. of drift after 2 min . | A |
| 1036 |  |  |  |  |  | 315 315 |  |  | Lower part | S |
| 1037 |  |  |  |  |  | 190 |  |  | Middle part; rest 2 ? | s |
| 1041 |  |  |  |  |  | 270 |  |  | Lower part | s |
| 1042 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 ? |  |  | Debris falling only ?? | A |
| 1044 1047 |  |  |  |  |  | c 90 |  | 1.0 | $3 \text { strata }$ | s |
| 1047 1049 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{rr}\text { c } & 45 \\ \text { c } & 338\end{array}$ |  | 0.7 |  | A |
| 1049 1057 |  |  |  |  |  | C $\begin{array}{r}338 \\ \\ \\ \end{array}$ |  | 2. + |  | A |



\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{M0.} \& \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{HETEOR} \& \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{T A A M} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{REMARKS} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} <br>
\hline \& Hb \& He \& $V$ \& $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ \& $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ \& d \& Vt \& Va \& \& <br>
\hline \multirow{6}{*}{1155} \& \multirow[t]{6}{*}{km} \& \multirow[t]{6}{*}{km} \& \multirow[t]{22}{*}{$\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{sec}$} \& \multirow[t]{6}{*}{km

62} \& \multirow[t]{6}{*}{km} \& 0 \& $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{Hr}$ \& \multirow[t]{6}{*}{ormin} \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& 10 \& 121 \& \& Height 62 ) \& A <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& ( 180 \& 82 \& \& 47 ) velocities projected and \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& $\left(\begin{array}{l}18 \\ 180\end{array}\right.$ \& 30 \& \& 44 ) minimum \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& ( 180 \& 52 \& \& 42 ) \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& $\left(\begin{array}{c}0 \\ (180\end{array}\right.$ \& 38
79 \& \& 39
29 \& <br>
\hline 1156 \& \multirow[t]{16}{*}{95} \& \multirow[t]{16}{*}{80} \& \& \& \multirow[t]{16}{*}{(72 $\pm$ )} \& - 90 \& \multirow{16}{*}{79} \& \& Mean height; drift considerable \& E <br>
\hline 1159 \& \& \& \& \& \& 180 \& \& \& Central part; top and bottom $z$ \& A <br>
\hline 1160 \& \& \& \& \& \& 180 \& \& 2.5 \& \& E <br>
\hline 1161 \& \& \& \& \& \& 180 \& \& \& \& E <br>
\hline 1163 \& \& \& \& \& \& 0 \& \& 1.5 \& \& E <br>
\hline 1165 \& \& \& \& \& \& c 335 \& \& 2.5 \& \& E <br>
\hline 1166 \& \& \& \& \& \& 270 \& \& 5.0 \& \& E <br>
\hline 1168 \& \& \& \& \& \& 260 \& \& \& Central part only \& E <br>
\hline 1174 \& \& \& \& \& \& ( 270 \& \& 4.5 \& Direction changed \& E <br>
\hline 1175 \& \& \& \& \& \& c 180 \& \& 0.5 \& \& E <br>
\hline 1176 \& \& \& \& \& \& ( 225 \& \& S \& \& E <br>
\hline 1177 \& \& \& \& \& \& ( W/E \& \& \& About 25 strata, horizontal \& $Q$ <br>
\hline 1178 \& \& \& \& \& \& c 310 \& \& 1.3 \& \& A <br>
\hline 1180 \& \& \& \& \& \& 340 \& \& 0.8 \& \& E <br>
\hline 1181 \& \& \& \& \& \& 0 \& \& 1.6 \& \& S <br>
\hline 1182 \& \& \& \& \& \& c 45 \& \& 0.5 \& \& E <br>
\hline 1184 \& \multirow[t]{32}{*}{129} \& \multirow[t]{32}{*}{73} \& \multirow[t]{37}{*}{37} \& \multirow[t]{37}{*}{(93)} \& \& ( 2288 \& 10 \& \& Main part; rapid expansion Below 90 km \& E <br>
\hline 1185 \& \& \& \& \& \& 110 \& \& \& Middle part \& E <br>
\hline 1186 \& \& \& \& \& \& 315 \& \& \& \& E <br>
\hline 1189 \& \& \& \& \& \& c 175 \& \& 1.2 \& \& A <br>
\hline 1190 \& \& \& \& \& \& 250 \& \& \& \& E <br>
\hline 1191 \& \& \& \& \& \& 250 \& \& \& \& E <br>
\hline 1192 \& \& \& \& \& \& 270 \& \& \& \& E <br>
\hline 1194 \& \& \& \& \& \& 70 \& \& R \& \& E <br>
\hline 1195 \& \& \& \& \& \& 330
(c) \& \& $s$ \& \& E <br>
\hline 1196 \& \& \& \& \& \& (c) 158 \& \& \& Middle part \& A <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& 12 \& \& \& Upper and lower parts \& <br>
\hline 1197 \& \& \& \& \& \& 270 \& \& \& \& E <br>
\hline 1198 \& \& \& \& \& \& c 27 \& \& \& \& E <br>
\hline 1199
1204 \& \& \& \& \& \& c 270 \& \& \& \& E <br>
\hline 1204 \& \& \& \& \& \& 2 \& \& \& Middle part \& E <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& (c) 140 \& \& 0.1 \& Upper part \& $E$ <br>
\hline 1205 \& \& \& \& \& \& (c) 140 \& \& 0.3 \& Midd le part \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& (c) 2 \& \& $0.0 \pm$ \& Lower part \& <br>
\hline 1206 \& \& \& \& \& \& c 225 \& \& 0.4 \& Middle part only of original path \& E <br>
\hline 1207 \& \& \& \& \& \& 180 \& \& $5 . \pm$ \& \& $Q$ <br>
\hline 1209 \& \& \& \& \& \& c 0 \& \& 2.5 \& \& A <br>
\hline 1210 \& \& \& \& \& \& c 2 \& \& \& Or very slow \& A <br>
\hline 1211 \& \& \& \& \& \& c 90 \& \& 2.0 + \& \& S <br>
\hline 1212 \& \& \& \& \& \& c 90 \& \& 0.8 \& \& A <br>
\hline 1214 \& \& \& \& \& \& N/S \& \& \& Seen from 2 ships \& A <br>
\hline 1215 \& \& \& \& \& \& 190 \& \& \& Middle part \& E <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& ( 270 \& \& \& Lower part \& <br>
\hline 1216 \& \& \& \& \& \& 112 \& \& \& \& s <br>
\hline 1217 \& \& \& \& \& \& $45 \pm$ \& \& 0.2 \& \& E <br>
\hline 1218 \& \& \& \& \& \& 202 \& \& \& \& A <br>
\hline 1219 \& \& \& \& \& \& 90 \& \& 0.2 \& 4 strata; 1 and 3 prob. 2 \& E <br>
\hline 1220 \& \& \& \& \& \& 169 \& \& \& Possibly N \& S <br>
\hline 1221 \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{119} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{92} \& \& \& \& \& \& \& Train 75 km long; $i=147^{\circ}, e=0.74$ \& E <br>
\hline 1222 \& \& \& \& \& \& N/S \& \& \& 9 strata, vel. growing larger with dec. height \& <br>
\hline 1224 \& \& \& \& \& \& c 225 \& 133 * \& 0.8 \& Assuming 100 Km height \& E <br>
\hline 1226 \& $142 \pm$ \& $40 \pm$ \& \& \& \& - 67 \& . \& \& assuming \& A <br>
\hline 1227 \& \& \& \& \& \& 270 \& \& \& \& A <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}




Table III
This table gives the annual and monthly distribution of the trains, along with their total numbers. The influence of the well-known cometary showers is very strongly indicated in the monthly totals.



|  | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | - | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 8 3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 3 | 1 |  | 3 5 |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 4 |
| 24 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 9 |
| 25 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |  | 10 |
| 26 |  | 1 |  |  | I | 2 |  | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 12 |
| 27 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 3 |  | 2 | 4 | 5 | 2 |  | 17 |
| 28 | 2 | 1 |  | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  | 7 | 24 | 1 |  | 45 |
| 29 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 2 | 4 | 1 |  | 2 | 1 |  | 15 |
| 1930 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 3 | - 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 21 | 1 |  | 36 |
| 31 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 54 | 3 |  | 73 |
| 32 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 16 | 3 |  | 33 |
| 33 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 5 | 2 |  | 25 |
| 34 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 1 |  | 27 |
| 35 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 2 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 |  | 28 |
| 36 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 4 | 15 | 6 | 9 | 3 | 2 |  | 40 |
| 37 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 5 |  | 8 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 |  | 28 |
| 38 |  | 2 | 1 |  |  | 2 | 3 |  |  | 4 | 3 |  |  | 15 |
| 39 | 1 |  |  | 3 | 2 |  | 2 | 5 | 3 | 9 | 4 |  | 1 | 30 |
| 1940 |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  | 4 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 9 |
| 41 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1801) | 42 | 44 | 30 | 47 | 47 | 69 | 92 | 190 | 95 | 157 | 388 | 77 | 3 | 1,281 |
| 1941) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Before) } \\ 1801 \text { ) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 2 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 55 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 44 | 46 | 36 | 52 | 51 | 74 | 97 | 192 | 101 | 164 | 392 | 83 | 4 | 1,336 |

The writer desires to express his sincere appreciation for a grant from the J. Lawrence Smith Fund of the National Academy of Sciences for aid in the preparation of this paper.

# AN ANALYSIS OF VERTICAL OSCILLATIONS IN THE SOUTHERN NORTH ATLANTIC ${ }^{1}$ 
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## Abstract

Hydrographic materials for the present analysis consisted of 28 repeated samplings of the water column, each comprising 17 observations between surface and approximately 1100 meters depth, obtained while "Atlantis" was anchored for 26 hours in 5000 meters depth at $25^{\circ} 32^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $53^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ (Station 3245). The temperature at fixed depths varied as much as $2.25^{\circ}$ during the observation period owing to internal vertical displacements of the water layers. Smaller temperature variations $\left(0.32^{\circ}-\right.$ $0.36^{\circ}$ ) occurred at depths of $200-300$ meters where the water column was least thermally stratified and where vertical displacements were largest (48-56 meters), whereas the larger variations characterized depths of greater thermal stratification.

Analytical transformation of the observational materials by harmonic analysis of vertical displacements of 22 selected isotherms, and subsequent statistical treatment reveals that vertical displacements are well represented by coefficients of 24 and 12 lunar hour frequencies. The effects of irregular influences are largely eliminated and characteristic space properties of the phenomenon are brought out by plotting phases and amplitudes in harmonic dials and investigating the geometric properties of the resulting point aggregates. Both diurnal and semidiurnal groups of points were characterized by marked ellipticities and the small, but significant, average vectors of vertical displacements (between surface and 1050 meters) result from combinations of larger amplitudes but of nearly opposite phases. Formulas for computation of ellipse constants, tests for significance of correlation coefficients and average vectors are given in forms suitable for numerical computation.

Statistical analysis of theoretical Internal Waves contingent in the water mass at Station 3245, computed from Fjeldstad's Internal Wave theory, reveals that significant properties of observed displacements are well represented by the theoretical mechanism. Additional geophysical significance is furnished by the phase and amplitude relations of displacement vectors, being such as to suggest a connection between Internal Wave and tidal mechanisms. Internal Wave propagation velocities in the North Atlantic, where depths exceed the average, may be approximated by dividing 221 by the wave order (1st, 2nd, etc.). Length of the first order semidiurnal Internal Wave, having a propagation velocity of $221 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{sec} .^{-1}$, is 99.05 kms , or approximately $1 / 100$ the length of the semidiurnal tidal wave at the mean depth of the oceans.

[^1]
## Introbuction

Internal vertical oscillations in the sea are revealed by time variations of temperature and salinity at fixed points throughout the ocean space. For adequate description of the phenomenon, numerous observations need to be transformed and reduced to patterns appropriately indicating their average state and time variability. The present analysis of approximately 500 repeated temperature measurements, has been undertaken by means of numerical methods adapted from other somewhat analogous geophysical investigations. The procedure represents a departure from customary treatments of oceanographic data; the initial descriptive discussion of observational material is followed by harmonic analyses of the vertical displacements at critical depths, and the results of the transformation then treated statistically. The final part of the paper considers comparisons of statistical properties of observed and theoretical vertical displacements and the possible relation of Internal Wave to tidal phenomena.

The basic information analyzed was obtained from 28 repeated temperature samplings ${ }^{2}$ of the water column taken uninterruptedly at 17 subsurface levels (to 1200 meters depth) over 26 hours ( $02^{\mathrm{h}} 54^{\mathrm{m}}$, January 23 to $04^{\mathrm{h}} 45^{\mathrm{m}}$, January 24, 1939, G.C.T.) while the "Atlantis" was anchored in 5000 meters depth at Station 3245 $\left(25^{\circ} 32^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 53^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{W}\right)$. Due to favorable weather and absence of drift, the measurements (taken with the usual precision) were treated as having a high degree of reliability. Depths of observations changed but little between successive samplings, as evidenced by frequency distributions of temperature differences. $\left(\Delta T^{\circ}\right)$ between protected and unprotected thermometers (Table 1) for measured wire lengths of 300 , 800 , and 1200 meters. The maximum computed

[^2]TABLE 1

| Measured depth: 300 M Av. True depth: 292.6 M |  | Measured depth: $\mathbf{8 0 0} \mathrm{M}$ Av. True depth: 788.6 M |  | Measured depth: 1200 M Av. True depth: 1179.0 M |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Temperature difference | Per cent frequency | Temperature difference | Per cent frequency | Temperature difference | Per cent frequency |
| $2.56{ }^{\circ}-2.57^{\circ}$ | 22.2 | $7.80^{\circ}-7.81^{\circ}$ | 4.2 | $10.42^{\circ}-10.43^{\circ}$ | 16.0 |
| $2.58{ }^{\circ}-2.59^{\circ}$ | 22.2 | $7.82{ }^{\circ}-7.83^{\circ}$ | 0.0 | $10.44^{\circ}-10.45^{\circ}$ | 16.0 |
| $2.60^{\circ}-2.61^{\circ}$ | 33.4 | $7.84{ }^{\circ}-7.85{ }^{\circ}$ | 25.0 | $10.46^{\circ}-10.47^{\circ}$ | 36.0 |
| $2.62^{\circ}-2.63^{\circ}$, | 22.2 | $7.86{ }^{\circ}-7.87^{\circ}$ | 45.8 | $10.48^{\circ}-10.49^{\circ}$ | 28.0 |
|  |  | $7.88{ }^{\circ}-7.89^{\circ}$ | 25.0 | $10.50^{\circ}-10.51^{\circ}$ | 4.0 |

Frequency distributions of temperature differences between protected and unprotected thermometers at measured wire depths of 300,800 , and 1200 meters. Average true depths computed from temperature differences. "Atlantis" Station 3245.
variation at any depth during the entire period of observation was 9 meters and, in this case, errors in computed sampling depths may rarely be expected to exceed 3 meters and those in temperature, $0.02^{\circ}$.

## Vertical Osclllations and Temperature Variations at Station 3245

In preparing material for analysis, the original temperature data (corrected) of each sampling were individually plotted against depth and scaled both for temperature at standard depths (see Appendix 1) and for depths of standard isotherms. Time variations of temperature at standard depths (Table 2) are considered to result chiefly from internal vertical movements of the water layers, the magnitudes of which are indicated by time variations in depths of standard isotherms (Table 3).

During the twenty-six hours at Stations 3245, temperatures at standard depths ( $0-1100$ meters) varied from $0.32^{\circ}$ to $2.25^{\circ}$ (Table 2) as a result of vertical displacements of 15 to 56 meters (Table 3). The smaller temperature variations $\left(0.32^{\circ}-0.36^{\circ}\right)$ occurred at depths (200-300 meters) where the water column was least stratified and where the vertical displacements were largest ( $48-56$ meters), whereas the larger variations $\left(1.31^{\circ}-2.25^{\circ}\right)$ occurred at depths (100-150 meters) characterized by the greater temperature (and density) statification, and by corresponding smaller vertical displacements ( $26-48$ meters). In the moderately strongly stratified mid-depths, temperature variation of $0.57^{\circ}$ to $0.66^{\circ}$, between 500 and 800 meters, corresponded with vertical displacements of 28 to 41 meters, while still deeper, with diminishing temperature (and density) stratification, temperature variations diminished; at 1100 meters (greatest depth
sampled) a variation of $0.24^{\circ}$ corresponded to a 47 meter vertical displacement.

General relationships between diurnal temperature ranges at fixed depths (Table 2) and vertical displacements of the water column (Table 3) to vertical distributions of temperature and density $\left(\sigma_{t}\right)$ and to vertical variations of density $\left(\Delta \sigma_{t} / \Delta Z\right)$ are brought out by Fig. 1. Computations of temperature and vertical displacement ranges are affected by randomness of the observations; if measurements had extended over several days a more satisfactory analysis would have been possible (such, for instance, as that carried out on 13 days of continuous observations at Station 3091. ${ }^{3}$ Nevertheless, it is brought out that the apparent damping of vertical displacements by increased stratification is insufficient to offset increased temperature varia-

TABLE 2

| Depth | Average <br> temperature | Maximum <br> temperature | Minimum <br> temperature | Temperature <br> range |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $23.99^{\circ}$ | $24.55^{\circ}$ | $23.65^{\circ}$ | $0.60^{\circ}$ |
| 100 | $21.96^{\circ}$ | $22.47^{\circ}$ | $21.16^{\circ}$ | $1.31^{\circ}$ |
| 150 | $19.29^{\circ}$ | $21.08^{\circ}$ | $18.83^{\circ}$ | $2.25^{\circ}$ |
| 200 | $18.12^{\circ}$ | $18.30^{\circ}$ | $17.98^{\circ}$ | $0.32^{\circ}$ |
| 300 | $17.47^{\circ}$ | $17.71^{\circ}$ | $17.35^{\circ}$ | $0.36^{\circ}$ |
| 400 | $16.52^{\circ}$ | $16.77^{\circ}$ | $16.32^{\circ}$ | $0.45^{\circ}$ |
| 500 | $14.95^{\circ}$ | $15.31^{\circ}$ | $14.74^{\circ}$ | $0.57^{\circ}$ |
| 600 | $13.14^{\circ}$ | $13.46^{\circ}$ | $12.83^{\circ}$ | $0.63^{\circ}$ |
| 700 | $11.04^{\circ}$ | $11.30^{\circ}$ | $10.67^{\circ}$ | $0.63^{\circ}$ |
| 800 | $9.08^{\circ}$ | $9.30^{\circ}$ | $8.64^{\circ}$ | $0.66^{\circ}$ |
| 900 | $7.71^{\circ}$ | $7.88^{\circ}$ | $7.56^{\circ}$ | $0.32^{\circ}$ |
| 1000 | $6.47^{\circ}$ | $6.79^{\circ}$ | $6.29^{\circ}$ | $0.50^{\circ}$ |
| 1100 | $5.73^{\circ}$ | $5.87^{\circ}$ | $5.63^{\circ}$ | $0.24^{\circ}$ |

Resumé of temperature variations at standard depths for "Atlantis" Station 3245 from $02^{\mathrm{h}} 54^{\mathrm{m}}$, January 23 to $04^{\mathrm{h}} 45^{\mathrm{m}}$, January 24, 1939 (G.C.T.). Scaled values.

[^3]TABLE 3

| Isotherm | Maximum <br> depth | Minimum <br> depth | Range <br> (meters) |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $23.00^{\circ}$ | 82.5 | 42.2 | 40.3 |
| $22.00^{\circ}$ | 110.0 | 77.9 | 32.1 |
| $21.00^{\circ}$ | 151.8 | 103.3 | 48.5 |
| $20.00^{\circ}$ | 161.9 | 124.5 | 37.4 |
| $19.00^{\circ}$ | 170.0 | 144.1 | 25.9 |
| $18.00^{\circ}$ | 254.0 | 198.0 | 56.0 |
| $17.50^{\circ}$ | 325.5 | 278.0 | 47.5 |
| $17.00^{\circ}$ | 376.5 | 338.0 | 38.5 |
| $16.50^{\circ}$ | 423.0 | 387.0 | 36.0 |
| $16.00^{\circ}$ | 458.2 | 422.0 | 36.2 |
| $15.00^{\circ}$ | 519.8 | 484.0 | 35.8 |
| $14.00^{\circ}$ | 572.0 | 536.2 | 35.8 |
| $13.00^{\circ}$ | 620.0 | 591.0 | 29.0 |
| $12.00^{\circ}$ | 663.8 | 636.2 | 27.6 |
| $11.00^{\circ}$ | 715.5 | 683.0 | 32.5 |
| $10.00^{\circ}$ | 770.0 | 731.0 | 39.0 |
| $9.00^{\circ}$ | 820.0 | 778.5 | 41.5 |
| $8.50^{\circ}$ | 852.0 | 810.0 | 42.0 |
| $8.00^{\circ}$ | 883.0 | 867.8 | 15.2 |
| $7.50^{\circ}$ | 934.0 | 906.0 | 28.0 |
| $7.00^{\circ}$ | 979.5 | 943.0 | 36.5 |
| $6.00^{\circ}$ | 1086.0 | 1039.5 | 46.5 |

Resumé of time variations in depth of standard isotherms for "Atlantis" Station 3245 from $02^{\mathrm{h}} 45^{\mathrm{m}}$, January 23 to $04^{\text {h }} 45^{\mathrm{m}}$. January 24, 1939 (G.C.T.). Values scaled for indicated isotherms.
tions at the fixed depths concerned. For instance, the most strongly stratified layer of water, between 100 and 150 meters depth (average vertical variation of $\sigma_{t}: \Delta \sigma_{t} / \Delta Z=114.97 \times 10^{-4}$ units of $\sigma_{t}$ per meter; average vertical variation of temperature: $\Delta T^{\circ} / \Delta Z=4.98 \times 10^{-2}$ degrees per meter), was characterized by an average diurnal vertical displacement of 38.5 meters and a corresponding average temperature change of $1.92^{\circ}$. In the more homogeneous water immediately below, between 200 and 300 meters, where average density stratification $\left(\Delta \sigma_{t} / \Delta Z=\right.$ $9.97 \times 10^{-4}$ ) was reduced to 8.67 per cent of the above and the vertical variation of temperature ( $\Delta T^{\circ} / \Delta Z=6.7 \times 10^{-3}$ degrees per meter) to 13.5 per cent, the average diurnal vertical displacement of 51.0 meters produced an average temperature variation of only $0.33^{\circ}$. Still deeper, in the thermocline, where, between 500 and 800 meters, density stratification increased $\left(\Delta \sigma_{l} / \Delta Z=17.71 \times 10^{-4}\right), 1.78$ times and temperature stratification $\left(\Delta T^{\circ} / \Delta Z=19.4 \times 10^{-3}\right)$ 2.89 times the above, the average vertical displacements were reduced to 34.0 meters or 67 per cent of the above and the accompanying average temperature variation increased 1.89 times, or to
$0.627^{\circ}$. In the deepest water yers sampled (between 900 and 1100 meters), the aver: $\rho$ density stratification $\left(\Delta \sigma_{t} / \Delta Z=8.42 \times 10^{-4}\right)$ decreased to 47.5 per cent, and the temperaturn stratification $\left(\Delta T^{\circ} / \Delta Z=9.73 \times 10^{-3}\right)$ to $5($ per cent of its former value, but the averag vertical displacement increased 1.15 times to 39 . meters, producing an average diurnal temperature variation of only $0.390^{\circ}$. These interrela tionships are similar to those in other North Atlantic ${ }^{4}$ areas and it may be inferred that the more homogeneous layers of the ocean basins (to depths of approximately $1200-1400$ meters) are charterized by relatively larger vertical displacements and smaller diurnal temperature variations.

## Harmonic Analysis of Isotherm-Depth Variations

Results of harmonic analysis of depth variations of selected isotherms for 24 and 12 lunar hour components are tabulated in Table 4 as values of the coefficients, $C$ and $\alpha$, thus:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H=C_{0}+C_{1} \cos \frac{2 \pi}{24}\left(t-\alpha_{1}\right) & \\
& +C_{2} \cos \frac{2 \pi}{12}\left(t-\alpha_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Phases and amplitudes were determined by least squares, ${ }^{5}$ the former (in lunar hours) having been adjusted to refer from the time of the previous upper transit of the moon at the Greenwich meridian which occurred at $13^{\mathrm{h}} 32.3^{\mathrm{m}}$ (G.C.T.) on January 22, 1939. Thus analyses were made of the observational series, beginning 14 lunar hours $\left(03^{\mathrm{h}} 57^{\mathrm{m}}\right.$, January 23, 1939, G.C.T.) after the time of the moon's upper transit at the Greenwich meridian on January 22 and ending 14 lunar hours $\left(04^{\mathrm{h}} 40^{\mathrm{m}}\right.$, January 24, 1939) after its upper transit the following day, January 23 ( $14^{\mathrm{h}} 14^{\mathrm{m}}$; G.C.T.). Consequently the phase angles recorded in Table 4 have been increased by 14 lunar hours, this procedure being preferable to referring the phase to some arbitrary time, even though the coefficients do not necessarily

[^4]apply outside the original observation period The results of harmonic analyses of vertical displacements for 6 isotherms are illustrated by Fig. 2.
In general, vertical variations of phase angles, mplitudes and ratios of amplitudes ( $C_{24} / C_{12}$ ) are dentified with the internal structure of the water column in that vertical transitions closely coincide with temperature and density stratification (Fig. 1, Table 4). In the upper strongly stratified part of the water column, approximately between 50 and 150 meters, the phase of both waves change rapidly with depth; amplitudes of both waves were relatively low with the semidiurnal wave dominating, (average $C_{24} / C_{12}=$ 0.648 ). Somewhat deeper, in the more homogeneous water between 150 and 450 meters, both 24 and 12 hourly waves maintained more nearly uniform phase angles and the amplitudes of both waves attained maximum values, with the 12 hour amplitude again dominating (average $\left.C_{24} / C_{12}=0.525\right)$. In still deeper water of increased stability, as between 550 and 1050 meters (Fig. 1), vertical variation of phase angles again increased and amplitudes were, on the whole, diminished, but the lunar diurnal wave generally dominated.

TABLE 4

| Isotherm | $C_{0}$ | $C_{24}$ | $\alpha_{24}$ | $C_{12}$ | $\alpha_{12}$ | $C_{24} / C_{12}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| $23.0^{\circ}$ | 62.52 | 5.39 | $23.39^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 5.56 | $7.08^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 0.97 |
| $22.0^{\circ}$ | 99.28 | 4.56 | $22.56^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 5.09 | $7.71^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 0.901 |
| $21.0^{\circ}$ | 118.74 | 0.84 | $7.50^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 6.72 | $8.45^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 0.125 |
| $20.0^{\circ}$ | 135.11 | 0.94 | $11.20^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 2.04 | $8.96^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 0.461 |
| $19.0^{\circ}$ | 157.58 | 2.48 | $12.07^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 2.53 | $1.01^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 0.980 |
| $18.0^{\circ}$ | 216.46 | 13.33 | $15.73^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 11.01 | $3.36^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 1.211 |
| $17.5^{\circ}$ | 295.80 | 5.41 | $15.86^{\circ}$ | 10.27 | $2.66^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 0.527 |
| $17.0^{\circ}$ | 356.79 | 2.85 | $17.89^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 11.21 | $3.15^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 0.254 |
| $16.5^{\circ}$ | 400.76 | 2.43 | $15.23^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 8.52 | $3.75^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 0.285 |
| $16.0^{\circ}$ | 434.22 | 4.42 | $13.17^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 15.34 | $3.58^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 0.288 |
| $15.0^{\circ}$ | 497.08 | 4.01 | $13.53^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 12.82 | $2.65^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 0.313 |
| $14.0^{\circ}$ | 555.24 | 1.57 | $16.00^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 11.82 | $2.43^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 0.133 |
| $13.0^{\circ}$ | 607.66 | 1.03 | $2.12^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 5.78 | $3.72^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 0.178 |
| $12.0^{\circ}$ | 653.18 | 3.87 | $17.65^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 2.76 | $4.29^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 1.40 |
| $11.0^{\circ}$ | 702.23 | 4.69 | $17.60^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 5.12 | $5.48^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 0.916 |
| $10.0^{\circ}$ | 755.36 | 8.28 | $7.00^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 4.22 | $4.85^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 1.962 |
| $9.0^{\circ}$ | 805.28 | 6.88 | $11.46^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 5.02 | $3.97^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 1.371 |
| $8.5^{\circ}$ | 835.32 | 7.82 | $14.53^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 3.33 | $4.92^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 2.348 |
| $8.0^{\circ}$ | 875.05 | 2.79 | $21.44^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 1.21 | $2.58^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 2.306 |
| $7.5^{\circ}$ | 918.95 | 6.54 | $0.76^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 1.21 | $3.64^{{ }^{\mathrm{h}}}$ | 5.405 |
| $7.0^{\circ}$ | 956.95 | 4.24 | $21.03^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 9.36 | $11.16^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 0.453 |
| $6.0^{\circ}$ | 1057.45 | 11.87 | $22.85^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 8.24 | $11.53^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 1.44 |

Lunar diurnal and lunar semidiurnal coefficients of observed vertical displacements of isotherms at "Atlantis" station 3245.


Fig. 1. "Atlantis" Station 3245. $02^{\mathrm{h}} 54^{\mathrm{m}}$, January 23 to $04^{\mathrm{h}} 45^{\mathrm{m}}$, January 24, 1939 (G.C.T.). $A=$ average vertical distribution of density $\left(\sigma_{t}\right) ; B=$ average vertical distribution of temperature, variation at fixed depths indicated by width of ribbon; $C=$ average vertical rate of change of density per meter, $\Delta \sigma_{t} / \Delta Z ; D=$ vertical displacements of water column during observation period (referred to bottom scale, meters).

## The Apparent Dominance of 24 and 12 <br> Lunar Hour Periods in Vertical Oscillations of the Water Column

(a) Examination of Residues after Extraction of 24 and 12 Lunar Hour Waves

As a possible means of estimating the dominance of 24 and 12 lunar hour periods in vertical oscillations of the water layers (Table 4), the residues, after extraction of these waves from original observed vertical displacements of isotherms, were combined into a single frequency distribution (Table 5) and tested for normality. This procedure is used since the normal curve, having been mathematically deduced as the distribution resulting from combination of an infinite number of small random errors, has a fundamental status, and a quantity, such as the above, distributed according to this law may be the result of uncontrolled chance causes. On the other hand, if the distribution of residues


Fig. 2. Harmonic analysis of vertical displacements of $20^{\circ}, 18^{\circ}, 16^{\circ}, 12^{\circ}, 9^{\circ}$ and $6^{\circ}$ isotherms, Station 3245. Observed vertical displacements shown as departures from mean values to which are fitted curves based on sums of computed lunar diurnal and lunar semidiurnal sine waves-also shown separately. Time scale in lunar hours, $0^{\mathrm{h}}$ representing moon's upper transit at Greenwich meridian on January 23, 1939 ( $14^{\mathrm{h}} 14^{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{G} . C . T$. ).
should be non-normal; it may be inferred that they are not all the result of chance, and quite possibly contain an additional geophysical controlled oscillation. Naturally, this use of the normal distribution is to some extent open to question and like any application of probability theory needs to be considered with a "grain of salt," and the result may be chiefly empirical. However, in the absence of more definite information, it seems reasonable that its use as a test for randomness in the data under consideration should give basic information on the nature of the controlling geophysical phenomenon.

The frequency distribution of residues resulting after subtraction of combined 24 and 12 lunar hour waves from the original vertical displacements of 22 isotherms is given in Table 5. The first four corrected movements about the mean
(using Bernoulli class marks) are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{1}=0 \\
& \mu_{2}=1.93182 \\
& \mu_{3}=0.20417 \\
& \mu_{4}=13.54395
\end{aligned}
$$

and the following statistics for testing normality of the distribution are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma & =\sqrt{\mu_{2}}=1.389899 \\
\beta_{1} & =\frac{\mu_{3}^{2}}{\mu_{2}^{3}}=0.005782 \\
\gamma & =\sqrt{\beta_{1}}=0.07603 \\
\beta_{2} & =\frac{\mu_{4}}{\mu_{2}^{2}}=3.629209 \\
\omega_{n}^{\prime} & =0.81622
\end{aligned}
$$



Fig. 3. 5 per cent and 1 per cent probability limits for a random sample of 528 individuals drawn from a normal population. Values for frequency distribution of residues after subtraction of 24 and 12 lunar hour waves $=\bullet$; for original frequency distribution of departures from mean $=\mathbf{m}$; and for frequency distribution of residues after subtraction of 12 pendulum hour and 12 lunar hour combination $=\mathbf{A}$.
( $\omega_{n}{ }^{\prime}$ being the ratio of the mean to the standard deviation of the distribution). In testing for departure from normality, two separate tests, one regarding skewness and the other kurtosis, are generally used. To detect lack of symmetry (skewness), the $\sqrt{\beta_{1}}$ appears to be a suitable criterion, ${ }^{6}$ and tables of 5 per cent and 1 per cent levels ${ }^{7}$ are generally believed to be sufficiently accurate for ordinary purposes. For tests of platykurtic or leptokurtic properties, Pearson suggests the $\omega_{n}{ }^{\prime}$ test of R. C. Geary ${ }^{8}$ as being preferable to the $\beta_{2}$ test, since the distribution of the latter, particularly for small samples, is not well known.

Results of all three tests for normality ( $\sqrt{\beta_{1}}$, $\beta_{2}, \omega_{n}{ }^{\prime}$ ) applied to the foregoing frequency distribution are given by Fig. 3. The value of $\sqrt{\beta_{1}}=0.07603$ for $n^{\prime}=528$ is well above the 5 per cent probability level; $\beta_{2}=3.629$ falls a

[^5]little below the 1 per cent level whereas the value $\omega_{n}{ }^{\prime}=0.81622$ for $n^{\prime}=528$, according to Geary's (1935) table F, falls about midway between the 5 per cent ( 0.814 ) and 1 per cent $(0.820)$ probability levels.

Hence, it appears that the distribution of residues is random, or sufficiently so to suggest that for practical purposes (in the absence of more pertinent information) the vertical oscillation mechanism of the water column at the station investigated may be considered as being

TABLE 5

|  | Class interval (meters) | Frequency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -20.0 to -16.1 | 2 |
|  | -16.0 to -12.1 | 7 |
|  | -12.0 to -8.1 | 30 |
|  | -8.0 to -4.1 | 79 |
|  | -4.0 to -0.1 | - 147 |
|  | 0.0 to 3.9 | . 142 |
|  | 4.0 to 7.9. | - 89 |
|  | 8.0 to 11.9 . | 21 |
|  | 12.0 to 15.9. | 8 |
| 2 | 16.0 to 19.9. | 2 |
|  | 20.0 to 23.9 . | 1 |
|  |  | 528 |

TABLE 6

| Isotherm | $C_{0}$ | $C_{1}$ | $\alpha_{1}$ | $C_{2}$ | $\alpha_{2}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $23.00^{\circ}$ | 63.88 | 6.44 | 11.73 | 5.25 | 9.45 |
| $22.00^{\circ}$ | 99.53 | 6.50 | 11.96 | 5.33 | 10.19 |
| $21.00^{\circ}$ | 117.77 | 2.53 | 12.83 | 3.32 | 11.38 |
| $20.00^{\circ}$ | 134.48 | 2.00 | 10.92 | 2.01 | 11.52 |
| $19.00^{\circ}$ | 157.23 | 3.02 | 9.12 | 0.97 | 3.42 |
| $18.00^{\circ}$ | 215.13 | 14.13 | 5.20 | 9.68 | 5.34 |
| $17.50^{\circ}$ | 295.73 | 4.36 | 7.76 | 14.91 | 5.51 |
| $17.00^{\circ}$ | 358.07 | 3.31 | 13.36 | 12.87 | 4.58 |
| $16.50^{\circ}$ | 402.42 | 2.79 | 3.32 | 11.67 | 4.41 |
| $16.00^{\circ}$ | 436.19 | 4.39 | 1.19 | 9.90 | 4.66 |
| $15.00^{\circ}$ | 498.48 | 2.09 | 5.50 | 10.27 | 5.19 |
| $14.00^{\circ}$ | 555.09 | 4.78 | 12.10 | 8.16 | 5.70 |
| $13.00^{\circ}$ | 607.28 | 5.43 | 12.87 | 5.47 | 5.56 |
| $12.00^{\circ}$ | 654.68 | 6.17 | 7.69 | 1.91 | 6.42 |
| $11.00^{\circ}$ | 703.42 | 7.53 | 8.16 | 4.41 | 7.85 |
| $10.00^{\circ}$ | 755.69 | 5.36 | 20.82 | 5.01 | 6.83 |
| $9.00^{\circ}$ | 805.60 | 2.43 | 27.45 | 5.17 | 6.03 |
| $8.50^{\circ}$ | 836.34 | 5.61 | 5.20 | 2.94 | 7.22 |
| $8.00^{\circ}$ | 875.76 | 4.62 | 12.03 | 1.34 | 3.76 |
| $7.50^{\circ}$ | 917.83 | 7.73 | 14.79 | 1.57 | 5.01 |
| $7.00^{\circ}$ | 957.15 | 2.39 | 14.03 | 9.71 | 1.03 |
| $6.00^{\circ}$ | 1056.29 | 10.38 | 12.76 | 9.32 | 1.40 |

Results of harmonic analysis of observed vertical displacements of isotherms at "Atlantis" Station 3245 for periods of 12 pendulum hours and of 12 lunar hours.
dominated by frequencies of 12 and 24 lunar hours.

Application of the Chi squared test for goodness of fit to the normal frequency curve best fitting the data:

$$
F=\frac{528}{1.3899} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-0.4981}{1.3899}\right)^{2}}
$$

(where $X$ is the Bernouilli class mark: $0,1,2$, $3, \cdots n$ ) gives satisfactory results. Grouping frequencies less than 7, at the tails of the curve, the value of the Chi squared function is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{2}=\frac{\left(F_{1}-f_{1}\right)^{2}}{F_{1}} & +\frac{\left(F_{2}-f_{2}\right)^{2}}{F_{2}} \\
& +\cdots+\frac{\left(F_{n}-f_{n}\right)^{2}}{F_{n}}=6.34497
\end{aligned}
$$

(where $F$ is the theoretical and $f$ the observed frequency). Computation was based on 8 groups (after clubbing frequencies at the tails of the curve); in fitting the Gaussian curve, 3 constants are fixed (total, mean and standard deviation) so that $5(n)$ degrees of freedom remain. Hence, entering Elderton's tables ${ }^{9}$ at $n^{\prime}=6$

[^6]( $n^{\prime}=n+1$ ), the value of the Pearson probability is:
$$
P=0.2767
$$
from which may be concluded that in 27.7 times out of 100 we should get in random sampling a fit as bad, or worse, if the real distribution were Gaussian.

## (b) Examination of Original Frequency Distribution

The foregoing frequency distribution of residues is now compared with the distribution composed of observed vertical displacements of identical isotherms, expressed as departures from mean values before the extraction of the 24 and 12 lunar hour waves. The size of the sample is, of course, the same; the first four corrected movements about the mean (using Bernoulli class marks) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{1}=0 \\
& \mu_{2}=4.49913 \\
& \mu_{3}=6.97801 \\
& \mu_{4}=90.66770
\end{aligned}
$$

were used to compute the following statistics:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma & =\sqrt{\mu_{2}}=2.1211 \\
\beta_{1} & =\frac{\mu_{3}^{2}}{\mu_{2}{ }^{3}}=0.53467 \\
\gamma & =\sqrt{\beta_{1}}=0.73100 \\
\beta_{2} & =\frac{\mu_{4}}{\mu_{2}{ }^{2}}=4.47916 \\
\omega_{n}{ }^{\prime} & =0.7773 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Values for $\sqrt{\beta_{1}}, \beta_{2}$ and $\omega_{n}{ }^{\prime}$ entered in the chart (Fig. 3) of their probability limits for $n^{\prime}=528$ definitely indicate a non-normal distribution; in particular the controlled oscillations in the distribution causes an extreme skewness to the left $\left(\sqrt{\beta_{1}}=0.53467\right)$.

## (c) Examination of Residues after Extraction of a 12 Pendulum Hour Wave and a 12 Lunar Hour Wave

The foregoing application of probability theory to the analysis of vertical oscillations of the water column permits the inference that (on the basis of existing evidence) the observed oscillations are well described by lunar diurnal and lunar semidiurnal periods, plus residual motions resulting from random causes. Because of the brief observational series, as well as theoretical restrictions on the analytical methods, conclusions of
more theoretical or more practical value are not at present permissible. However, since it has been suggested that vertical displacements may exist as free inertial motions having periods of 12 pendulum hours, it is desirable to reexamine the original data from this point of view and to make a comparison with the results of the foregoing analysis.

Thus, at the latitude of Station 3245, ( $\varphi=$ $25^{\circ} 32^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ ) the length of one half pendulum day, or 12 pendulum hours $=\frac{12}{\sin \varphi}=27.84$ sidereal hours, and the period of an inertia wave ( 12 pendulum hours) will be only 3.14 sidereal hours in excess of that of a lunar diurnal wave ( 24.70 sidereal hours) and could not be decisively separated by harmonic analysis of so short an observational series as that under consideration. Values of the coefficients:

$$
H=C_{0}+C_{1} \cos \frac{2 \pi}{27.84}\left(t-\alpha_{1}\right)
$$

for a possible 12 pendulum hour component in vertical displacements of isotherms are tabulated in Table 6; phase angles (in sidereal hours) are adjusted to refer from $0^{\mathrm{h}}$ Greenwich (January 23,1939 ), although the analyses were actually carried out on an observational series which began 3 hours later $\left(03^{\mathrm{h}} 00^{\mathrm{m}}\right.$, G.C.T., January $23,1939)$.

After extraction of a 12 pendulum hour wave from the original observations of vertical displacements of isotherms, examination of the residues indicated the presence of an additional wave having a period length of approximately 12 lunar hours (such as would be expected because of small differences in period lengths of the inertia and lunar diurnal components at this latitude). Thus, a second harmonic analysis was carried out on the 22 residues, the results of which are tabulated as coefficients of a second lunar semidiurnal component:

$$
C_{2} \cos \frac{2 \pi}{12.353}\left(t-\alpha_{2}\right)
$$

in Table 6; phase angles also given in sidereal hours, refer to $0^{\text {h }}$ Greenwich (January 23, 1939) as before. Amplitudes both of the 12 pendulum hour and 12 lunar hour waves of this second computation differ by small amounts only from those previously computed for the lunar diurnal and lunar semidiurnal waves (Table 4), and as a means of estimating which of the two combinations of components best rt ㄱesent the observed

TABLE 7

| Class interval (meters) | Frequency |
| :---: | :---: |
| -20 to -16.1 | 1 |
| -16 to -12.1 | 3 |
| -12 to -8.1 | 32 |
| -8 to - 4.1 | 104 |
| - 4 to - 0.1 | . 155 |
| 0 to 3.9 | . 129 |
| 4 to 7.9 | 76 |
| 8 to 11.9 | 19 |
| 12 to 15.9 | 4 |
| 16 to 19.9 | 3 |
| 20 to 23.9 | 1 |
| 24 to 27.9 | 1 |

vertical displacements, the final residues; after extraction of the latter combination, were analyzed as before.

The frequency distribution of residues resulting after subtraction of the combination of a 12 pendulum hour wave and a 12 lunar hour wave from the vertical displacements of the 22 isotherms as given in Table 7 has the same class intervals as that for the frequency distribution of residues in Table 5. The first four moments about the mean are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{1}=0 \\
& \mu_{2}=2.02727 \\
& \mu_{3}=1.38742 \\
& \mu_{4}=15.29430
\end{aligned}
$$

and the following statistics are computed for the distribution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma & =1.4238 \\
\beta_{1} & =0.23104 \\
\gamma & =0.48066 \\
\beta_{2} & =3.7214 \\
\omega_{n}^{\prime} & =0.7803 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Values of $\sqrt{\beta_{1}}, \beta_{2}$ and $\omega_{n}{ }^{\prime}$ entered in the chart of probability limits for a sample of 528 individuals (Fig. 3) clearly show that the distribution under consideration is not normal. The statistical characteristics of dispersion, skewness and kurtosis characterizing the original frequency distribution of observed displacements of isotherms, while significantly reduced by extraction of inertia and lunar semidiurnal components, still indicate significant departures from normality. In particular, the strong asymmetry of the present distribution is decisive in deciding its non-normality. Hence, in the present case, vertical oscillations of water layers are far better represented by the combination of lunar diurnal and lunar semidiurnal waves than by a combina-


Fig. 4. Harmonic dial for 24 lunar hourly sine wave in diurnal variations of vertical displacements of 22 isotherms at "Atlantis" Station $3245\left(25^{\circ} 32^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 53^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{W}\right)$, and 50 per cent probable ellipse. Scale refers from moon's upper transit at Greenwich ( $13^{\mathrm{h}} 32^{\mathrm{m}}$, January 22, 1939), and inner 0 indicates moon's upper transit at meridian of Station 3245 ( $17^{\mathrm{h}} 14^{\mathrm{m}}$, January 22, 1939. G.C.T.). Each dot marks an isotherm, length of vector from center gives amplitude (in meters) and its direction, the phase in lunar hours.
tion of a 12 pendulum hour and a lunar semidiurnal wave, thus justifying the use of the former combination for future discussion. For the present, the physical significance of this inference remains problematical.

## Space Variability of the Lunar Diurnal and Lunar Semidiurnal Components

Since the lunar diurnal and lunar semidiurnal components of vertical oscillations of the water column are specified completely by amplitudes and the times of maxima (phase angles), the sine and cosine function of each frequency have been combined into sine waves with amplitudes, $C$, and phases, $\alpha$. Thus:

$$
X \cos a+Y \sin a=C \cos (a-\alpha)
$$

with:

$$
\begin{aligned}
X & =C \sin \alpha \\
Y & =C \cos \alpha \\
C^{2} & =X^{2}+Y^{2} \\
\tan \alpha & =\frac{X}{Y} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The relations for each frequency are conveniently illustrated by plotting in polar coördinate (the "harmonic dial" of Bartels ${ }^{10}$ ); each point then has the coördinates $C$ and $\alpha$, the lengths of the vector being $C$ and its azimuth, $\alpha$. Thus $N$ sets of harmonic coffiecients may be represented on each dial as a group of $N$ points (Figs. 4, 5, 6 and 7) and the space variability of the vertical oscillations so represented may be transferred into the geometric properties of the group. In the plane coördinate system each point has the rectangular coördinates $X_{\nu}, Y_{\nu}(\nu=1,2,3$, $\cdots n$ ), and the coördinates of the center $C$ ( $X_{0}, Y_{0}$ ) are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{0} & =\Sigma X_{\nu} / N \\
Y_{0} & =\Sigma Y_{\nu} / N .
\end{aligned}
$$

We also have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{z}{ }^{2} & =\frac{\Sigma\left(X_{v}-X_{0}\right)}{N} \\
\sigma_{\nu}{ }^{2} & =\frac{\Sigma\left(Y_{v}-Y_{0}\right)}{N} \\
r \sigma_{z} \sigma_{\nu} & =\frac{\Sigma\left(X_{v}-X_{0}\right)\left(Y_{v}-Y_{0}\right)}{N},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $r$ is the usual correlation coefficient between $X$, and $Y_{r}$. The general Gaussian frequency distribution (normal correlation surface) which has the equation:

$$
d f=\frac{N}{2 \pi \sigma_{x} \sigma_{y} \sqrt{1-r^{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\left(1-r^{n}\right)}\left(\frac{x^{2}}{\sigma_{x}^{2}}+\frac{y^{2}}{\sigma_{2}^{2}}-2 r \frac{X Y}{\sigma_{x} \sigma_{y}}\right)} d x d y
$$

(where $X$ and $Y$ are variants, measured as deviations from their means $X_{0}$ and $Y_{0}$ ) and which best fits the cloud of points (judged by least squares) is to be computed.

The formulae used in the computation are given in a form suitable for numerical computation. ${ }^{11}$ The value of:

$$
\frac{1}{1-r^{2}}\left(\frac{X^{2}}{\sigma_{x}^{2}}+\frac{Y^{2}}{\sigma_{y}{ }^{2}}-\frac{2 r X Y}{\sigma_{x} \sigma_{y}}\right)=\text { constant }
$$

and the lines of equal frequency are ellipses with center at $C$. The major axis of the ellipse is inclined toward the $X$ axis by $\theta$ where:

$$
\tan 2 \theta=\frac{2 r \sigma_{x} \sigma_{y}}{\sigma_{x}^{2}-\sigma_{y}{ }^{2}}
$$

[^7]and lies between $0^{\circ}$ and $90^{\circ}$ when $r>0$, between $90^{\circ}$ and $180^{\circ}$ when $r<0$; for $r=0, \theta=0$ when $\sigma_{x}>\sigma_{y}$, and $90^{\circ}$ when $\sigma_{x}<\sigma_{y}$. When $\sigma_{x}=\sigma_{y}$, the ellipses degenerate into circles.

The average square distance $(M)$ of each point from the center, $C$, has been termed by Bartels the " two dimensional standard deviation," thus:

$$
M^{2}=\frac{\left(X_{v}-X_{0}\right)^{2}+\left(Y_{v}-Y_{0}\right)^{2}}{N}
$$

and is useful in measuring dispersion of the group of points.

In the case of a perfect Gaussian distribution, the total probability that a point falls outside the ellipse is:

$$
e^{-\frac{1}{2\left(1-r^{2}\right)}\left(\frac{X^{2}}{\sigma_{x}^{2}}+\frac{Y^{2}}{\sigma_{y}^{2}}+\frac{2 r X Y}{\sigma_{x} \sigma_{y}}\right)}
$$

The probable ellipse surrounding $N / 2$ points both inside and outside is:

$$
e^{-\frac{1}{2\left(1-r^{2}\right)}\left(\frac{X^{2}}{\sigma_{z}^{2}}+\frac{Y^{2}}{\sigma_{y}^{2}}+\frac{2 r X Y}{\sigma_{x} \sigma_{y}}\right)}=\frac{1}{2}
$$

its semi-major $\left(P_{1}\right)$ and semi-minor $\left(P_{2}\right)$ axes


Fig. 5. Harmonic dial for 12 lunar hourly sine wave in diurnal variations of vertical displacements of 22 isotherms at "Atlantis" Station 3245 ( $25^{\circ} 32^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 53^{\circ} 45^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ), and 50 per cent probable ellipse. Scale refers from moon's upper transit at Greenwich ( $13^{\mathrm{h}} 32^{\mathrm{m}}$, January 22, 1939), and inner 0 indicates moon's upper transit at meridian of Station 3245 ( $17^{\mathrm{h}} 14^{\mathrm{m}}$, January 22, 1939. G.C.T.). Each dot marks an isotherm, length of vector from center gives amplitude (in meters) and its direction, the phase in lunar hours.


Fig. 6. Harmonic dial for 24 lunar hourly sine wave in diurnal variations of vertical displacements at forty-three 25 meter intervals (over a depth of 1050 meters) based on scaled phase and amplitude relations (see text) at "Atlantis" Station 3245. The 50 per cent probable ellipse and average vector are shown. Scale refers from moon's upper transit at Greenwich ( $13^{\mathrm{h}} 32^{\mathrm{m}}$, January 22, 1939) and inner 0 indicates moon's upper transit at meridian of Station $3245\left(17^{\mathrm{h}} 14^{\mathrm{m}}\right.$, January 22, 1939. G.C.T.). Each dot marks a depth, length of vector from center gives amplitude (in meters) and its direction, the phase in lunar hours.
being:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1}, P_{2}= \\
& \quad 0.83256 \sqrt{\left(\sigma_{x}{ }^{2}+\sigma_{y}{ }^{2}\right) \neq \sqrt{\left(\sigma_{x}{ }^{2}-\sigma_{y}{ }^{2}\right)+4 r^{2} \sigma_{x}{ }^{2} \sigma_{y}{ }^{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, in general:

$$
\sqrt{P_{1}^{2}+P_{2}^{2}}=1.1774 M
$$

Since the formulae give constants of a Gaussian distribution which best fit the group of points without presupposing that the group itself is Gaussian, values may always be computed. As a partial test of normality the number of points inside and outside the probable ellipse should be nearly equal.

Harmonic dials in which phases and amplitudes of lunar diurnal and lunar semidiurnal components of vertical oscillations of 22 isotherms (Table 4) are represented as single points and, the 50 per cent probability ellipses fitting each group of points, are illustrated by figures 4 and 5. The ellipses represent both distributions fairly


Fig. 7. Harmonic dial for 12 lunar hourly sine wave in diurnal variations of vertical displacements at forty-three 25 meter intervals (over a depth of 1050 meters) based on scaled phase and amplitude relations (see text) at "Atlantis" Station 3245. The 50 per cent probable ellipse and average vector are shown. Scale refers from moon's upper transit at Greenwich ( $13^{\mathrm{h}} 32^{\mathrm{m}}$, January 22, 1939) and inner 0 indicates moon's upper transit at meridian of Station 3245 ( $17^{\mathrm{h}} 14^{\mathrm{m}}$, January 22, 1939. G.C.T.). Each dot marks a depth, length of vector from center gives amplitude (in meters) and its direction, the phase in lunar hours.
well, especially for the 12 hourly components where 10 of the 22 points lie inside.

Comparison of points on the diagrams dc not suggest strong interrelationships of the properties of the two waves. Thus, for points lying inside the two ellipses, only those characterizing 6 isotherms were common to both and for those falling outside, only 3 were common to both, indicating that isotherms with large or small amplitudes in one wave do not necessarily have corresponding large or small amplitudes in the other. Thus, of the 6 isotherms with 12 lunar hour amplitudes in excess of 10 meters ( $18.0^{\circ}$, $17.5^{\circ}, 17.0^{\circ}, 16.0^{\circ}, 15.0^{\circ}, 14.0^{\circ}$ ) only one ( $18^{\circ}$ ) had a comparable 24 hour amplitude ( 13.33 meters); the others ranged from 1.57 to 5.41 meters. Likewise, the $6^{\circ}$ isotherm with a relatively large 24 hour amplitude of 11.87 meters had a corresponding 12 hour amplitude of 8.24 meters only. Isotherms in the principal thermocline having smallest 24 hour amplitudes of 1.57 and 1.03 meters ( $14.0^{\circ}$ and $13.0^{\circ}$ isotherms) had
corresponding large 12 hour amplitudes of 11.82 and 5.78 meters, and isotherms of the smaller 12 hour amplitudes of 1.21 meters $\left(7.5^{\circ}\right.$ and $8.0^{\circ}$ isotherms) showed 24 hour amplitudes of 6.54 and 2.79 meters respectively.

Statistical constants for the lunar diurnal and lunar semidiurnal coefficients, as tabulated in Table 8, illustrate distinctions in geometric properties. Those tabulations under the heading "isotherms" were computed from harmonic constants derived from vertical oscillations of 22 isotherms (Table 4), whereas those under the heading "standard depths" are based on scaled phase and amplitude relations for forty-three 25 meter intervals, over a depth of 1050 meters. With regard to the use of scaled values, it was found that on comparison with a sufficient number of direct harmonic analyses at the depths concerned, satisfactory verification of the scaled coefficients was obtained and, as brought out by Table 8, geometric properties for the lunar diurnal and semidiurnal frequencies, whether based on coefficients derived directly from isothermal values or from scaled values for equal depth intervals, are without essential difference. Harmonic dials of interpolated values are given by Figs. 6 and 7 and, with the exception of the average properties, computations based on either set of point aggregates for a particular frequency may equally well characterize the water column to a depth of 1050 meters, this depth being the approximate lower limit of most significant stratification (Fig. 1).

TABLE 8

|  | Isotherms |  | Standard depths <br> $12^{\mathrm{h}}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $12^{\mathrm{h}}$ | $4^{\mathrm{h}}$ |  |  |
| $\sigma_{x}$ | 3.6024 | 4.3882 | 3.6103 | 4.3707 |
| $\sigma_{y}$ | 5.9819 | 3.3949 | 5.7393 | 3.0349 |
| $r$ | -0.1043 | 0.16240 | -0.18967 | 0.05292 |
| $M$ | 6.983 | 5.548 | 6.7805 | 5.3211 |
| $P_{1}$ | 7.0643 | 5.2591 | 6.8339 | 5.1528 |
| $P_{2}$ | 4.2053 | 3.8749 | 4.1272 | 3.5638 |
| $P_{1} / P_{2}$ | 1.6799 | 1.3572 | 1.6558 | 1.4459 |
| $\theta$ | $95^{\circ} 35^{\prime}$ | $16^{\circ} 01^{\prime}$ | $100^{\circ} 47^{\prime}$ | $4^{\circ} 02^{\prime}$ |
|  | $\left(90^{\circ}\right)$ | $\left(0^{\circ}\right)$ | $\left(90^{\circ}\right)$ | $\left(0^{\circ}\right)$ |
| Av. Vector | - | - | 4.38 | 2.21 |

Statistical constants computed for $\mathbf{5 0}$ per cent correlation ellipses from harmonic coefficients of lunar diurnal and lunar semidiurnal waves. Data under heading "isotherms" based on harmonic coefficients as computed for vertical oscillations of isotherms (in Table 4); data under heading "standard depths," based on harmonic coefficients for every 25 meters ( $0-1050$ meters), scaled from those computed for isotherms.

For the semidiurnal coefficients, $\sigma_{y}$ is significantly greater than $\sigma_{x}$, whereas for the diurnal, $\sigma_{x}$ is greater than $\sigma_{y}$. Consequently, a marked ellipticity, most pronounced for the semidiurnal cloud, is an essential feature in both distributions. The directions of the major axes of the ellipses differ by $90^{\circ}$. Actual computation gives $\theta=95^{\circ} 35^{\prime}$ and $\theta=100^{\circ} 47^{\prime}$ for the semidiurnal coefficients and $\theta=16^{\circ} 01^{\prime}$ and $\theta=4^{\circ} 2^{\prime}$ for the diurnal, but, since rotation of the ellipse depends directly on the magnitude of the correlation coefficient, $r$ (Table 8), which does not in either case differ significantly from zero (as shown below), the value of $\theta$ is taken to be $0^{\circ}$ or $90^{\circ}$ depending on whether $\sigma_{x}$ or $\sigma_{y}$ is the greater.

Testing the significance of $r$ on the basis of the null hypothesis (assuming the correlation coefficient for an infinitely large supply of paired values following the normal law to be zero), the probability of any value of $r$ arising because of random sampling errors, is with adequate accuracy given by the relative deviate $k$ of $r$ in the normal distribution of zero mean, referred to values of the normal probability integral. ${ }^{12}$

In a sample size of $N=20$, or above, the standard deviation $\left(\sigma_{r}\right)$ of the true distribution of $r$ distributed normally about 0 is:

$$
\sigma_{r}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{N-1}}
$$

and the relative deviate $k$ of $r$ in the normal distribution of zero mean is:

$$
k=\frac{r-0}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{N-1}}}=r \sqrt{N-1}
$$

Thus for the 12 lunar hour wave:
$r$ computed from harmonic coefficients of isotherms $=-0.10432$

$$
k=0.10432 \sqrt{22-1}=0.478
$$

and the probability of the deviate being exceeded is:

$$
P=0.631
$$

${ }^{12}$ When $k$ is the relative deviate of the measure normally distributed, and $P$ the probability of the $k$ magnitude being exceeded solely through errors of random sampling, then:

$$
P=\frac{\text { area of tail beyond } k}{\text { area of curve segment having same sign as } k} .
$$

Values of $P$ are rapidly obtained from a table of normal curve functions.
also, $r$ computed from interpolated coefficients $=-0.18967$,

$$
k=0.18967 \sqrt{43-1}=1.229
$$

and the probability of the deviate being exceeded is:

$$
P=0.219
$$

For the 24 lunar hour wave:
$r$ computed from harmonic coefficients of isotherms $=0.1624$,

$$
k=0.1624 \sqrt{22-1}=0.744
$$

and the probability of the deviate being exceeded is:

$$
P=0.459
$$

also $r$ computed from interpolated coefficients $=0.0529$,

$$
k=0.0529 \sqrt{43-1}=0.343
$$

and the probability of the deviate being exceeded is:

$$
P=0.734
$$

Hence in all cases the correlation coefficients are of no apparent statistical significance, and the angle $\theta$ is not significantly different from $0^{\circ}$ or $90^{\circ}$, depending on whether $\sigma_{x}$ or $\sigma_{y}$ is greater. The amount by which $\theta$ varies without consequence because of chance variation of $r$ is estimated on the basis of a 5 per cent level being the demarcation point of significant deviations, in which case the limit of significant values of $k$ will be just under 2 and correlation coefficients up to twice the standard deviations, $\sigma_{r}$, will be considered arising by chance.

For the case where $N=22$, the standard deviation of $r$ is:

$$
\sigma_{r}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{N-1}}=0.2182
$$

and when $r$ is less than $2 \sigma_{r}=\neq 0.4364, \theta$ will not differ significantly from $0^{\circ}$ to $90^{\circ}$. In the computation of $\theta$ from the 12 lunar hour coefficients (isothermal values) :

$$
\frac{\sigma_{x} \sigma_{y}}{\sigma_{x}^{2}-\sigma_{y}{ }^{2}}=-0.94489
$$

which substituted together with the value of $2 \sigma_{r}=-0.4364$ for $r$, in the equation:

$$
\tan 2 \theta=2 r \frac{\sigma_{x} \sigma_{y}}{\sigma_{x}{ }^{2}-\sigma_{y}{ }^{2}}=0.8730
$$

gives a value of $20^{\circ} 35^{\prime}$ as the latitude of chance variations in $\theta$. Similarly for the 24 lunar hour coefficients (isothermal values) :

$$
\frac{\sigma_{x} \sigma_{y}}{\sigma_{x}{ }^{2}-\sigma_{y}{ }^{2}}=1.9271
$$

which substituted with the value of $2 \sigma_{r}=0.43649$ in the equation for $\theta$ gives $29^{\circ} 40^{\prime}$ as the latitude of chance variation in $\theta$.

In the Bartels' ${ }^{13}$ approach to time series analysis, amplitude and phase of the average sine wave for each harmonic dial point aggregate are represented by an average vector, the end point of which is the mass center (Figs. 6 and 7). Of the several possibilities for testing reality of average vectors, one chosen by Bartels is to compare the observations (vectors plotted in harmonic dials) with the so-called random walk; ${ }^{14}$ it being supposed that points plotted in the dials were chosen at random from a normally distributed aggregate. The expectancy, $m$, for the average amplitude, defined as the square root of the average square distance of the points is:

$$
m=\sqrt{\frac{l_{1}^{2}+l_{2}^{2}+\cdots l_{n}^{2}}{n}} / \sqrt{n}
$$

and the probability that the average vector, $C$, (vectorial sum divided by $n$ ) exceeds its expectancy in the ratio $k=C / m$ is:

$$
P_{(k)}=e^{-k^{2}}
$$

$P_{(k)}$ is shown by Bartels to be exactly the probability that, under random walk conditions, an amplitude greater than $C=k m$ should be found.

Thus, for the lunar diurnal coefficients, the average amplitude ( $C$ ) of vertical displacements of the water column between 0 and 1050 meters, computed as the vectorial sum of 43 vectors, is 2.2058 meters. Under random conditions, the expectancy for the average amplitude of the 43 individual waves is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
m & =\sqrt{\frac{1427.2735}{43}} / \sqrt{43}=0.87859 \\
k & =\frac{C}{m}=\frac{2.2058}{0.87859}=2.5106
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
P_{(k)}=e^{-(2.5106)^{2}}=0.00183
$$

[^8]Hence the probability for chance occurrence is once in about 500 trials, a value generally considered as not too small to make a definite claim that the observations do not correspond to the random walk with which they have been compared and an assumption of reality is warranted.

For the lunar semidiurnal coefficients, the average amplitude $(C)$ of vertical displacements between 0 and 1050 meters, computed as before, is 4.383 meters. Under random conditions, the expectance for the average amplitude of the 43 individual waves is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
m & =\sqrt{\frac{2802.8508}{43}} / \sqrt{43}=1.23121 \\
k & =\frac{C}{m}=\frac{4.382}{1.2312}=3.5594
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
P_{(k)}=e^{-(3.5594)^{2}}=0.000000315
$$

The probability for chance occurrence is so small that, as in the previous case, reality of the semidiurnal average vector may be assumed (Figs. 6 and 7). Significance of the average vectors in relating Internal Wave and tidal phenomena is discussed later.

## The Theoretical Internal Waves

Additional consequences of the preceding statistical analysis are revealed by consideration of the theoretical Internal Waves contingent in the water masses under consideration. Thus, in a sea where density varies continuously with depth, possible wave motion is disclosed by investigation of the second order differential equation: ${ }^{15}$

$$
\frac{d^{2} W}{d Z^{2}}+\lambda^{2} g \varphi W=0
$$

with boundary conditions:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
W=0, & Z=0, & \text { at the bottom } \\
W=0, & Z=h, & \text { at the surface }
\end{array}
$$

$W$ represents the elevation of a water particle from its equilibrium position, $\varphi$ is taken as $d \sigma_{t} / d Z$ (vertical variation of density), and $\lambda^{2} g$, an unknown parameter, depends on density distribution. The integration is carried out numerically, and an infinite number of solutions, corresponding to an infinite number of Internal Waves are possible; values of $W$ are relative and

[^9]

Fig. 8. Vertical distributions of first four Internal Waves; relative values, $W$, at "Atlantis" Station 3245.
distributions for the first four orders, between surface and 1700 meters are illustrated by Fig. 8. The first order wave is characterized by vertical displacements of the same phase with one maximum, the second order wave by two maxima of opposite phase, the third order wave by three maxima of opposite phase, and the fourth order wave by four maxima of opposite phase (Table 9). Horizontal velocity of the Internal Wave current is zero at the maximum of vertical displacement.

Absolute theoretical displacements of significant isotherms were determined by fitting $W$ values (by least squares) to the diurnal and semidiurnal coefficients of sine waves as computed directly from observed vertical displacements of 22 isotherms (Table 4). The theoretical lunar diurnal and semidiurnal coefficients, so obtained for vertical displacements of 20 isotherms at Station 3245 (identical with isotherms tabulated in Table 4 with the $7.0^{\circ}$ and $6.0^{\circ}$ omitted) and the fifty per cent probability ellipses fitting the
scatterings, are entered in harmonic dials (Fig 9); statistical constants for these new distributions are tabulated in Table 10.
Before proceeding to examination of the new statistical results, the probability that computed values of the correlation coefficients, $r$, arise because of random sampling errors, and corrections for $\theta$ in the theoretical results are considered. Thus, for a sample, $N=20$, the standard deviation, $\sigma_{r}$, of the true distribution of $r$ distributed normally about 0 is:

$$
\sigma_{r}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{N-1}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{19}}=0.2294 .
$$

For the theoretical 12 lunar hour point aggregate, the relative deviate $k$ of $r$ in the normal distribution of the zero mean is:

$$
k=\frac{r-0}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{N-1}}}=3.609
$$

TABLE 9

| Depth | 1st order wave | 2nd order wave | 3rd order wave | 4th order wave |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 100 |  |  |  | -0.6405 |
| 120 |  |  | 1.5421 |  |
| 170 |  |  |  | 0.0000 |
| 230 |  | $-2.4237$ |  |  |
| 310 |  |  | 0.0000 |  |
| 430 |  |  |  | 2.1088 |
| 610 |  |  | -2.0188 |  |
| 640 |  |  |  | 0.0000 |
| 730 |  | 0.0000 |  |  |
| 850 |  |  |  | -2.2508 |
| 920 |  |  | 0.0000 |  |
| 1120 |  |  |  | 0.0000 |
| 1130 | 3.6610 |  |  |  |
| 1490 |  | 3.4183 |  |  |
| 1570 |  |  | 3.3729 |  |
| 1630 |  |  |  | 3.3370 |

Maxima and minima of relative displacement amplitudes, W, first four orders of Internal Waves, Sation 3245.
the probability of the deviate being exceeded is:

$$
P=0.0003
$$

and the correlation coefficient is of apparent statistical significance. Chance variations in $r$ of two times its standard deviation, $\sigma_{r}$, will produce variations in the computed value of $\theta$. Thus letting:

$$
\begin{aligned}
r & =0.828-2 \sigma_{r} \\
\tan 2 \theta & =\frac{2 r \sigma_{x} \sigma_{y}}{\sigma_{x}^{2}-\sigma_{y}{ }^{2}}=-0.8673 \\
\theta & =69^{\circ} 33^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

equivalent to a possible increase of 11 degrees above the computed value (Table 10), but nearly 20 degrees less than $\theta$ characterizing the 12 hourly group of observed values (Table 8).

For the theoretical 24 lunar hour point aggregate the relative deviate $k$ of $r$ in the normal distribution of the zero mean is:

$$
k=\frac{r-0}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{N-1}}}=1.674
$$

and the probability of the deviate being exceeded is:

$$
P=0.0949
$$

Since the 5 per cent level is generally selected as the demarcation point of significant deviations, reality of the correlation coefficient is, in this
case, doubtful, and apparently the result of chance variations. Hence, the angle $\theta$ is zero ( $\sigma_{x}$ greater than $\sigma_{y}$ ), identical with $\theta$ for the observed 24 hour point aggregate.

Regardless of irregular interference of various influences, the statistical distinctions between theoretical 12 and 24 hour point aggregates are similar to those existing between the two groups of coefficients computed directly from observations (Tables 8 and 10). For the theoretical semidiurnal group, $\sigma_{y}$ is greater than $\sigma_{x}$ and for the 24 hour, $\sigma_{x}$ exceeds $\sigma_{y}$; likewise the absolute scattering of points, $M$, the major axis, $P_{1}$, the ellipticity, $P_{1} / P_{2}$, and the average vector of the semidiurnal group exceed the diurnal. The major axis of the theoretical diurnal ellipse is (like the observed) in a direction clockwise from the major axis of the semidiurnal ellipse (Figs. 4,5 , and 9 ) ; actual computation gives the direction as 1.96 lunar hours for the former and 13.06 lunar hours for the latter. However, since the correlation coefficient, $r$, for the diurnal point aggregate is not significantly different from 0 , the major axis direction is taken as 12 hours, whereas for the semidiurnal group, chance variations of two times the standard deviation of $r$ permits a major axis direction of 2.32 hours.

Comparison of theoretical and observed 12 hour coefficients shows, as a striking feature, an increased ellipticity of the former from $P_{1} / P_{2}=$ 1.68 to $P_{1} / P_{2}=3.61$ (major axis increased 53 per cent and minor axis diminished by 29 per cent), illustrating the theoretical semidiurnal wave motions of the water column to be of more nearly opposite phase with a corresponding smaller average amplitude (Tables 8 and 10). The absolute scattering of points, $M$, increased from 6.983 to 9.534 for the theoretical aggregate, and relative to the average amplitude the scatter-

TABLE 10

|  | Lunar <br> semidiurnal | Lunar <br> diurnal |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| $\sigma_{x}$ | $5.259 \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 1.626 |
| $\sigma_{y}$ | $7.953 \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 0.908 |
| $r$ | $0.828 \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 0.348 |
| $M$ | $9.534 \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 1.860 |
| $P_{1}$ | $10.8233 \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 1.9732 |
| $P_{2}$ | $3.0022 \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 0.9583 |
| $P_{1} / P_{2}$ | $3.6051 \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 2.059 |
| $\theta$ | $58^{\circ} 36^{\prime} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | $15^{\circ}$ |
|  | $57^{\prime}$ |  |
|  | $\left(69^{\circ} 33^{\prime}\right) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | $\left(0^{\circ}\right)$ |
| Av. Vector | $2.604 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 1.38 |

Statistical constants computed from theoretical harmonic coefficients for vertical displacements of 20 isotherms (see text).


Fig. 9. Harmonic dials of theoretical semidiurnal, $\odot$, and diurnal, $\triangle$, vertical displacements of 20 isotherms computed from Fjeldstad's theory (see text). Scale references identical with those for dials of observed displacements (Figs. 4 to 7). Orientation of 50 per cent probability ellipses according to computed values of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$.
ing was 0.273 for the theoretical as compared with 0.514 for the observed point aggregate. The direction of the major axis of the theoretical ellipse with a possible value of 2.32 hours is not greatly different from the value of 3 hours computed for the major axis direction of the ellipse characterizing the observed coefficients.

Positions of the axes of the ellipses characterizing both groups of semidiurnal coefficients indicate greater variability among phase angles than amplitudes (Figs. 5 and 9). Thus, the major axis' end points for the theoretical 12 hour coefficients corresponded to amplitudes of 10.1 and 12.1 meters and to phase angles of $70^{\circ}$ and $225^{\circ}$; the minor axis' end points corresponded to 1.1 and 5.5 meters and $30^{\circ}$ and $138^{\circ}$. Observed amplitudes are somewhat more variable than the theoretical; the major axis' end points corresponded to amplitudes of 3.6 and 10.7 meters and to phase angles of $93^{\circ}$ and $261^{\circ}$, and the
minor axis' end points to 5.1 and 5.9 meters and $44^{\circ}$ and $143^{\circ}$. Greater variability of observed amplitudes is to be expected since theoretical amplitudes, although in general larger, are more balanced by nearly opposite phase angles.

Comparison of the two groups of 24 hour coefficients (Tables 8 and 10) shows increased ellipticity for the theoretical point aggregate from $P_{1} / P_{2}=1.36$ to $P_{1} / P_{2}=2.06$, thus, indicating that theoretical diurnal wave motions were also balanced by more nearly opposite phases. The absolute scattering of the points, $M$, diminished from 5.55 for the observed to 1.86 for the theoretical group. Rotation of both ellipses appears to be identical, the directions of both major axes being taken as 12 hours.

Positions of the 24 hour ellipse axes indicate greater space variability of phase angles than of amplitudes (Figs. 4 and 9). The major axis' end points of the theoretical ellipse corresponded
to amplitudes of 1.2 and 3.2 meters and to phase angles of $210^{\circ}$ and $334^{\circ}$, minor axis' end points corresponded to 1.2 and 2.2 meters and to $190^{\circ}$ and $255^{\circ}$. Some increased variability characterizes the observed coefficients, the major axis' end points corresponding to amplitudes of 5.2 and 5.8 meters and to phase angles of $196^{\circ}$ and $342^{\circ}$, and minor axis' end points to 2.4 and 5.6 meters and to $98^{\circ}$ and $267^{\circ}$.

## Geophysical Properties of the Vertical Oscillations Brought out by Analysis

The previous procedure of representing a set of ordinates over the interval $t=0$ to $t=T$ as a sum of two sine waves is purely mathematical and does not involve the physical nature of the Internal Wave phenomenon described by the ordinates. In particular, the fact that the sum of the two sine waves is periodic, repeating values after intervals which are multiples of $T$, does not imply a similar property of this phenomenon outside the range of observation. Questions of the physical meaning of the extracted sine waves and of their average properties and space variabilities for the most part will remain unanswered until additional critical information, in forms suitable for comparison with analogous geophysical phenomena, is available. The technique used in analysis of the vertical oscillation time series was developed chiefly by J. Bartels ${ }^{16}$ for research on variability of diurnal variations in certain geophysical time series. However, unlike the long series analyzed by Bartels, the present interpretation of results of harmonic analyses of vertical displacements deals with space rather than with time variability. The statistical perspectives brought out by the analysis are basically important in characterizing distinctions in space distributions of lunar diurnal and semidiurnal vertical oscillations of the water column (for the observation period at Station 3245) and in indicating a connection between vertical oscillation and tidal mechanisms. The information in Table 8 is conducive to the comparison of Internal Wave effects throughout the ocean space.

Dissimilarities in the 24 and 12 lunar hour waves are clearly discernible. The harmonic coefficients of observed displacements, plotted in

[^10]the two harmonic dials, reveal that identical points did not have corresponding large or small amplitudes, and with the exception of a marked ellipticity, characteristic to both, geometric properties of the two point aggregates differed significantly (Figs. 4, 5, 6, 7, Table 8). The 12 hourly coefficients possessed most marked ellipticity, largest average amplitudes and greatest amounts of scattering. However, the pronounced ellipticity of both point aggregates indicates the small average amplitudes are produced by combinations of larger amplitudes but of nearly opposite phases. The phase of the average vector for the semidiurnal coefficients of observed displacements (between 0 and 1050 meters) was $2.97^{\mathrm{h}}$ referred to Greenwich zero, or approximately 43 minutes before the upper culmination of the moon at the meridian of Station 3245 , whereas that for the diurnal cloud was approximately 14 lunar hours later, 17.20 hours after Greenwich zero or $13^{\mathrm{h}} 31^{\mathrm{m}}$ after the local upper culmination. Further differences in space characteristics of the two waves are a variation in directions of major axes of the probability ellipses $\left(03^{\mathrm{h}}\right.$ for the semidiurnal, $12^{\mathrm{h}}$ for the diurnal) and by major axis direction of the semidiurnal cloud nearly coinciding with the phase of its average vector, whereas for the diurnal cloud the directions differed by more than 5 hours.

The properties of the average vectors suggest a connection between Internal Wave and tidal mechanisms. As illustrated by Table 8, average phases and amplitudes of the semidiurnal and diurnal coefficients (at 25 meter intervals) between surface and 1050 meters were:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Semidiurnal: } & 4.38 \text { meters, } 2.97^{\mathrm{h}}\left(89^{\circ}\right) \\
\text { Diurnal: } & 2.21 \text { meters, } 17.17^{\mathrm{h}}\left(257^{\circ} 30^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

And the phase difference (diurnal minus semidiurnal) of 2.2 lunar hours is not greatly different from the approximate 3.5 hour difference in phases of the semidiurnal and diurnal tides ${ }^{17}$ at the geographical position of Station 3245 as shown by cotidal charts of R. Sterneck ${ }^{18}$

[^11](Figs. 10 and 11). The relative importance of equilibrium heights of principal tidal components of the lunar tide ${ }^{19}$ as given by theoretical coefficients are:

| $M_{2}$ | $N_{2}$ | $S_{2}$ | $K_{1}$ | $O_{1}$ | $P_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.4543 | 0.0880 | 0.2120 | 0.2655 | 0.1886 | 0.0880 |

And the equilibrium ratio,

$$
\frac{M_{2}+N_{2}+S_{2}}{K_{1}+O_{1}+P_{1}}=1.39
$$

is not greatly different from the ratio,

$$
\frac{\text { semidiurnal }}{\text { diurnal }}=1.982,
$$

for average amplitudes of internal vertical displacements of the water column between 0 and 1050 meters at Station 3245.
Since tidal observations have been entirely restricted to the comparatively shallow portions of the sea actual conditions in the deep waters are unknown. The subject of ocean tides has not been accurately or completely treated and as a consequence, endeavors to link up tidal and Internal Wave mechanisms are made with caution. However, the intimation that the two mechanisms are associated appears to be further augmented by consideration of the ratio of amplitudes of the average semidiurnal and diurnal vectors (Table 8) in relation to available tidal information from the geographical locality of Station 3245.

As far as is known the tides in the ocean do not

[^12]conform to the equilibrium theory, ${ }^{20}$ and in the Atlantic Ocean, due to the smallness of the diurnal wave, the semidiurnal tide generally dominates. In low latitudes the ratio of $\frac{\text { semidiurnal }}{\text { diurnal }}$ tidal amplitudes frequently is considerably in excess of 2 ; along the eastern American coast it increases southward, for instance, from 2.92 at Wilmington, N. C., to 6.41 at Savannah, Ga., a location six and one half degrees north of Station 3245. Tidal information nearest to Station 3245 comes from the Caribbean region, where because the various basins respond in different degrees to the tide producing forces, the semidiurnal tides are very small and in places the total tide is largely diurnal. The ratios of semidiurnal to diurnal tide amplitudes of 2.98 to 0.25 , computed from tidal harmonic constants (Table 11) for exposed West Indian Islands, include the average Internal Wave displacement ratio of 1.98 at Station 3245.

In the water column harmonic coefficients for vertical displacements of 22 isotherms reveal a trend which does not detract from the intimation of an association with tidal phenomena. In 19 cases occurrence of semidiurnal and diurnal maxima were within three hours of each other and in 14 of the 22 cases semidiurnal amplitudes dominated. As previously brought out, phase and amplitude changes are identified with the water column structure; greater vertical variations occurring in the more stratified parts. The dominance of the semidiurnal oscillation

[^13]TABLE 11

| Place | Latitude North North | $\underset{\substack{\text { Lengitude } \\ \text { West }}}{\text { Lent }}$ | M 2 | $S_{3}$ | N 2 | $K_{1}$ | $O_{1}$ | $P_{1}$ | $\frac{\text { Semidiurnal }}{\text { Diurnal }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Savannah, Tybee Is. Lgt. | $32^{\circ} 02^{\prime}$ | $80^{\circ} 51^{\prime}$ | 3.22 | 0.59 | 0.68 | 0.34 | 0.24 | 0.12 | 6.414 |
| Charleston, S. C. | $32^{\circ} 46^{\prime}$ | $79^{\circ} 56^{\prime}$ | 2.48 | 0.43 | 0.56 | 0.34 | 0.25 | 0.11 | 4.957 |
| Wilmington, N. C. | $34^{\circ} 14^{\prime}$ | $77^{\circ} 57^{\prime}$ | 1.15 | 0.10 | 0.18 | 0.25 | 0.16 | 0.08 | 2.918 |
| Port au Prince, Haiti | $18^{\circ} 34^{\prime}$ | $72^{\circ} 22^{\prime}$ | 0.487 | 0.125 | 0.107 | 0.227 | 0.132 | (0.082) | 1.630 |
| San Juan, Porto Rico | $18^{\circ} 29^{\prime}$ | $66^{\circ} 07^{\prime}$ | 0.487 | 0.074 | 0.113 | 0.270 | 0.238 | 0.089 | 1.129 |
| Culebra Island | $18^{\circ} 18^{\prime}$ | $65^{\circ} 17^{\prime}$ | 0.293 | 0.043 | 0.048 | 0.250 | 0.186 | (0.083) | 0.740 |
| St. Thomas Island | $18^{\circ} 20^{\prime}$ | $64^{\circ} 56^{\prime}$ | 0.124 | 0.031 |  | 0.295 | 0.243 | 0.078 | 0.252 |
| St. Lucia Island | $14^{\circ} 01^{\prime}$ | $61^{\circ} 00^{\prime}$ | 0.246 | 0.127 |  | (0.069) | 0.056 |  | 2.980 |

Tidal Harmonic constants for selected stations nearest Station 3245, first three from Harris, ${ }^{21}$ last five from Schureman. ${ }^{22}$


Fig. 10. Cotidal lines of semidiurnal tide in the Atlantic Ocean according to R. Sterneck (copied from Defant, see text).


Fig. 11. Cotidal lines of diurnal tide in the Atlantic Ocean according to R. Sterneck (copied from Defant, see text).
in depths less than 700 meters (Table 4) becomes particularly striking in the more homogeneous water where maximum amplitude ratios ( $\frac{\text { semidiurnal }}{\text { diurnal }}$ ) reach 8.00 . Still deeper (to 1050 meters), semidiurnal amplitudes diminished and the diurnal dominated, the minimum amplitude ratio $\left(\frac{\text { semidiurnal }}{\text { diurnal }}\right)$ being 0.185 . Throughout the water column the range of amplitude ratios was approximately equivalent to that recorded at shallow water tidal stations (Table 11) encircling Station 3245.

A general good agreement between phases of observed currents in the upper layers of the Atlantic ( $30^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ to $30^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ) and phases of the tide, as given by the cotidal maps of Sterneck (Fig. 10 and 11), has been brought out by Defant. ${ }^{23}$ The results are of particular interest because the total currents measured at the "Meteor" anchor stations appear to be of mixed tidal and Internal Wave origin, in which case it seems likely that both were of the same, or nearly the same, phase. On the other hand, internal vertical displacements of the water layers are not necessarily in phase with the associated Internal Wave current. Examination of data from the eight "Meteor" anchor stations in the Atlantic reveals that (for identical stations) times of maximum displacements and of maximum current velocities differ by as much as 6 hours for the semidiurnal and by as much as 12 hours for the diurnal. Regularity between the two may be indicated by data from two "Meteor" stations (where observed currents and vertical displacements may appropriately be compared) on the high seas where (Table 12)

TABLE 12

| "Me teor" station | Mean vertical displacement |  |  | Mean current |  |  | Phase difference |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Depth | ${ }^{12^{\mathrm{h}}} \text { phase }$ | $\begin{gathered} 24^{\mathrm{h}} \\ \text { phase } \end{gathered}$ | Depth | $\stackrel{12^{\mathrm{h}}}{\text { phase }}$ | $\underset{\text { phase }}{24^{\mathrm{b}}}$ | Dis-placement | Current |
| 288 | 75-250 | $10.3^{\text {h }}$ | $14.6{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0-150 | $10.4{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $13.6{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $4.3{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.2{ }^{\text {h }}$ |
| 147 | 50-150 | $4.7{ }^{\text {h }}$ | $12.8{ }^{\text {h }}$ | 0-30 | $2.0{ }^{\text {h }}$ | $10.5^{\text {b }}$ | $8.1{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $8.5^{\text {h }}$ |

difference in phase between semidiurnal and diurnal vertical displacements (in approximately the same strata) was nearly equal to that be-

[^14]tween semidiurnal and diurnal currents. Information is too scanty for conclusions regarding general relationships between the phase of vertical displacements and the phase of current velocities throughout the ocean space, but the collective evidence strengthens the inference that the relationship between the average displacement vectors and tides at Station 3245 is not a chance result.

The Internal Wave theory of Fjeldstad has previously been tested by Fjeldstad ${ }^{24}$ and Lek, ${ }^{25}$ who using suitable observations from the Norwegian Fjords and from the waters of the Dutch Indies, respectively, obtained acceptable agreement between observed and theoretically computed results. The present investigation goes further in that the statistical treatments of results of the analytical transformations reveals the significant space characteristics of both observed and theoretical values to be closely identical. Complete agreement is not to be expected since at any time irregular influences (characteristic of all geophysical phenomena) may play a more or less important role, and in general support is given to the concept that observed vertical displacements are agreeably represented by the theoretical Internal Wave mechanism proposed by Fjeldstad.

Internal Wave propagation velocities, $C_{n}$, are computed from the parameter, $\lambda$, in the Fjeldstad equation, thus:

$$
C_{n}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{n}}=\sqrt{\frac{g}{\lambda^{2} g}}
$$

Values of $\lambda^{2} g$ used in the first four Internal Wave integrations at Station 3245 were:

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 \text { st } \text { order } & =0.01458 \\
2 \text { nd order } & =0.08178 \\
\text { 3rd order } & =0.15299 \\
4 \text { th order } & =0.34200
\end{aligned}
$$

and the ensuing propagation velocities (Table 13) are compared with those at two other North Atlantic stations ("Michael Sars" No. 68, $39^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 50^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 5400$ meters depth; ${ }^{26}$ " Atlantis" No. $3091,-34^{\circ} 02^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 65^{\circ} 54^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 5100$

[^15]meters depth ${ }^{27}$ ) and at a South Pacific station ("Snellius" No. 253a; $01^{\circ} 47.5^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 126^{\circ} 59.9^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, 1800 meters depth ${ }^{28}$ ).
The first Internal Wave propagation velocity range of 42 cm sec . is reduced to 17 cm sec . for the three North Atlantic stations having depths in excess of 5000 meters. The lower velocity at "Snellius" Station 253a may reasonably be the result of its inferior depth of only 1800 meters. Ranges recorded for propagation velocities of Internal Waves above the second order (about $10 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{sec} .^{-1}$ ) are of doubtful significance

TABLE 13

| Internal wave <br> order | Station <br> 3245 | Station <br> 3091 | Station <br> M.S.-68 | Station <br> S-253a |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1 | 259 | 276 | 267 | 234 |
| 2 | 110 | 87 | 90 | 116 |
| 3 | 80 | 70 | 75 | 77 |
| 4 | 54 | 50 | 49 | 58 |

Velocities of propagation ( $\mathrm{cm} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$ ) for first four Internal Waves computed for "Atlantis" Stations 3245 ( $25^{\circ} 32^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 53^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ ) and $3091\left(34^{\circ} 02^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 65^{\circ} 54^{\prime} \mathrm{W}\right.$ ), "Michael Sars" Station $68\left(39^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 50^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{W}\right)$, and "Snellius" Station 253a ( $01^{\circ} 47.5^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 126^{\circ} 59.4^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ).
in view of discrepancies entering into computation of the parameter $\lambda^{2} g$.

The velocity diminution with increasing order, as brought out by Table 13, is an approximate harmonic progression, and from the weighted harmonic mean of the results fair approximation to the propagation velocities, $C_{n}{ }^{\prime}$, of Internal Waves in the open ocean is given by:

$$
C_{n}{ }^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{cms} \mathrm{sec} .^{-1}\right)=\frac{221}{n} .
$$

Discrepancies in this empirical representation

[^16]chiefly affect the first two Internal Wave orders $\left(+55 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{sec} .^{-1}, 1\right.$ st order; -24 to $+5 \mathrm{~cm}$ sec. ${ }^{-1}$, 2nd order); for higher orders, the differences appear irrevelant ( $\neq 6 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{sec} .^{-1}$ ). ${ }^{29}$
The length of the lunar semidiurnal first order wave, $L$, having a propagation velocity of 221 $\mathrm{cm} \mathrm{sec} .^{-1}$ is:
$$
L=221 \times 12.45 \times 3600=99.05 \mathrm{~km} .
$$

Since the length of the semidiurnal tidal wave ${ }^{30}$ is approximately 8800 km at a depth of 4000 meters (approximate mean depth of the oceans) the ratio of length of internal to tidal waves is in the vicinity of 1 to 100 . Likewise the ratio of the mean depth of the oceans to length of the semidiurnal tidal wave is of the order of magnitude of $10^{-4}$ while that for the first Internal Wave is $10^{-2}$.

## Appendix 1

Temperature measurements at "Atlantis" Station $3245\left(25^{\circ} 32^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 53^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{W}\right)$ between $02^{\mathrm{h}} 54^{\mathrm{m}}$ January 23 and $04^{\mathrm{h}} 45^{\mathrm{m}}$ January 24, 1939 (G.C.T.). Scaled values in each column based on samplings with 17 pairs of reversing thermometers; depths corrected from unprotected thermometer readings at 300,800 and 1200 meter levels. Departures between computed observation depths and those measured by the hydrographic wire are given in Table 1. Sampling times are average for observations between the 100 and 1200 meter levels, maximum departure estimated not to exceed $\neq 3$ minutes. Surface temperatures were taken separately and coincided with the 100 meter observation.

[^17]H. R. SEIWELL

| Depth | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{0 2}=54 \mathrm{~m} \\ & \mathrm{Jan} .23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 00^{\mathrm{h}} 00^{\mathrm{m}} \\ \text { Jan. } 23 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 00^{\mathrm{b}} 00^{\mathrm{m}} \\ \text { Jan. } 23 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 00^{\mathrm{L}} 00^{\mathrm{m}} \\ \mathrm{~J} \text { an. } 23 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 00^{\mathrm{b}} 00^{\mathrm{me}} \\ \text { Jan. } 23 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 00^{\mathrm{b}} 00^{\mathrm{m}} \\ \mathrm{Jan} .23 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{0 0 h} \mathbf{0 0} \\ \text { Jan. } 23 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 00^{\mathrm{h}} 00^{\mathrm{m}} \\ \operatorname{Jan} .23 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00^{\text {ti }} 00^{\mathrm{man}} \\ & \mathrm{Jan} .23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 00^{\mathrm{b}} 00^{\mathrm{m}} \\ \text { Jan. } 23 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{0 0 ^ { \text { h. } }} \mathbf{0 0} \mathrm{m} \\ \text { Jan. } 23 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00^{\text {h }} 00^{\text {ma }} \\ & \text { Jan. } 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{0 0}{ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathbf{0 0} \mathbf{0 0}^{\mathrm{man} .} 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 00^{\mathrm{h}} 00^{\mathrm{m}} \\ \text { Jan. } 23 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 23.65 | 23.65 | 23.80 | 23.80 | 23.85 | 23.95 | 23.90 | 23.90 | 23.90 | 23.90 | 23.90 | 23.90 | 24.05 | 24.25 |
| 100 | 21.90 | 21.52 | 22.20 | 21.93 | 22.09 | 22.18 | 22.47 | 22.07 | 22.37 | 22.42 | 22.05 | 22.28 | 21.59 | 21.67 |
| 150 | 19.48 | 19.20 | 19.28 | 19.17 | 19.27 | 19.40 | 19.60 | 18.83 | 19.15 | 19.35 | 19.05 | 19.50 | 19.05 | 19.10 |
| 200 | 18.30 | 18.24 | 18.31 | 18.20 | 18.10 | 18.13 | 18.19 | 18.10 | 18.11 | 18.04 | 18.02 | 18.15 | 18.08 | 18.09 |
| 300 | 17.42 | 17.67 | 17.71 | 17.62 | 17.48 | 17.47 | 17.46 | 17.46 | 17.37 | 17.37 | 17.43 | 17.47 | 17.41 | 17.50 |
| 400 | 16.50 | 16.73 | 16.77 | 16.57 | 16.45 | 16.48 | 16.39 | 16.44 | 16.34 | 16.34 | 16.47 | 16.68 | 16.49 | 16.65 |
| 500 | 14.74 | 15.29 | 15.31 | 15.01 | 15.07 | 14.86 | 14.89 | 14.83 | 14.79 | 14.80 | 14.74 | 15.00 | 14.97 | 15.11 |
| 600 | 12.89 | 13.24 | 13.46 | 13.18 | 13.27 | 13.11 | 13.15 | 13.06 | 12.99 | 13.20 | 12.83 | 13.26 | 13.11 | 13.33 |
| 700 | 11.14 | 11.16 | 11.30 | 11.08 | 11.30 | 11.17 | 11.19 | 11.12 | 11.10 | 11.26 | 10.67 | 11.04 | 10.85 | 10.91 |
| 800 | 9.02 | 9.26 | 9.21 | 9.25 | 9.17 | 8.88 | 8.93 | 9.01 | 9.03 | 8.98 | 8.64 | 9.04 | 9.00 | 9.07 |
| 900 | 7.63 | 7.69 | 7.73 | 7.75 | 7.71 | 7.65 | 7.68 | 7.80 | 7.77 | 7.75 | 7.68 | 7.80 | 7.76 | 7.82 |
| 1000 | 6.39 | 6.39 | 6.45 | 6.55 | 6.39 | 6.31 | 6.41 | 6.60 | 6.79 | 6.70 | 6.64 | 6.52 | 6.49 | 6.48 |
| 1100 | 5.71 | 5.75 | 5.75 | 5.70 | 5.70 | 5.69 | 5.68 | 5.79 | 5.87 | 5.81 | 5.84 | 5.82 | 5.76 | 5.79 |
| Depth | $\begin{gathered} 00^{\mathrm{b}} 00^{\mathrm{m}} \\ \mathrm{Jan} . \\ \hline 23 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 00^{\mathrm{b}} 00^{\mathrm{mm}} \\ \text { Jan. } 23 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 00^{\mathrm{L}} 00^{\mathrm{m}} \\ \mathrm{Jan} . \\ \hline 23 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 00^{\mathrm{Lb}} 00^{\mathrm{m}} \\ \mathrm{Jan} .23 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00^{\mathrm{b}} 00^{\mathrm{m}} \\ & \text { Jan. } 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 00^{\mathrm{b}} 0^{\mathrm{m}} \\ \mathrm{Jan} .23 \end{gathered}$ | $00^{\mathrm{b}} 00^{\mathrm{m}}$ <br> Jan. 23 | $\begin{aligned} & 00^{\mathrm{L}} 00^{\mathrm{m}} \\ & \text { Jan. } 23 \end{aligned}$ | $00^{\mathrm{h}} 00^{\mathrm{m}}$ $\text { Jan. } 23$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00^{\mathrm{h}} 00^{\mathrm{m}} \\ & \text { Jan. } 24 \end{aligned}$ | $00^{\mathrm{h}} 00^{\mathrm{m}}$ $\text { Jan. } 24$ | $00^{\mathrm{b}} 00^{\mathrm{m}}$ $\text { Jan. } 24$ | $00^{\mathrm{b}} 00^{\mathrm{m}}$ <br> Jan. 24 | $04^{\text {b }} 54^{\text {m }}$ <br> Jan. 24 |
| 0 | 24.25 | 24.20 | 24.20 | 24.15 | 24.10 | 24.10 | 24.10 | 24.10 | 24.10 | 24.10 | 24.10 | 24.00 | 23.90 | 23.90 |
| 100 | 22.35 | 22.14 | 21.74 | 22.05 | 21.90 | 22.15 | 21.60 | 22.20 | 22.04 | 21.93 | 21.75 | 21.16 | 21.75 | 21.44 |
| 150 | 19.46 | 19.46 | 19.10 | 19.06 | 19.02 | 19.28 | 19.18 | 19.18 | 19.40 | 21.08 | 19.12 | 19.10 | 19.15 | 19.11 |
| 200 | 18.19 | 18.25 | 18.00 | 17.98 | 18.00 | 18.14 | 18.10 | 17.98 | 18.13 | 18.11 | 18.17 | 18.07 | 18.10 | 18.04 |
| 300 | 17.56 | 17.58 | 17.55 | 17.35 | 17.40 | 17.39 |  | 17.38 | 17.39 | 17.39 | 17.45 | 17.45 | 17.45 | 17.45 |
| 400 | 16.69 | 16.67 | 16.55 | 16.32 | 16.38 | 16.37 |  | 16.40 | 16.43 | 16.53 | 16.53 | 16.54 | 16.61 | 16.64 |
| 500 | 15.06 | 1500 | 14.99 | 14.87 | 14.90 | 14.85 |  | 14.90 | 14.91 | 14.83 | 14.87 | 14.85 | 15.10 | 15.05 |
| 600 | 13.39 | 13.28 | 13.14 | 13.20 | 13.10 | 13.13 |  | 13.06 | 13.10 | 13.05 | 12.95 | 13.03 | 13.20 | 12.95 |
| 700 | 11.02 | 11.11 | 11.01 | 11.08 | 10.98 | 10.98 |  | 11.03 | 10.91 | 11.05 | 10.94 | 10.73 | 10.99 | 10.90 |
| 800 | 9.13 | 9.30 | 9.22 | 9.15 | 9.08 | 9.20 |  | 9.15 | 9.18 | 9.21 | 9.16 | 9.00 | 9.01 | 9.00 |
| 900 | 7.88 | 7.77 | 7.74 | 7.70 | 7.69 | 7.70 |  | 7.68 | 7.68 | 7.68 | 7.58 | 7.61 | 7.56 | 7.68 |
| 1000 | 6.44 | 6.31 | 6.32 | 6.30 | 6.29 | 6.35 |  | 6.30 | 6.61 | 6.62 | 6.69 | 6.50 | 6.44 | 6.48 |
| 1100 | 5.74 | 5.75 | 5.76 | 5.73 | 5.66 | 5.69 |  | 5.70 | 5.73 | 5.69 | 5.68 | 5.63 | 5.63 | 5.74 |

# PALEOCENE FAUNAS OF THE POLECAT BENCH FORMATION, PARK COUNTY, WYOMING 

PART II. LIZARDS ${ }^{1}$<br>CHARLES W. GILMORE<br>Curator of Vertebrate Paleontology, United States National Museum<br>(Communicated by William B. Scott)

## Abstract

Based principally upon the study of fragmentary specimens from the Polecat Bench formation the following Paleocene lizards are described: Exostinus? rugosus, new species; Provaranosaurus acutus, new genus and species; Peltosaurus jepseni, new species; Oligodontosaurus wyomingensis, new genus and species; and Haplodontosaurus, new genus. The distribution of all Paleocene reptiles known from formations in North America is charted.

## Introduction

The fossil lizard materials upon which this paper is based were collected by Princeton Scott Fund expeditions while exploring Paleocene mammal localities in Park County, Wyoming. Most of the specimens are from the Silver Coulee beds of the Polecat Bench formation, the geology of which has been fully discussed by Jepsen (1930, pp. 490-491; 1940, pp. 231-238) in Part I of this series of reports upon the faunas of the Polecat Bench formation.

It is perhaps needless to refer to the meager character of the materials, consisting chiefly of dentary and maxillary bones with teeth. Upon such scanty evidence little can be accomplished in determining their true affinities. It is believed, however, that sufficient diagnostic characters have been found to distinguish them, and that new materials can be identified with them, and thus through future discoveries it is anticipated that all will be eventually characterized and classified within the suborder.

This collection, belonging to the Princeton Geological Museum, was placed in my hands for study through the generosity of my friend, Dr. Glenn L. Jepsen, who informs me that the field work of collecting the specimens was supported by the Scott Fund and by a cooperative grant from the Geological Society of America and from the American Philosophical Society. The illus-

[^18]trations were prepared by Mr. Sydney Prentice and Mrs. A. W. Awl.

## Family IGUANIDAE Bonaparte, 1840

Genus Exostinus Cope, 1873
Exostinus is a genus of uncertain family reference, although it has been provisionally included in the Iguanidae for a number of years. During this time new discoveries have been favorable to this assignment but its certain relationship may still be regarded as obscure. Exostinus now includes three species, $E$. serratus Cope from the Oligocene (Brule), E. lancensis Gilmore from the Late Cretaceous (Lance), and E. rugosus herein described.

Exostinus? rugosus, new species. Figs. 1, 2
Type.-Princeton no. 14559, posterior half of right maxillary bearing five teeth.
Referred specimens.-Princeton no. 14640, parts of both maxillaries, both dentaries, jugal, and fragmentary skull and jaw parts.

Distribution.-Princeton Quarry, Sec. 21, T 57 N, R 100 W, Park County, Wyoming; Silver Coulee beds, Polecat Bench formation.


Fig. 1. Posterior half of right maxillary of Exostinus? rugosus. Type, Princeton Mus. no. 14559. External view. Five times natural size.

Discussion.-A small iguanid lizard is distinguished from other forms in this collection of Paleocene fossils by the character of the ornamentation on the external surface of the type
maxillary, a series of small tubercular clusters that are without distinct arrangement, as shown in Fig. 1. The teeth on this specimen are pleurodont with subcylindric shafts. It is quite evident, although not too clearly shown by the present specimen, that the compressed crowns are bicuspid, consisting of a large posterior denticle with a smaller cusp in front. Shallow, longitudinal grooves on the external side of some of the teeth lead to the point of division between the denticles on the crown. These grooves are suggestive of somewhat similar conditions found on the tricuspid teeth of Chamops segnis from the Lance. In the latter, however, the grooves are more distinct and the longer ones are found on the inside of the teeth.

Princeton no. 14640, from the same quarry as the type, consists of parts of both maxillaries, both dentaries, jugal and a few fragmentary skull and jaw parts. None of the tooth bearing bones is completely preserved, hence the total number of teeth cannot be determined. One dentary shows evidence of 14 teeth but an unknown number are missing from the front of the series. Five teeth occupy a longitudinal space of 3 millimeters as in Exostinus lancensis. A scar on the outer posterior surface of the dentary indicates the extent of the anterior process of the coronoid as being to a point below the second tooth from the posterior end of the series, as in most Iguanidae. Biscuspid teeth are present in both upper and lower dental series. There are a few crowns, however, on which this bicuspid condition cannot be detected.


Fig. 2. Right jugal of Exostinus? rugosus. Princeton Mus. no. 14640. Lateral view. Five times natural size.

The jugal has the usual curved shape (Fig. 2) with a bluntly pointed triangular spur projecting backward from the posterior angle where the bone bends upward to form the posterior boundary of the orbit. The lower, outer surface of this bone is sparsely sculptured by a few short raised ridges that form an indefinite pattern. The type of sculpturing has a distinct resemblance to that found on the maxillary. This style of sculpturing on the jugal also distinguishes
this species from Exostinus serratus Cope, which has the jugal covered with flat, quadrangular bony tubercles.
On the basis of the sculpturing of the maxillary and the jugal as in Exostinus serratus this specimen is provisionally referred to a species of the genus Exostinus. From the other species of the genus, E. serratus Cope and E.? lancensis Gilmore, the present form is distinguished at once by the bicuspid maxillary and dentary teeth as contrasted with the simple crowns in both of those species. The specific name rugosus is, therefore, proposed for its reception. The species name was suggested by the rugose sculpturing on the maxillary and jugal surfaces.

## Family VARANIDAE Bonaparte, 1831

Dollo, in 1923, described a varanid lizard, Saniza orsmaelensis from the Orsmael and Erquelinnes localities of Belgium. At that time he believed that the late Landenian (Sparnacian) faunas were of Paleocene age, but the close similarity of the Sparnacian and the early Wasatchian mammals and other considerations now lead to the belief that most if not all of the deposits at Orsmael and Erquelinnes should be assigned to the Eocene. As Simpson (1929) states, however, ". . . the fauna of Orsmael retains some special affinity with the Paleocene. . . ."
At any rate the Silver Coulee beds are older than the Wasatchian sediments of the Bighorn Basin, as demonstrated by stratigraphic position, and hence are probably older than the deposits yielding Saniwa orsmaelensis.

## Provaranosaurus acutus, new genus and species

Type.-Princeton Mus. no. 14243, left maxillary bearing 11 teeth.

Distribution.-Princeton Quarry, Sec. 21, T 57 N, R 100 W, Park County, Wyoming; Silver Coulee beds, Polecat Bench formation.

Discussion.-The maxillary selected as the type has been prepared in relief on a small block of matrix and thus only the external side is accessible for study. Fortunately the most anterior tooth was sufficiently exposed on the inner side to show the pleurodont character of its attachment. The dentigerous portion of the maxillary which has both ends completely preserved has an overall length of 23 millimeters. There are 11 teeth present in the jaw, but it is quite evident that several are missing from the complete series, as shown in Fig. 3.

The teeth are pleurodont, widely spaced, (in
contrast to the lack of diastemata in S. orsmaelensis), have slender crowns, and are round in cross section, with sharply pointed tips. All of the longer teeth are inclined backward, but none


Fig. 3. Left maxillary of Provaranosaurus acutus. Type. Princeton Mus. no. 14243. Viewed from the left side. Three times natural size.
has the backward curvature found in the teeth of Palaeovaranus from the Phosphorites of France. The largest teeth are borne on the anterior half of the maxillary, and they gradually reduce in size posteriorly.

The anterior portion of a left dentary, Princeton Mus. no. 14561 is provisionally identified as pertaining to this same genus and species. The slender, sharp, simple crowned, widely spaced teeth, combined with the slenderness of the dentary as a whole all point to the correctness of such a conclusion. Toward the anterior end the dentary bends inward toward the symphysial contact. On the lower internal side the bone is deeply furrowed by Meckel's groove which runs to the symphysis. On the median external side is the usual row of foramina. The teeth are pleurodont with expanded bases as in varanids generally, but they lack the basal striations found in Varanus, Saniwa and Parasaniza.

Method of implantation, shape, and wide spacing of the teeth are all features indicating varanid affinities and this genus is therefore tentatively referred to the family Varanidae. If correctly assigned it is a representative of the family that occupies an intermediate position geologically between the Late Cretaceous (Lance) Parasaniwa and the Eocene (Bridger) Saniza. The straight, slender, sharply pointed teeth without basal striations distinguish Provaranosaurus from the other members of the family.

Family ANGUIDAE Bonaparte, 1831
Genus Peltosaurus Cope, 1873
Peltosaurus jepseni, new species. Figs. 4, 5, 6, 7, 8
Type.-Princeton Mus. no. 14565, incomplete right maxillary bearing 9 teeth, posterior portion of the parietal, and one dermal scute.

Paratype.-Princeton Mus. no. 13371, left maxillary bearing 13 teeth.

Distribution.-Type specimens from Princeton Quarry, Sec. 21, T 57 N, R 100 W, Park County, Wyoming, Silver Coulee beds, Polecat Bench formation. Additional specimens from this site; also from the Rock Bench quarry beds, Park County, Wyoming; from the Lebo of the Crazy Mountain field, Montana; and from the Dragon formation, Emery County, Utah.

Discussion.- The present species is based on a study of twenty-three specimens, six in the National Museum and seventeen in the Princeton Museum collections, of which Princeton Mus. no. 14565 is selected as a type. This specimen is of interest as furnishing the first available information on the character of the scutellation of these Paleocene lizards. The close resemblances found in the dentition, scutellation of head and body to the corresponding parts of those of Peltosaurus granulosus Cope confirms the correctness of the original assignment of some of the National Museum specimens to the genus Peltosaurus on meager materials (Gilmore 1928, p. 137).
The parietal consists of the posterior half with the right posterior process, the left is entirely missing. The superior surface is plane and except for a wide smooth band posteriorly is covered by bony scutes that are fully coalesced to the underlying bone. As shown in Fig. 4, the


Fig. 4. Parietal and dermal scute of Peltosaurus jepseni. Type. Princeton Mus. no. 14565. A, posterior portion of parietal; $B$, dermal scute; inp, interparietal scute; $p$, parietal scute; pin, postinterparietal scute. Both figures three times natural size.
scutal area consists of two large parietal scutes that are separated on the midline by the interposition of a narrow interparietal. The latter is joined posteriorly by a small subtriangular postinterparietal. The arrangement of these scutes, insofar as they can be judged in their incomplete state, are in close agreement with those of $P$. granulosus, differing only in size,
proportions, and the style of ornamentation of their dorsal surfaces. $P$. granulosus has a granular sculpture of both head and body scutes, whereas in the present specimen, more especially the parietal scutes, are ornamented by a series of low radiating ridges with narrow intervening valleys. These ridges do not form a distinct pattern although the more conspicuous of the ridges have a decided trend backward and outward from the center of the scute as shown in Fig. 4. The posterior median border of the parietal between the divergent posterior processes is broadly hollowed out, as contrasted with the deep U-shaped notch in $P$. granulosus.

The dermal body scute, see Fig. 4-B, has the usual quadrangular shape with a narrow smooth, articular band across the anterior end. The remaining dorsal surface has a sculpture resembling that of the skull scutes, but with the pattern less well defined.

The type maxillary which bears nine teeth is slightly incomplete at both ends. In size, outline, and surface marking it is in complete accord with the more perfect paratype, Princeton Mus. no. 13371, on which the description to follow is based.

- There are 13 teeth present in the paratype, but as shown in Fig. 5, it is clearly evident that two


Fig. 5. Left maxillary of Pellosaurus jepseni. Paratype. Princeton Mus, no, 13371. External view. Three times natural size.
are missing, thus 15 teeth would constitute the complete maxillary series, one tooth less than in P.granulosus which has 16 to 17, or Melanosaurus which has 16 . The teeth are pleurodont, stout, shafts compressed fore and aft with flattened sides, and closely spaced in the jaw. The crowns are bluntly wedge-shaped with the longer bevel internal. On some of the crowns there is faint evidence of striae running downward at right angles to the cutting edge, but this sculpturing soon disappears with wear. The largest teeth are in the center of the series but they diminish both in size and length toward the ends of the maxillary. Upper teeth appear indistinguishable from the lower.

Over all the maxillary has a greatest length of
15.3 millimeters and the 15 teeth occupy a space of 13 millimeters.

Viewed laterally (see Fig. 5) the maxillary presents a narrow smooth surface paralleling the dentigerous border which is perforated by the usual row of foramina. Above this smooth area on the anterior half the surface is slightly roughened. A similar surface on the maxillary of $P$. granulosus marks the attachment of the lowermost of the dermal scutes which form such a conspicuous feature of the skull in that species.

In an earlier paper, an incomplete right maxillary bearing 8 teeth, U. S. N. M. no. 10920 was assigned to the genus Peltosaurus, (Gilmore 1938, p. 22) but without specific designation. In view of its close resemblance both in size and other characteristics it can now be definitely identified as pertaining to the present species. This specimen which comes from the Lebo (Fort Union No. 2) Paleocene, Sweetgrass County, Montana, considerably extends the known geographical range of the present species.
The lower jaw of $P$. jepsen $i$ is represented by several fragments of rami from the same locality and geological horizon as the type and paratype. Also in the Princeton Mus. collections are three specimens, nos. 14577, 14578, and 14579, from a lower level, the Rock Bench quarry beds, pertaining to this form. In the National Museum collections there are three incomplete dentaries, nos. 10444, 10446, and 10811, from the Lebo (Fort Union No. 2) of Sweetgrass County, Montana, that can also be referred to this genus and species.
One of the Silver Coulee specimens, a nearly complete right dentary, Princeton Mus. no. 14245 (Fig. 6) shows the complete dental series


Fig. 6. Right dentary of Peltosaurus jepseni. Prince-
 Three times natural size.
to consist of not less than 17 teeth as contrasted with 21 in $P$.granulosus. These teeth occupy a space 12.3 millimeters in length. A scar on the upper posterior surface of the outside of the dentary indicates the point of overlap of the outer anterior process of the coronoid. Beneath it is the scar for the overlap of the broadly
rounded end of the surangular. The portion of a jaw illustrated in Fig. 7 shows the coronoid to sit astride the dentary as in $P$. granulosus with its tapering inner prolongation terminating opposite next to the last tooth. The splenial is the usual thin bone that covers Meckel's groove. Its ventral contact cannot be traced and the anterior end is missing. The splenial is perforated near its middle by a longitudinally elongated foramen.
The relatively longer and more closely spaced teeth as compared with those of $P$. piger are clearly indicated in Fig. 7.


Fig. 7. Portion of a right ramus of Peltosaurus jepseni. Princeton Mus. no. 14244. Viewed from the inner side. $C$, coronoid; $s$, splenial. Doubtful contact at the median break. Three times natural size.

Two dentary fragments containing teeth, U. S. N. M. Nos. 16579 and 16583 from the Dragon formation, Paleocene, in the Manti National Forest, Emery County, Utah, are provisionally identified as pertaining to the present genus and species. These teeth are in perfect agreement with those described from the $\mathrm{Pa}-$ leocene of northern localities, both as to size and other characteristics.

A single thoracic vertebra, preserved in a small block of matrix in close proximity to a considerable number of dermal scutes of the Peltosaurus jepseni type, is provisionally identified as pertaining to that genus and species. If correct in this assumption it furnishes the first information had of the vertebral column. Only the ventral side is available for study at this time (see Fig. 8). The centrum is tapering, having a closer resemblance to the vertebra of Iguana than to the


Fig. 8. Thoracic vertebra of Pellosaurus jepseni. Princeton Mus. no. 14641. Ventral view. Five times natural size.
more quadrangular centra of Peltosaurus granulosus. The median, flattened ventral surface is defined by shallow longitudinal grooves on either side. The centrum as a whole is depressed, the cup and ball are transversely ovate, the latter set off by a shallow annular groove on the ventral side. The dispophyses project outward from the anterior lateral angles of the centrum but do not extend below its ventral border. The centrum has a greatest length of 4 mm ., and a greatest width across the diapophyses of 3.2 mm .

The genus Peltosaurus now contains the following species, $P$. granulosus, $P$. abbotti both from the Oligocene, and $P$. piger from the Lance formation. Peltosaurus jepseni may be distinguished from the Oligocene species by its slightly smaller size and differences in the sculpture of the dermal scutes of head and body as previously described. The parietal also displays a broad, shallow notch on its posterior median border as contrasted with the deep U -shaped notch in $P$. granulosus. There also appear to be fewer teeth but due to the paucity of materials this observation needs verification.

From $P$. piger, the present species may be distinguished by the more slender form of its teeth, and by the greater length of their protrusion beyond the alveolar borders.

This species is named for Dr. Glenn L. Jepsen in appreciation of his outstanding contributions to our knowledge of the mammalian faunas from this same Wyoming area.

## Sauria of Unknown Family Reference

Oligodontosaurus wyomingensis, new genus and species. Fig. 9
Type.-Princeton Mus. no. 14246, consists of a left ramus bearing a complete dentition.

Distribution.-Princeton Quarry, Sec. 21, T 57 N, R 100 W, Park County, Wyoming, Silver Coulee beds, Polecat Bench formation.

Discussion.-This species is based on a left ramus that lacks its articular end posterior to the coronoid process. As preserved the ramus has a greatest length from end to end of 6.8 millimeters. The specimen has been reliefed on a small block of matrix and thus only the internal side is available for study at this time. The complete dental series consists of 9 homodont teeth, all present except the crown of the most anterior one.

These are subpleurodont in manner of attachment and the 9 teeth occupy a longitudinal space
of 4.8 millimeters. The teeth are transversely compressed with lance-shaped crowns. The last tooth is the most robust of the series; the other teeth reduce in size anterioriy. The most an-

Fig. 9. Left ramus of Oligodontosaurus wyomingensis. Type. Princeton Mus. no. 14246. Internal view. C, coronoid; $d$, dentary; $s$, splenial. Five times natural size.
terior tooth situated on the very tip of the dentary has an enlarged base. The inclined shelf to which the teeth are attached is relatively narrow, occupying less than one half the total depth of the dentary. With the exception of there being fewer teeth in the series, their configuration and method of attachment have their closest resemblance in Lanceosaurus hatcheri from the Lance formation (Gilmore, 1928, p. 160, Fig. 104, p. 26, Fig. 8).

The coronoid is relatively stout, with truncated upper extremity, and strengthened on the inner side by a low, rounded ridge that originates well toward the top and extends downward with a decided backward curve on the lower half of the ramus where it merges with the prearticular. The inner anterior process of the coronoid that laps the dentary ends on the line of the posterior border of the last tooth.

The splenial appears to be very short, apparently terminating posterior to the mid length of the dentary as shown in Fig. 9. None of the other structural details of the posterior portion of the jaw can be certainly determined.

The diminutive size of the type coupled with the reduced number of teeth in the dentary with an enlarged posterior tooth and a reduced splenial constitute a series of characters sufficient to distinguish fully this genus and species from all known Sauria. At this time no clue has been obtained as to its proper family assignment.

## Haplodontosaurus, new genus

Genotype, Harpagosaurus excedens Gilmore, Mem. Nat. Acad. Sci., vol. 22, No. 3, p. 157, Fig. 99, 1928.
The new genus Haplodontosaurus is proposed for the reception of the species excedens. Originally this species was provisionally referred to the genus Harpagosaurus. Its removal is brought about by a re-examination of the type in connec-
tion with the study of a complete maxillary (Princeton Mus. no. 14560) the dentition of which displays such close resemblances to the teeth of the type of H.? excedens as to suggest their specific identity. If this conclusion is correct it permits comparison to be made with the type of Harpagosaurus parvus based on a maxillary from the Lance formation. This specimen (see Fig. 11) has a series of acutely pointed


Fig. 10. Right dentary of Haplodontosaurus excedens. Type. U.S. N. M. no. 10447. Lateral view. Five times natural size. After Gilmore.


Fig. 11. Right maxillary of Harpagosaurus parvus Gilmore. Type. U. S. N. M. no. 10803. Lateral view. About five times natural size. After Gilmore.
pleurodont teeth with cylindrical shafts and a long narial border that is moderately inclined to the horizontal; in Haplodontosaurus excedens the pleurodont teeth are bluntly pointed with shafts slightly flattened fore and aft, and the narial border is short and steeply inclined (see Fig. 12) indicating a high blunt muzzle as contrasted with the more attenuated nose in Harpagosaurus parvus. Mention should be made that this re-study of the type of excedens discloses it to be a dentary, not maxillary, as originally identified. This is indicated by the convex outer surface of the bone and the presence of a small portion of Meckel's groove.

## Haplodontosaurus excedens (Gilmore, 1928)

In the Princeton lizard collection there are four specimens (nos. 14560, 14563, 14567 and 14569) identified as pertaining to the present genus and species. All are from the Silver Coulee beds,


Fig. 12. Right maxillary of Haplodontosaurus excedens. Princeton Mus. no. 14560. Lateral view. Five times natural size.

## PALEOCENE FAUNAS OF POLECAT BENCH FORMATION

Polecat Bench formation, Paleocene, and all were found in the Princeton Quarry, Sec. 21, T 57 N, R 100 W, Park County, Wyoming.

The most perfect specimen, a complete maxillary illustrated in Fig. 12, has a greatest length over all of 8 millimeters. There are 12 teeth preserved in the maxillary but it is clearly evident that the full complement would consist of 17 teeth. This fact furnished a further distinction between the two genera, as the maxillary of Harpagosaurus parvus carries only 14 teeth. The form of the maxillary is clearly depicted in Fig. 12 and thus requires no further description.

Specimen Princeton Mus. no. 14563 is a right maxillary lacking its anterior end. In size and all other characteristics it is in complete accord with the maxillary illustrated in Fig. 12.

The third specimen, Princeton Mus. no. 14567, is a small section of a left dentary bearing 4 teeth. These teeth have the fore and aft sides of the
shafts slightly flattened as in the type of the species. The crowns are more acutely pointed than those of the available maxillae. The fourth specimen, Princeton Mus. no. 14569, consists of the anterior end of a left maxillary carrying 6 or more teeth. It contributes no additional information concerning the species.

## Summary

Most of the Paleocene lizard specimens described herein were collected from one locality designated "Princeton Quarry" and located in Sec. 21, T $57 \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{R} 100 \mathrm{~W}$, on the east side of Sand Coulee basin, Park County, Wyoming. This quarry has produced several hundred mammalian specimens, including a complete skeleton, a number of articulated skulls and jaws, vertebral columns, and limbs. Among the mammals Jepsen (1940, p. 236) has recognized

Known Distribution of North American Paleocene Reptiles


Known Distribution of North American Paleocene Reptiles-Continued

nine orders, seventeen families, and twenty-eight genera, and states that there are others, as yet unstudied, mammalian forms represented. The quarry has yielded also at least two kinds of amphibia, one represented by a complete skull and jaws and part of the vertebral column. These will be described in another part of this series of publications upon the faunas of the Polecat Bench formation.

In addition to the three families and five genera and species described herein, there are only two other species of Paleocene lizards known from the United States. These are Harpagosaurus? silberlingi from the Lebo of Montana (Gilmore 1938, pp. 24-25), and Machaeosaurus torrejonensis from the Torrejon of New Mexico (Gilmore, 1928, pp. 155-156). That there were other as yet undescribed members of the Sauria in the

Paleocene is indicated by fragmentary specimens in both the Princeton and the United States National Museum collections but these materials are too meager for characterization.

In the belief that a tabulation of the reptilia known from the Paleocene of North America will be useful, the following chart is presented to summarize the geological distribution of the various forms:
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## Abstract

Case histories are presented of individual nerve endings of terminal arborizations of myelinated fibers in frog tadpoles subjected to various kinds of injurious treatments. Electric shocks, starvation, chloretone anesthesia, wound infliction, insulin, and heat have each been used to induce nerve ending irritation. Swelling, retraction, and variable amounts of degeneration characterize markedly irritated endings. Reduction of swelling, extension, and branching characterize endings in process of recovery. Changes associated with chronic neuritis, such as are induced by starvation, are essentially similar to those associated with acute neuritis, such as are induced by electrical injury.

Examples are also presented of the behavior of rapidly growing nerve tips in young regenerating zones, as these are subjected to acute irritative treatments of several kinds. In regenerating zones several weeks old during the later stages of myelination, nerve endings of terminal arborizations exhibit slow adjustments of retraction, extension, and branching. These are quite like similar adjustments that take place in normal zones of young growing tadpoles.

It is clear from these observations that nerve ending patterns are not necessarily fixed and stable. The changed conditions imposed by experimental injuries often cause marked adjustments of the endings which result in new patterns. Such adjustments probably also occur at some synapses between nerve cells within the central nervous system.

Illustrative ciné-photomicrographs have been obtained.

[^19]
## Introduction

Direct observations of nerve fibers in living frog tadpoles may be made. In favorable cases the delicate endings of cutaneous terminal arborizations of myelinated fibers may also be discerned. If the same nerve endings in a tadpole are watched from day to day a fairly complete record can be secured of any changes that may take place. Some records of this type have already been published. These reveal nerve ending adjustments in tadpoles during rapid growth in size (Speidel, '42) and also in tadpoles during and following irritative treatments with alcohol, metrazol, and other irritants (Speidel, '36, '40, and '41).
Additional case histories are presented in this account which show the nerve ending changes in tadpoles subjected to various experimental injuries. The acute type of injury and recovery is well illustrated by the electric shock experiments; the chronic type by the starvation experiments, and slow adjustments to a changing terrain by the regeneration experiments. Examples are also given of nerve ending behavior in tadpoles approaching metamorphosis and in tadpoles treated with insulin, chloretone, and heat.

Although a few observations on rapidly advancing nerve endings are described, this account deals chiefly with the mature resting endings of terminal arborizations of myelinated fibers. Endings of this type are concerned in the mediation of nervous activities of relatively refined nature. They are present not only at the skin but also at synapses between nerve cells within the central nervous system. Modifications of nerve endings, therefore, may cause profound functional effects on general nervous activities.

Tadpoles of Pseudacris feriarum and Hyla crucifer were used in these experiments. During microscopic examination an animal was placed in a special upright chamber and kept temporarily immobilized by weak chloretone solution. Cinéphotomicrographs were made from many of the experimental animals. Several of the illustrations are based upon motion picture records.


Fig. 1. Degenerative changes of the endings of a terminal arborization of the distal stump of a severed nerve fiber. Tadpole no. 1919, nerve fiber cut on February 9th at $4: 18$ p.m. The wound was some distance from the part of the fiber illustrated, a condition which ruled out the possibility of direct regional traumatic influences. Trophic changes quickly became visible within the first half hour. At $4: 30$ P.m. a vacuole was visible near the center of each of the four end bulbs. By $9: 55$ P.m. ending $R$ had disappeared; the branch ending in $T$ had suffered autotomy of its distal portion; and ending $S$ was swollen and irregular in contour. One of the myelin segments had broken up into globules and the other was in process of fragmentation. On February 10th at $11: 20$ A.m. endings $Q$ and $S$ were still recognizable though they were disjoined from the remains of the main nerve fiber. The branches were very tenuous. They were characterized in some places by degenerative granules. By 10 P.M. there was no longer any trace of the terminal arborization. A macrophage $(M)$ was active in ingesting myelin and axis cylinder remnants from within the neurilemma tube.

## Complete Degeneration of Nerve Endings of Terminal Arborizations

Either complete or incomplete degeneration may be exhibited by terminal arborizations of injured nerve fibers. Cases of complete degeneration sometimes occur spontaneously in the tadpole's tail, particularly as the time for metamorphosis approaches. Other cases have been noted in animals subjected to irritative treatments of appropriate severity, as after treatment with electricity, metrazol, alcohol, and insulin.
The principal steps in nerve ending degeneration are also readily seen in the distal stump portion of a sectioned myelinated fiber. In the example given (Fig. 1) a young terminal arborization arising at a node of Ranvier is shown as it undergoes complete trophic degeneration. The sketches show the condition of the nerve endings at the following intervals after the cut was made: 12 min utes, $51 / 2$ hours, 19 hours, and 30 hours. The regressive changes include early vacuolation of the end bulbs, swelling, development of irregular end bulb contours, granulation, and fragmentation of the branches.

Other records of terminal arborization degeneration have been obtained. Essentially the same sequence of changes is exhibited whether the degeneration is induced by nerve section or by other means.

## Histories of Nerve Endings in Tadpoles Subjected to Electric Shocks

With the electric current practically any degree of irritation or injury to tadpole tissues may be induced. Very severe treatments cause death. Severe treatments which are not lethal may bring about the degeneration of variable amounts of nerve substance. Other tissues are also conspicuously damaged, particularly the muscle and epithelium. More moderate treatments may cause visible nerve irritation without being followed by any loss of nerve substance. Mild treatments may induce little or no nerve change.

A severely injured fiber may degenerate with destruction of both axis cylinder and myelin sheath. Its nerve endings are also lost. A less severely injured fiber may lose a number of its most distal myelin sheath segments even though the axis cylinder survives. The terminal arborization endings of such a fiber may, or may not, sur-
vive. Moderately injured fibers may exhibit swelling of the myelin sheath. This is occasionally accompanied by some loss of nerve ending substance. Mildly irritated fibers may develop temporary vacuoles between the myelin sheath and axis cylinder. Nerve endings of such fibers usually remain fairly constant, though some end bulbs may exhibit swelling.

A fine example of nerve fiber behavior after electric shock treatment is illustrated (Fig. 2). The injury in this case was moderately severe, enough to induce acute irritative effects in the nerve fiber figured. Swelling of the end bulbs and some loss of nerve ending substance ensued. The older more massive myelin sheath segments survived, although a young terminal one degenerated. Three days of recovery was sufficient to allow the development of a pattern of endings somewhat different from the original pattern at the time of the injury.
An even greater degree of injury may sometimes be induced in some of the nerve fibers of electrically shocked tadpoles. Particularly interesting fibers are those which lose some of their distal myelin sheath segments without, however, degeneration of the corresponding axis cylinder portion. Two regions of such a fiber are illustrated (Figs. 3 and 4). The first of these (Fig. 3) pictures three degenerating myelin segments together with the remains of two side branches at former nodes of Ranvier. Complete loss of the side branches followed. Myelin debris obscured somewhat the exact condition of the axis cylinder. Nevertheless, a sure indication that it survived was afforded by the survival of one of its terminal endings that emerged from the most distal myelin sheath segment. This feature is illustrated in Fig. 4, which also reveals the steps of recovery of the terminal branch during the eleven days following the injury.

Rapidly regenerating nerve tips are likewise readily affected by electric shock treatments. Several excellent cases have been watched in newly regenerated zones a few days after the tip of the tail has been cut off. One example is presented (Fig. 5) which clearly indicates that treatments of moderate severity are sufficient to stop an advancing growth cone temporarily. Furthermore, growth cones are affected by treatments mild enough to cause no visible change in the resting nerve endings in the normal unoperated tail zone of the same tadpole.


Fig. 2. Marked irritation of the endings of a terminal arborization following electric shock treatments and the subsequent steps of recovery. Tadpole no. 2432, subjected to a series of electric shocks on April 26th. The sketches are drawn exactly to scale from ciné-photomicrographic records. On April 27th the endings $P, Q$, and $S$ were markedly swollen; $T$ was degenerating and $R$ was retracting. The myelin segment was greatly swollen, its diameter being more than twice that of the enclosed axis cylinder. The myelin globules at $G$ represent the remains of a young delicate myelin segment which was just becoming differentiated on April 26th at the time of the injury. On April 28th endings $P, Q$, and $T$ were no longer discernible, but new branches were present at $K$ and $V$. Marked reduction of the myelin segment had taken place, so that it appeared essentially normal. By April 29th ending $V$ had disappeared but ending $K$ had branched and grown. Endings $R, S$, and $U$ had all grown and at the time of observation each was provided with an active growth cone tip. (The dotted line indicates that a part of the length of the branch ending in $U$ has been omitted from the drawing.) A distance of 0.03 mm ( 30 microns) is indicated below.


Fig. 3. Severe irritation of a myelinated fiber resulting from electric shock treatments with subsequent myelin segment degeneration and elimination of collateral branches. Tadpole no. 2452, subjected to a series of electric shocks on May 31st and June 1st. The injury was sufficient to induce breaking up of the myelin sheath of the 9 most distal segments of the fiber illustrated. $Q$ represents the 4 th myelin segment from the end, $P$ the 5 th, and $R$ the 3rd. On June 2nd these segments exhibited fragmentation. At $M$ and $N$ are the greatly reduced remnants of what were terminal arborizations before the injury. By June 4th these had suffered complete elimination. The main axis cylinder portion of the fiber, however, survived. A much later condition of the fiber on June 12th is shown. New myelin segments were present on the proximal part of the fiber, just reaching the field illustrated at $P$. Collateral branches did not again develop at the former sites, $M$ and $N$. (The history of the most distal part of this fiber is presented in Fig. 4.)

## Histories of Nerve Endings in Starved Tadpoles

General tissue injury progressively develops in tadpoles subjected to starvation. Typical irritative changes become discernible in nerve fibers and their endings. Marked irregularities may characterize the surface epithelium of the tail if the starvation period is prolonged. A chronic state of irritation is set up.

Nerve endings of irritated myelinated fibers may display swelling, retraction, and loss of nerve substance by degeneration. If the starvation period is not too long recovery readily takes place. The endings again become normal in appearance and some growth adjustments may occur.

The first case illustrated (Fig. 6) shows the changes in three endings of a young terminal arborization over a period of 12 days. During the first starvation period the endings retracted. This was followed by some growth and extension after food was made available. A second starvation period again initiated regressive changes in the endings.

The second case (Fig. 7) shows the changes in an ending belonging to a terminal arborization of the same myelinated fiber as that of the preceding figure (Fig. 6). The arborization in this case was located more distally along the fiber. As before regressive changes were exhibited by the ending during the periods of starvation. During the period of recovery after the ending had grown out some distance, however, retraction again occurred even though the food conditions at this time were suitable for further growth. This is merely an indication that an individual ending may undergo regressive change at the same time that other endings are either advancing or at least maintaining their positions. This point has been clearly brought out by some prolonged histories of growing terminal arborizations previously reported (Speidel, '42).

As a result of the chronic irritation of prolonged starvation, an end bulb may become greatly swollen. It may then suffer granular degeneration; or it may break away from the nerve ending and undergo autolysis; or it may suffer autotomy and be ingested by a macrophage. An interesting


FIG. 4. Severe irritation of the terminal branches of a myelinated fiber resulting from electric shock treatments and the subsequent steps of recovery. Tadpole no. 2452, subjected to a series of electric shocks on May 31st and June 1st. The injury was sufficient to induce breaking up of the nine most distal myelin sheath segments. The sketches are drawn exactly to scale from ciné-photomicrographic records. On June 2nd two delicate irritated branches ( $J$ and $K$ ) were visible emerging from the degenerating terminal myelin segment ( $T$ ). The sheath cell of this segment is located at the base of the two branches. During the next day ending $K$ was lost completely ; ending $J$, however, survived and grew. By June 6th it had extended and branched near its tip, forming $J 1$ and $J 2$. On June 8th a third branch ( $J 3$ ) was present, and $J 2$ had given rise to two additional short endings. By June 12th, however, $J 1$ had been eliminated and a reduction in the number of endings of $J 2$ and $J 3$ had also taken place. A distance of 0.03 mm is indicated below. (The history of a more proximal portion of this same fiber is given in Fig. 3.)


Fig. 5. Successive retraction and extension of a regenerating nerve ending, correlated with alternating periods of electric shock treatment and recovery. Tadpole no. 2422, subjected to electric shocks for a few seconds at $11: 37$ А.м., $12: 08$ p.m. (weak), $12: 15$ p.м., $2: 04$ P.m. (weak), and $2: 33$ P.m. The fiber illustrated was growing into the newly regenerating zone four days after removal of the tip of the tail. At $11: 30$ A.M. the nerve fiber tip was actively advancing. At 11:40 after the first electric treatment the tip became rounded and lost its delicate pseudopods. Two varicosities appeared proximally. Growth, however, was resumed within a few minutes and the tip advanced to the position shown at $12: 05$. A weak treatment followed by a stronger one caused the formation of a typical retraction club at $12: 18$. Growth was inhibited for nearly a half hour. At 12:44 the tip is just beginning its transformation back into a growth cone. Further growth took place as illustrated at $1: 55$ p.m. and $2: 24$ p.m. A weak electric treatment at 2:04 P.M. failed to stop the advancing growth cone. Extension and branching took place as indicated at $2: 24$ P.m. A final electric treatment caused retraction of both tips within a few minutes at $2: 36$ P.m. Recovery and further growth then followed along both branches and by the following day both tips had extended long distances.
example is given (Fig. 8) in which a swollen end bulb underwent autotomy and was taken up at once by a macrophage. At the new nerve tip an abortive growth cone developed, but it soon became transformed into an irritated resting end bulb.

## Histories of Nerve Endings in or Near Regenerating Zones

In previous papers (Speidel, '33 and '35) an account has been given of the behavior of the rapidly advancing nerve tips in newly regenerating zones. Therefore, these need not be considered here. In older zones of regeneration some fibers become provided with myelin sheath segments. Terminal arborizations of nerve endings arise at some of
the nodes of Ranvier. Such arborizations of endings exhibit growth adjustments as the regenerating zone becomes more mature.

There is no essential difference between the growth adjustments of arborizations of regenerating zones and those of normal zones. In another paper (Speidel, '42) the adjustments of terminal arborizations during normal tadpole growth have been described. The endings in regenerating zones seem somewhat less stable and the arborizations are less complex, i.e., they comprise fewer branches and endings.

One case is presented here (Fig. 9) which shows the main changes over a period of 21 days. Retraction and loss of one ending and the genesis and growth of another take place. At the same time various adjustments of the myelin sheath


Fig. 6. Retraction and extension of nerve endings correlated with periods of starvation and good nutrition. Tadpole no. 2419, starved from April 16th to 20th and from April 27 th to 29 th; food available at other times. The sketches are drawn exactly to scale from motion picture records. On April 16th in a young tadpole three endings of a terminal arborization were observed, two of which were advancing. On the following day these two were retracting, their tips being in typical swollen retraction club state. By April 19th marked retraction by all three endings was apparent. By April 22nd after food was available the lower ending had recovered and grown out, and by April 27 th the middle ending also had grown.
segments at the base of the terminal arborization occur. Possibly the myelin sheath adjustments are responsible for the elimination of one of the side branches (branch $J$ ).
Two other endings of the same arborization were also watched, although these have not been included in the sketches. Each of these exhibited limited changes from day to day of both extension and retraction at the tips. The net result, however, was relatively little change in position of the end bulbs.

Nerve endings close to a wound suffer irritation. They may swell and retract slightly. As wound repair proceeds and as the tissues undergo regulation and regeneration, the irritated nerve endings also recover. At times growth and branching take place. The presence of the adjacent regenerating tissues seems to stimulate progressive changes in the nerve endings. This is well illustrated by the example given (Fig. 10).

## Histories of Nerve Endings under Various Other Conditions

A few other observations and experiments on nerve endings deserve brief mention. These include nerve ending behavior in tadpoles approaching metamorphosis, and in tadpoles subjected to treatments with chloretone, alcohol, hot water, hypertonic salt solution, and insulin.

As a tadpole approaches the time for metamorphosis, regressive changes take place in the tail. Reduction in size of the tail proceeds and the circulation is markedly affected. Conspicuous structural changes are noticeable in the epithelium, muscle, and nerve. Many endings of terminal arborizations exhibit swelling and slight retraction. These are typical irritative changes that parallel the early degenerative changes in the tail, an appendage that is soon to be resorbed. Occasionally, however, a nerve ending grows while the tail as a whole is being reduced in size. The case illustrated (Fig. 11) shows an advancing ending over a 4 -day period just before the tail was lost and metamorphosis completed. In another tadpole a large growth cone was noted in the degenerating tail only 2 days before the animal left the water (Fig. 14). Growth was very slight in this case.

[^20]

Fig. 7. Retraction and extension of a nerve ending correlated with periods of starvation and good nutrition. Tadpole no. 2419, starved from April 16th to 20th and from April 27th to 29 th; food available at other times. The sketches are drawn exactly to scale from motion picture records. On April 19th after three days of starvation a swollen irritated ending was visible, as indicated. After food became available this ending grew out and on April 22nd it was slowly advancing. On April 23rd, though food was still available, it retracted to its former location. By April 27th growth again had taken place. On April 28th after another period of starvation it again exhibited swelling and some retraction. (The history of endings belonging to the same myelinated fiber located farther proximally is given in Fig. 6.)

In a previous paper (Speidel, '36) I have pointed out that the resting endings of terminal arborizations exhibit less conspicuous changes during alcoholic intoxication than do the growing endings of regenerating fibers. Chloretone anesthesia experiments bring out the same difference. One example is cited (Fig. 12) which clearly demonstrates the stability of resting endings throughout alternating periods of deep and light chloretone anesthesia during which a growing ending exhibited alternating extension and retraction.

If a tadpole is immersed in water heated to a temperature of more than $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ nerve fibers become irritated. An actively advancing growth cone of a regenerating fiber may become transformed into a retraction club. Swollen varicosities may also appear along the irritated fiber. One example is illustrated (Fig. 13). In this case a growing tip retracted slightly after the first heat treatment. It then grew and gave rise to two branches as recovery took place. A second brief heat treatment temporarily stopped the advance of each of the growing tips and caused some swelling. With the restoration of normal conditions reduc-
tion of swelling and further growth of the endings occurred within a short time.

I have watched the same kind of changes in growing nerve fibers in tadpoles subjected to suitable irritative treatments with hypertonic sodium chloride solution. Similar changes have also been described with electric shock treatments ( $c f$. Fig. 5), with alcohol (Speidel, '36), with metrazol (Speidel, '40), with chloretone (cf. Fig. 12), and with insulin ( $c f$. Fig. 16).

Nerve fibers usually cease growing in moribund tadpoles. As the blood circulation slows or stops entirely, growth cones round up or become transformed into retraction clubs. Retraction often takes place. Nevertheless, occasionally an actively growing nerve tip is present in a regenerating zone of an animal approaching death even though all other nerve tips exhibit regressive change. The case illustrated (Fig. 15) was observed in a tadpole subjected to severe alcoholic intoxication. Another case practically similar in nature was observed in a tadpole subjected to severe chloretone anesthesia.

Many tadpoles have been subjected to insulin


Fig. 8. Autotomy and phagocytosis of the swollen tip of a nerve ending after prolonged starvation. Tadpole no. 1541, regenerating zone following partial tail amputation on March 24th, starved from April 12th on. A motion picture record of this case was obtained. On April 23rd at 2:30 P.M. after eleven days of starvation two swollen tips of nerve endings were observed, branches from an irritated myelinated fiber. One of these during the next half hour suffered autotomy and was ingested by a macrophage, the long process $(P)$ of which is figured. A new growth cone $(G)$ developed at the tip of the ending and grew slightly at first, but later retracted. On April 24th the tip again was rounded and swollen, as indicated by the arrow.
treatments of various degrees of severity. The insulin extract was not injected; the animals were merely immersed in solutions of suitable strengths. The treatments caused injury to the epithelium and to other tissues. Practically any degree of neuritis could be induced.

Two cases are selected for illustration. The first of these (Fig. 16) shows the advancing growth cone of a regenerating fiber which, with insulin treatment, becomes transformed into a retracting nerve tip. The retraction is temporary. Growth is again resumed soon after normal conditions are restored. The second case (Fig. 17) shows a few highly irritated endings of a myelinated fiber. Each of the three end bulbs pictured is swollen and vacuolated. The parent fiber also displays vacuoles between the myelin sheath and axis cylinder. Nerve fibers in such a condition may recover readily provided the insulin treatment is not continued too long.

## Ciné-Photomicrographic Records of Nerve Ending Histories

Besides those already referred to in previous papers, many ciné-photomicrographic records have been obtained of nerve ending adjustments in tadpoles subjected to various injurious treatments. These motion pictures portray cases from tadpoles subjected to electric shocks, insulin, chloretone, starvation, hypertonic salt solution, and wound infliction caused by cutting. Several of the figures of this paper (e.g., Figs. 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 14, and 17) have been sketched from records of this sort. The subjects of some of the pictures follow :

1. Response of several branches of a terminal arborization to electrical injury. Irritative changes in the endings are shown on the days following a series of electric shocks. Recovery changes during the next two days are also portrayed. Changes in myelin segments during irritation and recovery are also pictured in this case.


Fig. 9. Nerve ending adjustments during myelination in a regerating zone. Tadpole no. 2417, regenerating zone following partial tail amputation on March 31st. On April 15th after fifteen days of regeneration two endings ( $P$ and $Q$ ) were observed which belonged to a collateral $(H)$ of a newly myelinated fiber. $J$ and $K$ represent the bases of two other collateral branches. The myelin segment below $J$ was the terminal segment of the fiber. During the next two days ending $P$ underwent retraction and on April 17th was completely gone. New myelin was formed extending beyond the base of $H$. During the next six days myelin sheath adjustments occurred. New myelin was formed in such a manner that the base of branch $H$ was pushed distally a short distance; branch $J$ was eliminated; and the fiber between $H$ and $K$ became ensheathed with myelin belonging to the lower segment. Ending $Q$ retracted a short distance. By May 6th a new branch $R$ had made its appearance and grown to the position shown; ending $Q$ shifted slightly. The myelin between $H$ and $K$ together with a new sheath cell formed a new short segment. During the period of observation from April 15th to May 6th four new myelin segments also were formed at the peripheral end of the fiber illustrated. (The dotted line indicates that a part of the length of the fiber has been omitted from the drawing.)


Fig. 10. Recovery of the endings of a terminal arborization located at the proximal edge of a wound. Tadpole no. 1918, ventral fin in the direction of the arrow wounded by cutting on February 9th. The myelinated fiber illustrated was severed by the cut, but the portion figured was on the proximal side of the wound and did not degenerate. During the next two days irritative changes of swelling and retraction were visible in the endings of the terminal arborization, as general tissue regulation took place. On February 11th retraction clubs were present on endings $P, S, T$, and $V$. A swollen tip characterized ending $U$. Ending $Q$, however, was provided with a growth cone that displayed typical ameboid motion. Correlated with wound healing of the next two days, recovery changes were exhibited by the branches of the terminal arborization. On February 13th all endings except $Q$ and $S$ were of the usual spherical resting type. Endings $P, Q, T$, and $V$ had grown some. Two entirely new branches, endings $M$ and $N$, had developed in the positions shown. (The dotted line indicates that a part of the length of the branch ending in $R$ has been omitted from the drawing.)


Fig. 11. Growth of a nerve ending in a tadpole nearing the time for metamorphosis. Tadpole no. 2247, with large hind limbs and with its tail beginning to show degeneratve changes. The sketches are made exactly to scale from motion picture records. On April 21st at 9 A.M. a swollen nerve ending was observed. (The dotted line indicates that a part of its length has been omitted from the drawing.) The ending slowly advanced and reached the position shown at 11 A.m. Further advance took place during the next few days, its position being shown on April 22nd, 24th, and 25th. The total advance measured 40 microns. At the same time some reduction in the size of the tail occurred. On April 26th both fore limbs had appeared and the tail was greatly reduced in size. On April 27th the tadpole left the water and metamorphosis was nearly complete.
2. Effects of severe electrical injury on some of the endings and myelin segments of a nerve fiber. Irritative and degenerative changes are recorded for some endings and myelin segments. Growth and branching of a surviving ending are pictured on the 1 st, 5 th, 7 th, 11 th, and 13 th days after the injury. Complete loss of several myelin segments is shown.
3. Examples of swollen and retracting end bulbs at the tips of arborization branches in a badly injured tadpole on the day following electrical treatment. Death of the animal in this case ensued two days after the injury.
4. Acutely irritated myelin segments during the first few hours after electrical injury. Vacuolation and myelin ovoid formation are illustrated both in tadpoles that recover from the treatment and in those that later succumb.
5. Effects of electric shocks on actively advancing growth cones of regenerating nerve fibers four days after tail section.
6. Effects of electric shocks on epithelium, muscle, blood vessels, blood cells, and pigment.
7. Several examples showing the characteristic
irritative effects of starvation on nerve endings and on myelin segments.
8. Several cases illustrating the recovery of irritated nerve endings in tadpoles after periods of starvation from three days to three weeks.
9. Swelling, autotomy, and phagocytosis of an end bulb in a tadpole starved for eleven days. Removal of the end bulb was followed by development at the tip of an abortive growth cone.
10. History of several terminal arborization endings in a regenerating zone 15 to 40 days after tail section. Swelling, retraction, and complete elimination of some endings are illustrated, as well as extension and branching of others.
11. Examples of swelling and retraction of endings of myelinated fibers in tadpoles approaching metamorphosis.
12. Examples of advance of endings in tadpoles approaching metamorphosis which already display noticeable tail reduction.
13. Examples illustrating characteristic regressive changes in nerve fiber endings and myelin segments in tadpoles subjected to suitable treatments with the
following: insulin, methyl alcohol, chloretone, lead acetate, hypertonic sodium chloride solution, and heat.
14. Macrophage taking up a myelin globule from an injured nerve fiber, eight hours after the tadpole was subjected to an insulin treatment.

## Comments

It is clear from the preceding case histories that a great deal of adjustment is possible in the peripheral distribution of cutaneous nerve endings in injured tadpoles. The general mechanism of change underlying such adjustments is the same regardless of the type of injury. Regressive change is characterized by swelling of end bulbs, retraction, and degeneration. Degeneration may involve only the most distal portion. Recovery change is characterized by reduction of swelling, extension, and the genesis of new branches. Since the endings are unsheathed, the pattern of an arborization after injury and regeneration is usually not exactly the same as the original pattern.

Furthermore, if free nerve endings at the skin are subject to adjustments of this sort, it follows that free nerve endings located elsewhere in the body may behave in like manner. Within the central nervous system free nerve endings are present in large numbers. They link nerve cells at synapses. Strong irritations might break some synapses by causing retraction or degeneration of some of these endings. With recovery new synaptic connections might be established.

Electric shock and insulin treatments markedly affect cutaneous endings in tadpoles. It seems probable that they profoundly affect synaptic endings in the brain. Such changes in human mental patients under treatment for mental disorders would afford an adequate anatomical basis for the observed changes in mental outlook that sometimes result. This interpretation is like that already advanced after an experimental study of the effects of metrazol on nerve fibers (Speidel, '40).

## Summary

1. Case histories are presented of individual nerve endings of terminal arborizations of myelinated fibers in frog tadpoles subjected to various kinds of injurious treatments. Electric shocks, starvation, chloretone anesthesia, wound inflic-
tion, insulin, and heat have each been used to induce nerve ending irritation.
2. Swelling, retraction, and variable amounts of degeneration characterize markedly irritated endings. Reduction of swelling, extension, and branching characterize endings in process of recovery.
3. Changes associated with chronic neuritis, such as are induced by starvation, are essentially similar to those associated with acute neuritis, such as are induced by electrical injury.
4. Examples are also presented of the behavior of rapidly growing nerve tips in young regenerating zones, as these are subjected to acute irritative treatments of several kinds.
5. In regenerating zones several weeks old during the later stages of myelination, nerve endings of terminal arborizations exhibit slow adjustments of retraction, extension, and branching. These are quite like similar adjustments that take place in normal zones of young growing tadpoles.
6. It is clear from these observations that nerve ending patterns are not necessarily fixed and stable. The changed conditions imposed by experimental injuries often cause marked adjustments of the endings which result in new patterns. Such adjustments probably also occur at some synapses between nerve cells within the central nervous system.
7. Illustrative ciné-photomicrographs have been obtained.
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## Explanation of Plate I

Fig. 12. Absence of visible change in the endings of a terminal arborization during chloretone treatment severe enough to induce retraction of the growth cones of regenerating fibers. Tadpole no. 1706, subjected to strong chloretone treatment from 9:37 А., m. to $9: 52 \mathrm{A.M}$. and from $10: 33 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{M}$. to $10: 53 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~m}$. At other times the tadpole was immersed in either pond water or very weak chloretone solution. At the right of the figure is illustrated the growing ending of a nerve fiber in the rapidly regenerating tail tip zone, four days after tail section. At the left of the figure is illustrated the resting endings of a terminal arborization in the normal unoperated tail zone.

- The regenerating ending exhibited typical retraction during the strong chloretone treatments, as shown at $9: 49$ A.M. and $10: 45$ A.m. At other times this ending was provided with a growth cone which slowly advanced. The terminal arborization endings, on the other hand, exhibited practically no change throughout the treatments (except perhaps very slight vacuolation).
FIG. 13. Retraction and recovery of a regenerating ending correlated with heat treatments. Tadpole no. 1551, regenerating zone four days after tail section, immersed in hot water $\left(40^{\circ}-41^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for brief periods of less than one minute at $10: 15$ A.m. and at $11: 51$ A.m. The advancing tip of a regenerating nerve fiber was observed at $10: 05$. Swelling and some retraction took place after hot water treatment, as shown at $10: 20$. During the next ninety minutes growth was resumed and the ending branched into two, as shown at 11:50. A second treatment with hot water again caused some retraction and the formation of swollen varicosities, as shown at $11: 55$. Ten minutes later at 12:05 both endings exhibited recovery and were again advancing.
Fig. 14. Advance of a nerve ending in a metamorphosing tadpole. Tadpole no. 2409, tail markedly reduced in size, all four limbs visible. On May 5th in a tadpole which exhibited pronounced degenerative changes in the tail a nerve ending provided with a blunt growth cone
was observed. On May 6th this had advanced a short distance in spite of the fact that the tail was undergoing rapid involution. On May 7th the animal left the water, tail resorption being far advanced.

Fig. 15. Advance of a regenerating nerve ending in a moribund alcohol-treated tadpole after cessation of the blood circulation. Tadpole no. 1514, regenerating zone four days old, immersed in 2 per cent alcohol from 11:23 A.M. to $1: 15$ P.M. At $12: 43$ an active growth cone was noticed at the tip of a fiber. All other regenerating tips of nerve fibers in the vicinity were in various stages of retraction. (One that was kept under observation retracted 25 micra between $11: 44$ and $12: 42$.) The growth cone illustrated, however, rapidly advanced during the next half hour reaching the position shown at $1: 13$, an advance of about 30 micra. Blood circulation in the tail ceased at $12: 25$ and was not resumed thereafter.
Fig. 16. Retraction of the tip of a regenerating nerve fiber in an insulin-treated tadpole, followed by growth of the nerve tip during recovery. Tadpole no. 2429, regenerating zone four days old, immersed in insulin solution from 9:26 A.M. to $10: 10$ A.M. An advancing growth cone at the tip of a regenerating fiber at $9: 15$ was transformed during insulin treatment into a retracting tip, as shown at $9: 55$. After replacement of the tadpole in pond water for about twenty minutes the nerve fiber tip resumed its advance, reaching the position shown at $11: 15$. Although the treatment injured the epithelium somewhat the tadpole survived without difficuity.

Fig. 17. Irritative changes in the endings of a myelinated fiber in an insulin-treated tadpole. Tadpole no. 2412, immersed in strong insulin solution for twelve minutes. A motion picture record of this case was obtained. One hour after the treatment, each of the three end bulbs shown in the illustration exhibited swelling with a centrally located vacuole. Two of the endings terminated in a short pointed filament. Vacuoles were also conspicuous in several places along the myelin segment where separation of the axis cylinder and myelin sheath had taken place.
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## Abstract *

New mutational segregations from Oenothera mut. Erythrina de Vries: George H. Shull. Oe. mut. erythrina de Vries, when selfed, is known to produce in every progeny two types, one repeating the parent, the other a new type, seg. decipiens, which breeds true when selfed because it lacks both of the balanced lethals which characterize Oe. Lamarckiana. Erythrina splits in this way because it has only one of the Lamarckiana lethals. Some years ago I reported the occurrence of a new mutational segregation in which mut. pollicata was found to characterize the decipiens component of such a splitting progeny, while normal hypanthium, styles and stigmas characterized the erythrina component. A continuation of studies with erythrina have brought to light a number of new segregations, sometimes replacing decipiens, in other cases being additional to decipiens. The first of these new mutational segregates was discovered on March 8, 1935, when family 3485 , produced from a self-fertilized erythrina mother, was observed to split out 45 plants of a peculiar new type afterwards called seg. petiolaris, in a total progeny of 164. A complete analysis of this family showed it to consist of 70 erythrina, like the parent, 50 seg. decipiens, 43 seg. petiolaris, and 1 unidentified mutant. Over half of the erythrina plants in such a family repeat the three-way split when selfed, while the rest split only to erythrina and decipiens. On March 20, 1938, another remarkable new segregation, seg. contracta, was discovered in family 37428 , derived from an erythrina mother in this same strain. The unique feature this time was not alone

[^21]the remarkable modification represented by the new type itself, but seg. contracta replaces seg. decipiens. Family 37428 consisted of 61 erythrina and 40 contracta, no decipiens being present. Every erythrina plant in such a family produced the same kind of a family, consisting of erythrina and contracta. In 1939 another new segregate, seg. diminua, was found, and in 1940, still another, seg. cyanea, was added to a contracta-segregating family (39533) which split to 61 erythrina, 25 contracta, 16 cyanea. In 1941 one family (40110) from selfed erythrina has had the decipiens segregate replaced by seg. clongata and in another progeny ( 40130 ) seg. retracta has replaced seg. contracta. An essentially true-breeding erythrina has resulted when seg. decipiens is replaced by seg. sublethalis, the latter being rarely seen because it has so little chlorophyll that usually it does not live beyond the germination stage. This "non-splitting" erythrina was discovered in 1938 in family 37411 , but seg. sublethalis was not observed until the current year (1941).

## Introduction

It is now well known that the mutations discovered in the Oenotheras by Hugo de Vries and subsequent workers are of several different kinds, including gene mutations as well as several different sorts of chromosomal aberrations. Several of these chromosomal irregularities result in characteristic changes in chromosome numbers, such as trisomics with 15 chromosomes, triploids with 21 , tetraploids with 28 , instead of the 14 which are normally present in Oe. Lamarckiana and in all of the known wild species of Oenothera.

The present paper deals with the genetical behavior of a mutant which is produced by a chromosomal aberration of a different kind, which affects the arrangement of the chromosomes without changing their number. There are four wellknown mutants of this character, beginning with Oe. mut. rubrinervis, followed by Oe. mut. erythrina, Oe. mut. rubricalyx and Oe. mut. rubricalyx "Afterglow." These differ from Oe. Lamarckiana, from which they have been derived, in two very fundamental particulars, namely, (1) a reduction of the circle of 12 chromosomes of Lamarckiana to a circle of 6 or a circle of 8 , the remaining chromosomes required to make up the typical 14 occurring in separate pairs, 3 pairs if the circle includes 8 chromosomes, or 4 pairs if
the circle consists of 6 chromosomes; and (2) a loss of one of the balanced zygote lethals which give Lamarckiana the remarkable ability to breed true, notwithstanding the fact that every plant of Lamarckiana is a heterozygote.

This loss of one lethal factor makes possible the appearance of a homozygous segregate as a feature of the progenies of every self-fertilized plant of one of these mutants. The remaining lethal keeps rubrinervis, erythrina, rubricalyx and rubricalyx "Afterglow" just as permanently heterozygous as Lamarckiana itself, but instead of breeding true, Oe. mut. rubrinervis produces a progeny consisting of rubrinervis and deserens; erythrina, a progeny containing erythrina and decipiens; and rubricalyx and rubricalyx "Afterglow" give progenies consisting of rubricalyx and latifrons. The theoretical expectation in each of these four cases involves a 2:1 ratio, which, however, is almost never closely approximated in actual experience. This general failure to yield a $2: 1$ ratio shows that other factors are also involved, including perhaps inequality in the successful formation of the different kinds of gametes, selective fertilization, and differential survival value of the zygotes.

These four mutations with characteristically splitting progenies are known as "half-mutants," a term originally used by de Vries (1918) with a somewhat broader significance, as he applied it to the result of the union of any newly mutated gamete with an unmutated gamete of the parent type, and assumed that this phenomenon is of necessity involved in the origin of almost every mutant type. More properly the four mutant types here under consideration might be designated permanent half-mutants, since most of the mutants to which de Vries applied the concept of "half-mutants" change their status in time from half-mutants to full mutants, while these four maintain their "half-mutant" condition permanently, owing to action of the one remaining lethal factor.

The present paper deals only with Oenothera mut. erythrina, presents two new kinds of genetical behavior, and describes seven striking new mutational segregations which have appeared within the past six years in my cultures of Oe. mut. erythrina.

## Historical

None of the four permanent half-mutants now known was recognized as a half-mutant at the time of its first discovery. The Oe mut. rubri-
nervis has been from the beginning of the experimental cultures of Oenothera one of the easiest to recognize. According to de Vries's account it was first observed by him in 1889 as an aberrant offspring in a culture of self-fertilized Oe, mut. laevifolia. As a derivative directly from $L a$ marckiana it first appeared as a single individual in 1890-91 in cultures comprising somewhat more than 10,000 plants of Oe.Lamarckiana, and during the next three years he found 31 rubrinervis plants among 23,800 plants of Lamarckiana and 733 other recognized mutants tabulated under the names, gigas, albida, oblonga, nanella, lata and scintillans. He first began to study the breeding behavior of Oe. mut. rubrinervis in 1895 and grew somewhat over 1000 offspring from selfed rubrinervis in each of several succeeding years. He overlooked the regularly recurring segregate, Oe. seg. deserens, and concluded that $O e$. mut. rubrinervis was a fully constant elementary species. The first account of these studies was published in 1901 in Die Mutationstheorie, Vol. 1, pp. 155-163. Not until 1913 did de Vries note that rubrinervis regularly yields a progeny consisting of rubrinervis and seg. deserens (de Vries 1917).

The history of Oe , mut. erythrina is as follows. In 1905, at my request, Doctor de Vries sent me ten large rosettes of Oenothera Lamarckiana, collected in the same abandoned potato field near Hilversum, Holland, from which his original material of this species had been taken in 1886. These rosettes were received at the Carnegie Institution's Station for Experimental Evolution on April 7, 1905. In my culture 0557 , produced by crossing two of these plants received from de Vries, one plant in a family of 77 was probably the first Oe. mut. erythrina ever seen by human eyes. It was noted in August 1906, when it was recorded as "rubrinervis." Several new specimens of the same type were observed the following year, and many have been noted in the Lamarckiana cultures derived from these ten wild rosettes during all the years which have since unrolled. They were always recorded as rubrinervis although it was soon noticed that they were in disagreement with de Vries's description of rubrinervis with respect to the brittleness of the branches. It is certain that the specimens noted in 1906 and subsequently were mut. erythrina, because the strain of Oe. Lamarckiana which originated from this new collection of wild rosettes has since been found to produce repeatedly and consistently only the tough-stemmed Oe. mut. erythrina, and has
never been known to produce the brittle-stemmed mut. rubrinervis. According to the statement of de Vries (1919), made when erythrina was first named and described, he found his first two specimens of this mutant in the summer of 1907 in the second generation of cultures from a large rosette which he set into his own garden at the same time that he shipped the above-mentioned ten rosettes to me.

From my first specimen of Oe. mut. erythrina, found in 1906, and from many new mutants of the same type which occurred in subsequent years from my cross-bred strain of Lamarckiana based on the 1905 shipment of rosettes, I have grown, over a period of three decades and more, hundreds of cultures from both self-fertilized and from cross-fertilized erythrina parents for comparison with the original strain of de Vries's Oe. mut. rubrinervis seeds of which had been received from de Vries on March 10, 1905. I continued this original Oe, mut. rubrinervis in my experimental cultures by repeated self-fertilizations while my erythrina cultures were being handled mainly as a cross-bred strain. When my cultures of erythrina were found to have tough stems while the de Vries strain of Oe. mut. rubrinervis had brittle stems, I naturally assumed that this difference was one of the effects of self-fertilization, as such, and I used as a descriptive differentiation the terms "selfed type" and "crossed type" of rubrinervis to indicate this difference in the toughness of the branches, not realizing that I was comparing two genotypically distinct types which owed their difference to their having originated as "parallel mutations" from two different strains of Oe. Lamarckiana, and that the latter were likewise genotypically differentiated in this ability to produce brittle-stemmed versus toughstemmed half-mutants.

The two permanent half-mutants bearing the names rubricalyx and rubricalyx "Afterglow," were derived from Oe, mut. rubrinervis and never directly from Oe. Lamarckiana, in the cultures of R. R. Gates. The deep-red hypanthia resulted from a dominant gene-mutation which was discovered at Woods Hole, Massachusetts, in the summer of 1907 , in an unguarded culture grown from mixed seeds from four specimens of $O e$. mut. rubrinervis. The most notable difference between Oe, mut. rubricalyx and Oe. mut rubricalyx "Afterglow," is the fact that the former has a circle of 6 chromosomes and 4 pairs, whereas the latter has a circle of 8 and only 3 pairs.

On the basis of Belling's (1927) brilliant observations and conclusions regarding chromosome circles in Datura, Darlington (1929), Cleland and Blakeslee (1930, 1931) and Cleland (1932, 1933) have plausibly explained the formation of circles of chromosomes in the Oenotheras as the result of segmental interchanges, that is, the exchange of ends by two non-homologous chromosomes. Darlington (1929, appendix) and Cleland and Blakeslee (1931) have shown how the permanent halfmutants, with circles of 6 or 8 , may likewise be derived by segmental interchanges in a form like Oe. Lamarckiana which has a circle of 12 chromosomes and a pair. Cleland (1931) has shown that the circle of 8 of Oe. mut. rubricalyx "Afterglow" can be very simply derived from the circle of 6 of its parent $O e$. rubricalyx Gates by the occurrence of a single additional segmental interchange and has also recently made a very thorough analysis of the different ways in which the halfmutant erythrina could be derived from Oe. Lamarckiana by a minimum of two coincident or successive segmental interchanges (Cleland 1942).

## The Normal Progeny of Oe. mut erythrina

The recognition of the homozygous seg. decipiens as a regular and normal component of progenies of self-fertilized erythrina parents, was not clearly attained until the appearance of de Vries's (1919) paper in which the name decipiens was proposed, but the range of variation in each such progeny, to include both erythrina and decipiens, was noted very early, and such expressions as "dark rubrinervis" and "light rubrinervis" are found in my notes. But the dark (decipiens) and light (erythrina) variations were considered as merely the fluctuational extremes of a uniform biotype. Figure 1 shows a record of these extremes in a photographic plate made in 1910.

When Oe. mut. erythrina was finally understood to be a half-mutant, a meticulous effort was made to separate each progeny into its two components (a) mut. erythrina, the half-mutant parent type, and (b) the extracted homozygous seg. decipiens. It has been found that under favorable conditions this separation can be accomplished with a fair degree of success; but the conditions have rarely been so ideal that the grouping could be made with complete assurance of accuracy, and there has been usually a small amount of error in the classification, especially in the young rosettes.

From this it will be clear that the features which distinguish seg. decipiens from mut. erythrina are


Fig. 1. Young rosettes of Oe. Lamarckiana (top row) and three of its chromosomal mutations, gigas, erythrina and lata. In this old photograph, taken by the writer on April 11, 1910, is shown very clearly the distinction between seg, decipiens (at left) and mut. erythrina nine years before seg. decipiens was reported by de Vries (1919) as a regularly recurring segregate.
neither sharp nor very conspicuous. As young rosettes which have grown well separated and under good environmental conditions seg. decipiens has slightly stiffer, darker green leaves with more noticeable and sharper denticulations on the margins of the younger leaves. Often the leaves are
slightly crinkled, relatively a little wider and a little sharper at the apex than in mut. erythrina. Seg. decipiens is usually of slower growth and maturity, its stems are usually rather irregularly crooked, the upper stem leaves likely to be again rather sharply denticulate, but this latter is like-
wise too variable to have much value as a diagnostic character. The bud-cones have less red pigment than in erythrina, and the pigmentation is less evenly distributed.

As a breeder seg. decipiens is greatly inferior to mut. erythrina. It is slower in development, and often fails to mature as an annual, while mut. erythrina is one of the surest of annuals when the seeds are sown in the greenhouse in mid-winter. Seg. decipiens and the homozygous segregates from the other half-mutants usually have scanty pollen, and produce a much smaller quantity of seed than the corresponding half-mutants. The best explanation of this marked difference between the heterozygous half-mutants and their homozygous segregates appears to be that the advantages in favor of the half-mutants is a striking illustration of the effect of heterosis, and the conclusion seems justified that the peculiar chromosomal behavior in the Oenotheras has been favored by natural selection of the strikingly more vigorous and more prolific heterozygotes.

## Oenothera mut. pollicata as a Recurrent Segregate from mut. erythrina

I have reported in a previous paper (Shull, 1937) that the remarkable new mutant type mut. pollicata characterized among other things by the interpolation of a solid portion of hypanthium between the distal end of the ovary and the proximal end of the style, was the first characteristic which has been found to affect the entire group of decipiens segregates while leaving the erythrina component of that same family unaffected. The full importance of this case was not at first recognized because the first examples of Oe. mut. pollicata were found as mutations from Lamarckiana, and most of my experiments with pollicata involved only such as were associated with both of the Lamarckiana lethals. Not until 1934 was pollicata found associated with erythrina and as reported (Shull, 1937) there were in that year, three families each derived from a self-fertilized erythrina, in which all of the 117 decipiens plants which bloomed were decipiens pollicata, while all but one of the erythrina plants (286:1) in the same families were non-pollicata. This apparent replacement of decipiens with decipiens pollicata excited interest at the time only as giving additional proof that the pollicata gene is in the first linkage group where it is associated, in Lamarckiana, with the balanced lethals $l_{1}, l_{2}$, and in erythrina with only one of these, either $l_{1}$ or $l_{2}$.

Sensing the possibility that I might have overlooked previous occurrences of pollicata when associated with decipiens, because of the late and poor development of the decipiens component of each erythrina progeny, I sowed in 1935 a new lot of seeds of the original erythrina mutant which appeared in 1930 in Lamarckiana family 2930. This new family from the old seed bore the number 34212 and duplicated family 30231 in which latter I would have had my first opportunity to overlook the pollicata character if it were actually present in 1931 in the decipiens component of a family ancestral to those families which in 1934 were found to contain seg. decipiens pollicata. Family 30231 had had 97 or 98 decipiens and 89 or 88 erythrina; 55 of the decipiens plants bloomed, but were not recognized as pollicata. However, when special attention was given to this point in family 34212, grown from the same seed in 1935, it was found that the family consisted of 59 decipiens and 66 erythrina and that 46 decipiens (all that bloomed) were decipiens pollicata, while the 59 erythrina plants which bloomed were all normal-styled, i.e., non-pollicata. This shows that seg. decipiens pollicata was present but unrecognized in my cultures in 1931, a year before mut. pollicata was first doubtfully discovered in 1932 in a Lamarckiana family and three years before it was actually recognized as a segregated component of an erythrina family.

The replacement of the entire group of decipiens plants in these families by decipiens pollicata presents no difficulty of interpretation, since the characteristic vegetative peculiarities of seg. decipiens are not notably changed by the presence of the pollicata gene. One needs only to think of the gene for tubular hypanthium and normal long stiff style being replaced by its mutational allele, the pollicata gene. But the other new types which have replaced seg. decipiens, or which have been added to the decipiens segregate in families from selfed erythrina, as presented below, do not suggest such a simple interpretation for them.

## Oenothera seg. petiolaris, seg. nov.

The first and one of the most remarkable new mutational segregates I have found was discovered March 8, 1935, when family 3485 was potted from the seedpan to 75 mm pots. It could have been observed much sooner for we have found since that seg. petiolaris becomes sharply distinguishable from both erythrina and seg. decipiens in a very early seedling stage. Figure 2 shows a por-


Fig. 2. Seedpan 37457 with seedlings from a self-fertilized Oe. mut. erythrina, showing segregation of seg. decipiens and the first of the new segregations, seg petiolaris. Photo April 1, 1938.
tion of a seedpan containing mut. erythrina, seg. decipiens and seg. petiolaris. The contrast becomes greater as the plants continue to grow. Every feature of the petiolaris plants is in striking contrast with the corresponding feature of the parent erythrina. The full grown rosette is gray
green, very coriaceous, and the leaves consist of very long petioles, and the very small blades are asymmetrical and variously and irregularly lobed, as shown in Fig. 3. Seg. petiolaris is a fairly hardy type and withstands field conditions well, but it is of relatively slow growth, as might be


Fig. 3. Rosette of $O e$. seg. petiolaris six weeks after being set in the experimental field. The smallest segments of the scale below are centimeters.
anticipated because of the small volume of the green tissues.

Under most favorable field conditions a few of the plants produce stems well branched, the branches notably straighter than in decipiens and more erect than in erythrina (cf. Figs. 4 and 5). More commonly no basal branches develop, but very numerous short branches develop on the central axis. The stem leaves are very numerous, narrow, with upturned nearly entire margins and much shorter petioles than in the rosette leaves, but still much longer than in erythrina stem leaves. The petioles of the stem leaves of seg. petiolaris are one-third to one-half as long as the blades
(see Fig. 29). A very few petiolaris plants have come to bloom in the field, but rarely early enough to be successfully used in breeding. In this first family (3485) which contained seg. petiolaris only one of the 43 petiolaris plants matured early enough to be bred. Numerous flower buds began forming on this most precocious petiolaris plant about the end of July, but for a long time these buds were regularly dropped long before they reached full development. Not until the end of August were some buds retained until they reached the flowering stage. The buds and the petals of seg. petiolaris are notably unlike any I have seen in any other type of Oenothera. The calyx con-


Fig. 4. Oenothera seg. petiolaris, showing characteristic stem-leaves and a rather unusual branching habit.
sists of narrow sepals which cohere persistently at their tips, but begin to separate from each other in the mid-region of the bud-cones even while the buds are extremely small, thus forming a 4-barred cage within which the other floral parts develop. Sometimes the pressure of these interior organs of the flower succeed in separating one or two or even all four of the sepals, but quite commonly the petals and some of the stamens protrude between the bars of the cage formed by the permanent apical coherence of the sepals (Figs. 6 and 8). These sepals are strap-shaped proximally, but distally the edges are inrolled and occasionally grip an anther securely in this convolute portion, and hold it even when the sepal has been separated at the tip from its fellows. The petals are narrow, especially in their proximal half or more, where they are rendered stiff by a backward (downward) fold along their median line (Figs. 6-8). The cross-section of this proximal portion of the petal has the form of an inverted V or the printer's caret. Distally the petal is broadened and spreads laterally and is irregular in distal outline, corresponding in some degree with the irregular outline of the leaf blades.

The anthers are well developed but usually almost or quite devoid of pollen. I did find good pollen in several anthers of this first sexually matured specimen of seg. petiolaris and succeeded in getting a few good capsules from controlled pollinations. The hypanthia of seg. petiolaris are relatively long, hollow throughout, and traversed by the rather heavy style which is rendered crooked distally by its imprisonment within the cage formed by the cohering sepals. The stigmas were heavy, clumsy and somewhat irregular.

In 1936 I grew one family (35240) of 41 plants from self-fertilized seg. petiolaris. Of these 41 plants, one was decipiens, and one a modified petiolaris which had no clear-cut blades, but consisted of petioles merely slightly expanded distally. All the rest were like their self-fertilized parent, typical seg. petiolaris, as above described, but none of these bloomed early enough to be used for a continuation of the experiment.

Another family (35241) consisting of 37 plants resulted from the pollination of seg. petiolaris with pollen of a seg. decipiens sib. When making this cross I was entertaining the working hypothesis that the petiolaris would be found to bear the same relation to the velans complex and its lethal, $l_{2}$, that decipiens seemed to have to the gaudens complex and its accompanying lethal, $l_{1}$, a hypothesis that has not been substantiated by


Fig. 5. Habit of Oe. mut. erythrina, for comparison with Fig. 4.


Fig. 6. A single opening flower of Oe. seg. petiolaris showing the petals escaping from the frame-work formed by the terminally cohering sepals. Photo in 1936 by W. H. Brittingham.
subsequent results. On this hypothesis it was anticipated that petiolaris $\times$ decipiens might give a family of uniform erythrina, which could be expected subsequently to split regularly to decipiens, erythrina and petiolaris in about a $1: 2: 1$ ratio. The 37 plants of family 35241 consisted of 17 decipiens and 20 probably erythrina of which latter 6 were smaller and slightly darker green, but believed to be erythrina, nevertheless.

The seg. decipiens plant used in the cross with seg. petiolaris was also selfed, and the progeny from this selfing was grown in 1936 as family 35242. This consisted of 152 plants of which 35 died in the pots after they were set from the seedpan. One plant was divergent from the rest, having narrower leaves with declining margins. All of the remaining 116 plants were seg. decipiens. In the experimental field 48 of these died, 23 failed to bloom, mostly remaining winter rosettes, and 54 which bloomed were all decipiens pollicata.

In the same family (3485) which contained the first plants of seg. petiolaris, I also self-pollinated five specimens of Oe. mut. erythrina, expecting in this way to insure the continuation of seg. petiolaris even though the meager results from the direct breeding with petiolaris itself should prove disappointing. The resulting families, 35243 to 35247, inclusive, gave seg. petiolaris again in three of the families, $35244,35245,35247$, which produced jointly 153 decipiens, 186 erythrina, 91 petiolaris, and 24 not exactly identified. The other two families of this same parentage, 35243 and 35246, contained no petiolaris, but consisted jointly of 144 decipiens, 150 erythrina and 32 not exactly identified.

Finding that Oe. seg. petiolaris could not be depended on to supply breeding material until too late in the season, and then in only a few individuals, I decided in 1937 to try the effect of longday treatment. To this end I brought well grown rosettes of several types, including seg. petiolaris, to the greenhouse before freezing weather set in in the field and suspended about 50 cm above them a 500 watt incandescent lamp and above this a bright sheet of tin-plate as a reflector. This lamp ,was lighted at dusk each evening from December 1,1937 , on, and turned off regularly about 10 p.m. The plants responded to this treatment by developing stems which grew well and formed vigorous flowering specimens in mid-winter (Figs. 7, 8). I thus secured scores of fully developed flowers of Oe. seg. petiolaris which had rarely produced more than two or three flowers on any plant in the field.


Fig. 7. A single flower of Oe. seg. petiolaris compared with a flower of Oe, Lamarckiana. The latter is practically indistinguishable from a flower of Oe. mut. erythrina.


Fig. 8. A portion of an inflorescence of Oe. seg. petiolaris 36323 (63), brought to full maturity by long-day treatment. Photo April 6, 1938.

In all details these flowers agreed with those produced naturally in the field, but unfortunately for my breeding program they were practically pollen sterile. I did succeed in getting several small capsules by applying pollen from Lamarckiana rubrifolia which was also receiving long-day treatment at the time, to the stigmas of petiolaris. These capsules contained 25 seeds which were sown on February 8, 1939, under the family number 38246, and produced 13 plants, all of which seemed to be Lamarckiana rubrifolia, though several of the smaller plants had the red pigmentation on the rosette leaves more broken and patchy than in their more vigorous sibs. There was thus a
practically complete dominance in the $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ of the paternal characteristics over the numerous peculiarities of seg. petiolaris.

Two families of the current year (1941) resulted from selfing two of these $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ plants in family 38246. These two families 4098 and 4099, representing the $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ of petiolaris $\times$ Lamarckiana rubrifolia, present a series of puzzling genetical problems.

Family 4098 from a patchy Lamarckiana rubrifolia parent consisted of 115 plants (from 300 seeds), only one of which approximated petiolaris by having the leaves reduced to petioles without conspicuous blades. All the rest were Lamarcki-

TABLE $1^{1}$
Progenies Containing Oe. seg. petiolaris, from Selffertilized Oe, mut. erythrina Sibs of petiolaris

| Grandparent Number | Parent <br> Number | $\underset{\text { cipiens }}{\text { De- }}$ | $\underset{\text { Ery }}{\text { Ehina }}$ | Peliolaris | Other variants $\underset{\text { or }}{\text { or }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3351 | 3485 | 53 | 63 | 43 | 4 |
|  | 35239 | 34 | 49 | 33 | 1 |
| 3485 | 35244 | 47 | 56 | 33 | 23* |
|  | 35245 | 42 | 46 | 26 | 4 |
|  | 35247 | 64 | 69 | 32 | 12* |
| 35239 | 36297 | 66 | 92 | 51 | $\div$ |
|  | 36298 | 44 | 63 | 42 | 6 |
|  | 36299 | 0 | 89 | 29 | - $\dagger$ |
|  | 36300 | 42 | 63 | 29 | - |
|  | . | $\cdots$ | . | . | .. |
| 36329 | 37466 | 73 | 48 | 44 | 3 |
|  | 37467 | 54 | 100 | 59 | - |
|  | 37468 | 47 | 71 | 47 | - |
|  | . | . | . | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| 37434 | 38513 | 64 | 88 | 57 | . . |
|  | 38513 38515 | 64 47 | 88 107 | 57 | - |
|  | 38516 | 18 | 56 | 28 | $109 \ddagger$ |
|  | 38517 | 58 | 109 | 48 | - |
|  | 38520 | 12 | 23 | 16 | - |
|  | 38529 | 29 | 47 | 36 | 23§ |
|  | 38530 | 51 | 147 | 66 |  |
|  | . | $\cdots$ | . | . | . |
| 38516 | 39497 | 62 | 61 | 33 | $\cdots$ |
|  | 39499 | 43 | 36 | 26 | - |
|  | 39502 | 11 | 25 | 6 | 14** |
|  | 39504 | 3 | 26 | 11 | 19** |
| 38296 | 39508 | 2 | 8 | 2 | - |
|  | 39509 | 12 | 22 | 8 | 2 |
|  | 39511 | 9 | 21 | 9 | - |
|  | . | . | $\cdots$ | . | . |
| 39497 | 40106 | 13 | 30 | 9 | $\cdots$ |
|  | 40108 | 16 | 28 | 8 | - |
|  | 40110 | 0 | 56 | 18 | $10 \dagger \dagger$ |
|  | 40111 | 13 | 27 | 14 | 1 |
|  | 40113 | 31 | 38 | 30 | 4 |
|  | 40114 | 18 | 37 | 15 | 1 |
|  | . | . | $\cdots$ | - | . |
| Totals (159 families) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6479 | 9993 | 5467 | 360 |

[^22]ana rubrifolia (-) having the same defective pigmentation that characterized the parent, and implying that this patchiness of the pigmentation was genotypically determined. Thirty-two of the plants differed from the rest only in being relatively depauperate. A considerable number of the plants of this family had the young rosettes raised more or less above the ground on naked stems, $1-3 \mathrm{~cm}$ long.

Family 4099 is in striking contrast with 4098, for out of 185 plants secured from sowing 300 seeds only 15 were Lamarckiana-like, and 170 petiolaris. All of the former were rubrifolia, while none of the petiolaris showed any indication of rubrifolia pigmentation. The near disappearance of Lamarckiana rubrifolia in family 4099 and the all but complete disappearance of petiolaris in 4098, are notable features of these two $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ families, since petiolaris is recognized as a recessive type. The explanation is presumably inherent in the distribution of the lethal factors possessed by the given parents.

That Oe. seg. petiolaris is not an alethal form, as I at first supposed, seems to be demonstrated by this result. I believe that such a hypothesis is rendered untenable also by the fact that seg. petiolaris has a circle of six and four pairs of chromosomes ${ }^{2}$ exactly as in erythrina, not the seven pairs that would be expected in an alethal form which balanced the seven-paired seg. decipiens.

The unsatisfactory breeding potentialities of Oe. seg. petiolaris have led me to concentrate on the use of erythrina sibs for a continuation of my

[^23]studies of this new segregant, and particularly in studying the different types of families produced by this strain of Oe. mut. crythrina. These extensive breeding tests of many different individ-

TABLE $2{ }^{1}$
Progenies Containing no seg. petiolaris, from Selffertilized Oe. mut. erythrina Sibs of petiolaris

| Grandparent Number | Parent Number | Decipiens | Erythrina | Other variants or doubtful |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3351 | 3484 | 45 | 74 | 4 |
| 3484 | 35243 | 82 | 86 | 15* |
| 3485 | 35246 | 58 | 68 | 17* |
| 35239 | 36296 | 83 | 104 | - |
|  | 36302 | 47 | 82 | 2 |
|  | 36303 | 56 | 51 | 4 |
|  | 36304 | 2 | 85 | $-\dagger$ |
|  | 36306 | 70 | 64 | - |
|  | 36308 | 70 | 81 | 2 |
|  | . | . | . | . |
| 36329 | 37462 | 62 | 109 | - |
|  | 37464 | 66 | 114 | 1 |
|  | $374671 / 2$ | 69 | 111 | - |
| 37414 | 38294 | 71 | 87 | 2 |
|  | 38295 | 51 | $124$ | - |
|  | 38297 | 69 | 88 | 2 |
| 37419 | 38499 | $71$ | $106$ | - |
|  | 38500 | $49$ | $88$ | - |
|  | . | $\cdots$ | . | . |
|  | $\cdots$ | . | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| 38300 | 39519 | 72 | $117$ | - |
|  | 39523 | 80 | 99 | - |
|  | 39528 | 50 | 115 | $-$ |
|  | 39530 | 1 | 86 | $3 \dagger$ |
| 39497 | 40103 |  |  | $-$ |
|  | 40104 | 32 | 52 | - |
|  | 40105 | 36 | 70 | - |
|  | 40107 | 32 | $78$ | - |
|  | 40109 | 50 | 53 | - |
|  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| Totals (109 families) |  | 5625 | 10181 | 124 |

[^24]uals of Oe, mut. erythrina have led to the discovery of the other remarkable mutational segregations which are described in this paper. It is to be remembered, in what follows, that the several crythrina plants whose progenies are included in the tables and which have differed from one another genetically in various characteristic ways, have been quite indistinguishable from each other

TABLE 3
Progenies from Self-fertilized Oe. mut. erythrina in , Families Which Contained no seg. petiolaris, that is, from Families Listed in Table 2

| Grandparent <br> Number | Parent <br> Number | Decipiens | Erythrina | Other variants <br> or doubtful |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36321 | 37422 | 56 | 106 | - |
|  | 374423 | 47 | 98 | - |
|  | 37424 | 35 | 80 | - |
|  | 37425 | 70 | 127 | - |
|  | 37426 | 42 | 94 | - |
|  | 37427 | 31 | 75 | 1 |
|  | 37428 | 0 | 61 | $40^{*}$ |
|  | 37429 | 31 | 84 | - |
|  | 37430 | 21 | 61 | - |
|  | 37431 | 19 | 47 | - |
|  |  |  |  | - |
|  | 37446 | 16 | 44 | 1 |
|  | 37447 | 31 | 43 | - |
|  | 37448 | 70 | 92 | 3 |
|  | 37449 | 67 | 116 | 1 |
|  | 37450 | 69 | 139 | 1 |
|  | 37451 | 56 | 119 | 3 |
|  | 37452 | 81 | 101 | 2 |
|  | 37453 | 39 | 90 | 2 |
|  | 37454 | 52 | 68 | - |
|  | 37455 | 71 | 115 | - |
|  | 37456 | 73 | 127 | - |

* These 40 plants were $O e$. seg. contracta which replaced Oe. seg. decipiens in this family as will be recounted in the next section of this paper. This family is not included in the totals at the bottom of the table.
phenotypically; also that all the breeding has been by completely hand-controlled self-fertilizations. Most of the families from erythrina sibs of seg. petiolaris are assembled for record and study in Tables 1 and 2 , but a few appear incidentally in subsequent tables. Only samples of Tables 1 and 2 are presented here. The complete tables are issued through Auxiliary Publication and is obtainable by purchase at cost from the American Documentation Institute, Washington, D. C. The other tables are published here in full. In Table 1 are given the progenies of erythrina sibs of petiolaris that showed petiolaris segregates, while
in Table 2 are the progenies of the same origin which produced no petiolaris segregates. By reference to the totals at the bottom of Table 1 it will be seen that 157 of the listed erythrina sibs of petiolaris have yielded progenies consisting of the three main categories, decipiens, erythrina and petiolaris, while Table 2 shows that in 109 families of corresponding origin the main categories are only the two already familiar ones, erythrina and decipiens. From this it appears that the erythrina sibs of seg. petiolaris are, in nearly equal numbers, of two kinds with respect to their ability to segregate out a group of petiolaris plants in their offspring.

When we breed the erythrina plants in the families of Table 2, which contained no petiolaris, we find that the ability to produce petiolaris has apparently completely disappeared, as may be noted in Table 3, in which 20 such progenies are given. In this group of twenty families there was an exceptionally close approximation to the $1: 2$ ratio of decipiens and erythrina. There were 54 aberrant or doubtful individuals, but not one of these showed any resemblance to seg. petiolaris. In other words there seems to be a perfect segregation of the ability of mut. erythrina to segregate a class of petiolaris.

## Oenothera seg. contracta, seg. nov.

The next example of unexpected mutational segregation from Oe mut. erythrina was discovered March 20, 1938, in a seedpan bearing the family number 37428 . The plants in this pan were about three weeks old, but were easily distinguished as belonging to two strongly contrasted phenotypes, of which one was apparently erythrina, the other a much smaller remarkably dark green, heavily crinkled, shining form which was at once named $O e$. seg. contracta (Fig. 9). This family appears in the first section of Table 3, where all of the tested sibs of its parent are recorded. It was notable because its progeny consisted of 61 erythrina and 40 seg. contracta, instead of splitting in a 2:1 ratio of erythrina and seg. decipiens. In other words, seg. contracta has completely replaced seg. decipiens in this family. Figure 10 shows the two phenotypes present in this family and Fig. 11 allows a comparison of the new seg. contracta and the seg. decipiens which seg. contracta has displaced.

The plants of seg. contracta are very hardy and there are practically no losses in the seedpan nor in pots in the greenhouse, but they are of slow


Fig. 9. Portion of seedpan 37428 containing Oe. mut. erythrina and the first appearance of Oe. seg. contracta, about three weeks after germination. No seg. decipiens occurs in this culture. Photo March 20, 1938.
growth and notably smaller than erythrina or seg. decipiens of the same age. They also withstand well being reset to the field, but have never begun to form stems in the field. The seg. contracta plants in family 37428 began to die after they had grown for some time in the field, and by midAugust all had died. I was not greatly concerned over their loss, since it was obvious that they would never proceed beyond the rosette stage. Because of their obvious replacement of seg. decipiens in this family, I predicted that every erythrina plant in this family, if selfed, would give seg. contracta and mut. erythrina again in about the ratios which would otherwise have been presented by seg. decipiens and mut. erythrina.
To test the validity of this assumption I selfpollinated eleven crythrina sibs of these first seg. contracta plants and grew the resulting progenies in 1939. The record of one of these families (38314) was unaccountably lost. The remaining ten families, with eleven progenies grown from similar parentage in 1940, are presented together


Fig. 10. Rosettes of Oe. mut. erythrina and seg. contracta, the only two types occurring in family 37428. Photo May 20, 1938.
with the original family 37428 , in Table 4, where it is seen that every one of these erythrina parents produced the expected segregation of erythrina and seg. contracta, with a considerable excess of contracta over the one-third theoretically expected. This excess accords with the excess of seg. decipiens commonly found in the progenies of the original normal mut. erythrina. A notable feature of the families in this table is that in each of two of these families, 39536 and 39540 , there was a single specimen of typical seg. decipiens. These two families taken together consisted of 80 contracta, 160 erythrina and 2 decipiens. Since seg. contracta has replaced seg. decipiens, these two decipiens plants suggest the possible occurrence of reverse mutation. Such a suggestion may be borne in mind in other cases where seg. decipiens has unexpectedly reappeared.

Two of the families in Table 4 are unique in having each a third segregated group in addition to mut. erythrina and seg. contracta. Family

39531 had in addition to 59 contracta and 66 erythrina, a group of 24 plants described as "darker and smaller than erythrina, but velvety, slightly crinkled, with repand denticulations." This group represents undoubtedly a new mutational segregation, but inadequate attention was given to these plants, and consequently their identity remains in doubt. The other family, 39533, had besides 25 seg. contracta and 61 mut. erythrina, a group of 16 Oe . seg. cyanea which will be discussed in the next section of this paper.

As stated above, all the contracta plants in the original family 37428 had died by mid-summer of 1938. The same result was experienced in the contracta-containing families in 1939, so that it was impossible to use long-day treatment to promote their maturation, which I had found effective in the case of seg. petiolaris and in some other retarded forms. Steps were taken in 1940 to keep contracta plants alive, if possible, for a longer time. To this end the contracta group of family


Fig. 11. Young rosette of Oe. seg. contracta (37428) and Oe. seg. decipiens (3785), the form which seg. contracta replaced in culture 37428. Photo April 25, 1938.


Fig. 12. A rosette of Oe. seg. contracta (39531), thirteen months old, which received long-day treatment daily from November 1940 to April 1941. Photo April 8, 1941.

TABLE 4
Progenies of Selp-fertilized Oe. mut. erythrina in Which seg. decipiens has been Replaced BY SEG. contracta

| Grandparent <br> Number | Parent <br> Number | Contracta | Erythrina | Other variants <br> or doubtful |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36321 | 37428 | 40 | 61 | - |
| 37428 | 38304 | 108 | 77 | - |
|  | 38305 | 102 | 93 | - |
|  | 38306 | 128 | 110 | - |
|  | 38307 | 108 | 80 | - |
|  | 38308 | 87 | 84 | 1 |
|  | 38309 | 96 | 100 | - |
|  | 38310 | 97 | 103 | - |
|  | 38311 | 90 | 98 | - |
|  | 38312 | 52 | 72 | - |
|  | 38313 | 83 | 98 | - |
|  |  |  | - | - |
| 38305 | 39531 | 59 | 66 | $27^{*}$ |
|  | 39532 | 39 | 87 | - |
|  | 39533 | 25 | 61 | $20 \dagger$ |
|  | 39534 | 41 | 96 | - |
|  | 39535 | 31 | 69 | 3 |
|  | 39536 | 54 | 74 | 1 |
|  | 39537 | 51 | 88 | 1 |
|  | 39538 | 66 | 72 | 1 |
|  | 39539 | 47 | 71 | 6 |
|  | 39540 | 49 | 90 | 1 |
|  | 39541 | 17 | 45 | - |
| Totals (22 families) | 1470 | 1795 | 64 |  |

* 24 of these were of a new undescribed mutational segregation.
$\dagger 16$ of these were Oe, seg. cyanea, as described in a later section of this paper.

39531 was not set to the field, but the plants were given various treatments in or near the greenhouse where they could be under constant observation and given needed attention to prevent injury from drought or other unfavorable conditions. One group, set in a bed of earth in the greenhouse, were soon eliminated by fungus disease, but of 8 plants set in large pots and sunk in a flower-bed near the greenhouse one survived until fall and passed the winter in good health under long-day treatment, but showed no inclination to run up a flowering stem. As new leaves formed above, the old leaves disappeared below, thus resulting in a maximum-sized rosette borne at the top of a very slowly elongating heavy stem (Fig. 12).

Another group of 32 of these contracta plants was set in a coldframe and protected by a latticework screen from too effective action of the sun. Many of these continued to grow slowly during
the summer, and greatly to my surprise, five which over-wintered in the coldframe, began quite early in the spring of 1941 to form stems. All of these have continued to grow and have developed stems from 60 to 80 cm tall (Fig. 13). It will be noted that there are no basal branches but some branching toward the tops of the main axes. Early in


Fig. 13. Habit of mature Oe. seg. contracta (39531), sixteen months old. These wintered in a coldframe but were not given artificial lighting. Photo July 15, 1941. Compare with habit of Oc. mut. erythrina shown in Fig. 5.

July, 1941, several of them began to show budtips on the knoblike ends of the stems. The growth of these proceeded very slowly and it was fascinating to see a few of these buds enlarge, then show the development of the characteristic erythrina pigmentation on the bud-cones. But it was very disappointing to find that these enlarging buds had been induced to develop, only by the development within each of them of a larva of


Fig. 14. Oenothera seg. contracta inflorescence with bud-galls produced by Mompha stellella Busck. Photo July 18, 1941.

Mompha stellella Busck, an insect which produces familiar bud-galls on other species of Oenothera, as described long ago by Gates (1910), and well known to all American growers of Oenothera cultures. A growing doubt that normal buds would be produced by these plants led to a more careful study of these galls than otherwise would have seemed necessary. The remarkable bud-cones of these galled buds reach a size of 12 mm long not including the free tips and 12 mm wide, and the heavy well-separated free tips were $4-5 \mathrm{~mm}$ long (Fig. 14). It was recognized that the form and size of these bud-galls would give little hint as to the form and dimensions which would be seen in normal buds of Oe. seg. contracta, should these ever develop. They differ from the corresponding bud-galls of Oe. mut. erythrina, Lamarckiana, etc., in remaining closed or only with an insignificant split between the sepals in the proximal portion of the cone where bud-galls of other forms split widely thus conspicuously displaying the petals. The bud-galls of seg. contracta are thus short barrel-shaped or cylindrical instead of roughly cone-shaped as in other forms. When the calyx
is removed the corolla is seen to be tightly packed and very crumpled, with a thickened inward fold along the median line of each petal. The essential organs of the flower are completely ruined by the intruder, the style and stigmas being eaten out and the filaments of the stamens abnormally shortened and thickened, and anthers are missing or are flat disks of tissue scarcely recognizable as anthers. The hypanthium is reduced to a very short thick obconical structure which gives no hint as to whether the natural hypanthium will be pollicata or will have the normal tubular form. The presence or absence of a solid hypanthium is a very important question here, since seg. contracta has replaced seg. decipiens pollicata.

After watching the development of about a dozen of these bud-galls, while all the other buds seemed inclined to remain small four-pointed stars consisting of the widely divergent free tips, I


Fig. 15. Oenothera seg. contracta showing a normal bud on the day preceding anthesis. A very slight increase in diameter of the hypanthium marks the limit between solid and tubular part of the hypanthium. Photo August 21, 1941.


Fig. 16. A normal flower of Oc, seg, contracta. The rear view shows the broad short sepals nearly hiding the hypanthium.
surmised that no normal buds would be produced, and that the normal flowers of seg. contracta would remain forever unknown. In this I was happily mistaken, for about August 1 I noticed the enlargement of several buds which did not show the swollen flaring hypanthium of the Mompha galls, and I gradually became convinced that here at last normal buds were developing. These normal buds (Fig. 15) did not differ as much from the bud-galls, however, as I expected, the main differences being that the normal budcone does not attain as large size as the galls and is less intensely reddened, though showing the erythrina type of reddening on the cones. The fully developed bud on the day preceding anthesis had a hypanthium $10-15 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, topping an
ovary $5-6 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, and cones were about 10 mm long and $7-8 \mathrm{~mm}$ in diameter, the free tips 4 mm long, erect or slightly divergent. Approximately one-half of the hypanthium was solid, thus indicating that seg. contracta is pollicata like the seg. decipiens it has displaced.

The enlargement of these buds proceeded slowly, but finally the first flower opened on August 8, the second on August 11, a third on August 13 and a fourth on August 22, 1941 (Fig. 16). These flowers were on three different plants, but were all essentially uniform, except that the first one had a slightly blighted style and stigma and the flower as a whole was only three-fourths as large in diameter as the later healthy flowers ( 32 mm as compared with 44-45 mm). As com-
pared with Oe. mut. erythrina, a single petal of the latter would just cover the entire normal flower of seg. contracta. As anticipated the petals are notably wider than long, 25-28 mm wide and 18 22 mm long, and extremely crinkled. Occasionally a petal has one or two conspicuous notches in the distal margin. The anthers are crooked and usually devoid of pollen, but a small quantity of seemingly good pollen has been produced on the later flowers. The style and stigma are typical pollicata, the styles being limp and the stigmas heavy and clumsy, so that they decline in positions determined mainly by gravitation.

Oenothera seg. cyanea, seg. nov.
I have already mentioned, in the last section, two families which are entered in Table 4, but which differed from the other families in that table by


Fig. 17. The three segregates in a family (40140) in which $O e$. seg. cyanea was added to the expected Oe. mut. erythrina and Oe. seg. contracta. Photo April 10, 1941.


Fig. 18. Oenothera seg. contracta, in family 40140, six weeks after setting in the experimental field. Photo June 28, 1941.
having, in addition to the two expected phenotypes, erythrina and contracta, a third unexpected segregating group. In family 39533 such an unexpected group was observed on May 23, 1940, and subsequently given the name seg. cyanea because of the notably more bluish-green color. The leaves of this form are considerably narrower, more nearly entire, rather shining and less crinkled than in mut. erythrina (Figs. 17 and 19). Like the other segregates from mut. erythrina, seg. cyanea is of relatively slow growth and has thus far shown no indication of developing a stem in the experimental field, but several rosettes taken into the greenhouse and given long-day treatment were readily brought to sexual maturity, the first flower coming to bloom January 21, 1941. The stem leaves, like the rosette leaves, are narrower and more bluish than the corresponding leaves of erythrina. The buds are more slender, but similar as to red pigmentation on the bud-cones. The petals are slightly smaller than in erythrina, and tend to be slightly irregular distally with occasionally a lateral lobe reminiscent of $O e$. mut. spathulata de Vries, one of the trisomic mutants. The petals are almost exactly as wide as they are


Fig. 19. Oenothera seg. cyanea, of the family shown in Fig. 17, six weeks after setting in the experimental field. Photo June 28, 1941.
long, $27-30 \mathrm{~mm}$ long $\times 25-28 \mathrm{~mm}$ wide; in other words, they are slightly smaller and relatively narrower than in erythrina; (petals of Oe. mut. erythrina measure about $34-40 \mathrm{~mm}$ long and $45-48$ mm wide). The flowers of seg. cyanea produced a good supply of pollen, and seeds have been secured both from selfings and from crosses.

Since the cyanea segregation adds a third group to a family which was expected to have only the two groups, erythrina and seg. contracta, it may be assumed that it bears the same genetical relationship to these two groups that seg. petiolaris bears to erythrina and seg. decipiens of the usual strains of erythrina. To test this relationship 58 erythrina plants in family 39533 were selfed and the resulting progenies grown in 1941 under consecutive family numbers 40135 to 40192 , inclusive. Just as erythrina sibs of seg. petiolaris, when self-fertilized, produce two kinds of progenies, some producing petiolaris again while others produce only erythrina and decipiens, so also it is
found that these erythrina sibs of seg. cyanea are genetically of two kinds though phenotypically indistinguishable.

These progenies are collected into the two tables, 5 and 6 , Table 5 containing all the progenies which included a cyanea group and Table 6 all those which consisted of erythrina and seg. contracta only. The relative numbers of families in these two tables, $36: 26$, correspond closely with the numbers in the petiolaris series presented in Tables 1 and 2, namely, 159 to 109 ; for $159 ; 109$ $=36: 24.7$. Much stress must not be laid on this nearly exact duplication of these two ratios, as it may possibly be a mere coincidence, but even if the ratios were less exactly equal the assumption seems to be substantiated that the genetical significance of seg. petiolaris in the decipiens-bearing families is identical with that of cyanea segregates in contracta-bearing families. A further test of this conclusion is available, but must await the growing of another generation, when erythrina plants from families listed in Table 5 should again give a similar ratio of two kinds of families, while erythrina plants from families listed in Table 6


Fig. 20. Oenothera mut. erythrina, in family 40140 six weeks after setting in the experimental field. Photo June 28, 1941.

TABLE 5
Progenies Containing seg. cyanea, from Self. fertilized mut. erythrina Stbs of seg. cyanea

| Grand- <br> parent <br> Number | Parent Number | Coniracta | Erythrina | Cyanea | Other variants or doubtful |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38305 | 39533 | 25 | 61 | 16 | 4 |
| 39531 | 40131 | 11 | 38 | 6 | 2 |
| 39533 | 40135 | 49 | 47 | 13 | 2 |
|  | 40139 | 69 | 71 | 19 | - |
|  | 40140 | 42 | 68 | 19 | 5 |
|  | 40142 | 22 | 28 | 6 | . - |
|  | 40143 | 56 | 59 | 18 | 4 |
|  | 40145 | 47 | 61 | 20 | 5 |
|  | 40146 | 37 | 45 | 10 | - |
|  | 40148 | 8 | 23 | 4 | - |
|  | 40151 | 45 | 67 | 18 | 3 |
|  | 40153 | 33 | 44 | 6 | - |
|  | 40154 | - 63 | 72 | 10 | - |
|  | 40157 | 27 | 61 | 4 | - |
|  | 40158 | 41 | 56 | 24 | 2 |
|  | 40160 | 15 | 50 | 3 | - |
|  | 40161 | 36 | 85 | 16 | - |
|  | 40163 | 18 | 39 | 5 | 1 |
|  | 40164 | 29 | 53 | 8 | - |
|  | 40166 | 41 | 54 | 12 | 3 |
|  | 40168 | 23 | 50 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 40170 | 39 | 44 | 4 | 2 |
|  | 40172 | 33 | 44 | 11 | - |
|  | 40174 | 31 | 45 | 4 | - |
|  | 40175 | 33 | 45 | 8 | - |
|  | 40176 | 25 | 62 | 14 | - |
|  | 40177 | 30 | 49 | 12 | 1 |
|  | 40178 | 50 | 68 | 14 | - |
|  | 40179 | 43 | 75 | 13 | 5 |
|  | 40180 | 35 | 70 | 23 | - |
|  | 40181 | 52 | 83 | 9 | 1 |
|  | 40184 | 44 | 31 | 4 | - |
|  | 40185 | 65 | 88 | 11 | - |
|  | 40188 | 52 | 77 | 18 | - |
|  | $40189$ | $30$ | $47$ | 7 | $1$ |
|  | 40192 | 35 | 56 | 17 | 4 |
| Totals (36 families) |  | 1334 | 2016 | 407 | 46 |

should give no case of segregated cyanea in the next generation.

Oenothera seg. diminua, seg nov.
On May 16, 1939 I found that many of the 207 plants which had been potted in one of my petiolaris-bearing families (38516) had died and that there remained 17 plants so diminutive that it was clear that they would not survive to be set into the field. It is probable that nearly all of the 91 plants which had died before this date were of this same depauperate form. I assume therefore
that this family was made up of about 108 seg . diminua, 18 seg. decipiens, 56 erythrina, and 28 seg. petiolaris. Two of the petiolaris were also

TABLE 6
Progenies Containing no seg. cyanea, from Selffertilized erythrina Sibs of SEg. cyanea

| Grandparent Number | Parent Number | Contracta | Erythrina | Other variants or doubtful |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 39531 | 40132 | 23 | 50 | - |
|  | 40133 | 8 | 31 | - |
|  | 40134 | 14 | 52 | 2 |
| 39533 | 40137 | 45 | 72 | - |
|  | 40138 | 93 | 94 | - |
|  | 40141 | 82 | 85 | 7 |
|  | 40144 | 55 | 68 | 5 |
|  | 40147 | 12 | 33 | - |
|  | 40149 | 21 | 43 | - |
|  | 40150 | 17 | 27 | - |
|  | 40152 | 47 | 96 | 2 |
|  | 40155 | 12 | 24 | - |
|  | 40156 | 39 | 90 | - |
|  | 40159 | 66 | 89 | 4 |
|  | 40162 | 47 | 68 | - |
|  | 40165 | 45 | 79 | 2 |
|  | 40167 | 14 | 40 | - |
|  | 40169 | 42 | 86 | 3 |
|  | 40171 | 21 | 45 | - |
|  | 40173 | 30 | 59 | 1 |
|  | 40182 | 44 | 87 | - |
|  | 40183 | 64 | 84 | 2 |
|  | 40186 | 20 | 94 | 1 |
|  | 40187 | 74 | 95 | 1 |
|  | 40190 | $1.7$ | 30 | 1 |
|  | 40191 | 32 | 76 | - |
| Totals (26 families) |  | 984 | 1697 | 31 |

TABLE 7
Progenies Containing Oe. seg. diminua, from Selffertilized erythrina Sibs of seg. diminua

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grand- <br> parent <br> Number | Parent <br> Number | De- <br> cipiens | Ery- <br> thrina | Diminua | Petio- <br> laris | Other <br> vari- <br> ants <br> or <br> doubt- <br> ful |
| 37434 | 38516 | 18 | 56 | 108 | 28 | 1 |
| 38516 | 39498 | 14 | 71 | 49 | - | 1 |
|  | 39501 | 0 | 74 | 24 | - | - |
|  | 40666 | 3 | 37 | 16 | - | 2 |
|  | 39502 | 11 | 25 | 14 | 5 | - |
|  | 39503 | 0 | 26 | 13 | - | - |
|  | 39504 | 3 | 26 | 19 | 10 | - |
|  | 39505 | 7 | 53 | 47 | - | - |

TABLE 8
Progenies Which Contained no Oe. seg. diminua from Self-fertilized Oe. mut. erythrina Sibs of seg. diminua

| Grandparent <br> Number | Parent <br> Number | De- <br> cipiens | Ery- <br> thrina | Petio- <br> laris | Other <br> variants <br> or <br> oubtful |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38516 | 39496 | 0 | 2 | - | - |
|  | 39497 | 62 | 65 | 33 | 2 |
|  | 39999 | 43 | 36 | 26 | - |
| Totals (4 families) |  |  |  | 154 | 198 |

diminua, and are duplicated in this formal ratio.
To study this new segregation, eleven erythrina plants of family 38516 were self-pollinated and their progenies were grown in 1940 under the consecutive family numbers, 39496 to 39506 , inclusive. The results are given in Tables 7 and 8.

Table 7 includes all of the families which again contained seg. diminua, together with the original family, 38516, while Table 8 includes the four
families of like origin which contained no seg. diminua. Family 39496 had only two plants, both erythrina, and may or may not belong in this table. They are included here merely for the sake of completeness of the record. In two families, 39501 and 39503, seg. diminua seems to have completely replaced seg. decipiens, while in all the other families of Table 7 seg. decipiens was present, but in strikingly reduced proportion. The totals for these five families show only 39 seg. decipiens to 195 erythrina and 135 seg. diminua. In 1941 I have repeated family 39501 under the family number 40666. A portion of the seedpan of this family is shown in Fig. 21, where the great contrast in size between erythrina and seg. diminua can be readily seen. Reference may be made also to Fig. 28, where Oe. seg. diminua may be compared with all the other mutational segregates here described except Oe. seg. sublethalis. It will be noted that this new test of the parent of 39501 shows again that the Oe. seg. decipiens has not been completely replaced, but only greatly reduced in the presence of seg. diminua. In two


Fig. 21. Portion of seedpan 40666 showing Oe. mut. erythrina and Oe. seg. diminua which has practically replaced Oe. seg. decipiens in this family.
families, 39502 and 39504, seg. petiolaris was also present, and in the former one plant and in the latter two plants seemed to represent the combination, petiolaris diminua. When setting family 40666 to the field on May 23, 1941, all of the seg. diminua were set to a box of soil in the greenhouse, but by June 12, all had died.

In 1941 I have 12 families from selfed erythrina plants in family 39497 and 8 families from erythrina plants in family 39499 . As both of these parental families contained no seg. diminua, these families give an answer to the question
whether seg. diminua, like seg. petiolaris and seg. cyanea, can be transmitted only by erythrina plants which are sibs of the particular segregant under discussion. The results from these families, together with 3 similar families grown in 1940, are presented in Table 9 and show that there was not a single specimen of seg. diminua among the 1,947 plants included in these 23 families. In other words the ability to produce seg. diminua is lost permanently from the crythrina component of any family in which these erythrina plants had no seg. diminua sibs.


Fig. 22. Oenothera seg. elongata (lower left) which appeared in family 40110 completely replacing the expected Oe. seg. decipiens. The parent type, Oe. mut. erythrina, is shown above and Oe. seg. petiolaris, which was also present in this family, at lower right. Photo April 9, 1941.

TABLE 9
Progenies from Selfafertilized Oe. mut. erythring in Families Which Contained no seg. diminua, that is, from Families Listed in Table 8

| Grandparent Number | Parent Number | $\begin{gathered} \text { De- } \\ \text { cipiens } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ery- } \\ \text { thrina } \end{gathered}$ | Petiolaris | Other variants doubtful |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38516 | 39497 | 62 | 61 | 33 | 6 |
|  | 39499 | 43 | 36 | 26 | - |
|  | 39500 | 49 | 95 | - | - |
| 39497 | 40103 | 31 | 47 | - | - |
|  | 40104 | 32 | 52 | - | - |
|  | . 40105 | 36 | 70 | - | - |
|  | 40106 | 13 | 30 | 9 | - |
|  | 40107 | 32 | 78 | - | - |
|  | 40108 | 16 | 28 | 8 | - |
|  | 40109 | 50 | 53 | - | - |
|  | 40110 | - | 56 | 18 | $10^{*}$ |
|  | 40111 | 13 | 27 | 14 | - |
|  | 40112 | 27 | 43 | - | - |
|  | 40113 | 31 | 41 | $31$ | $1$ |
|  | 40114 | 18 | 37 | 15 | 1 |
| 39499 | 40115 | 22 | 56 | - | - |
|  | 40116 | 30 | 52 | - | 1 |
|  | 40117 | 41 | 73 | - | - |
|  | 40118 | 12 | 20 | 6 | - |
|  | 40119 | 34 | 41 | 21 | 2 |
|  | 40120 | $17$ | $25$ | 13 | - |
|  | $40121$ | $28$ | $49$ | -6 | - |
|  | 40122 | 5 | 14 | 6 | - |
| Totals (22 families) |  | 642 | 1028 | 182 | 11 |

*These were Oe. seg. elongata, as indicated in Table 1. This family is omitted from totals at foot of the table.

Oenothera seg. elongata, seg. nov.
In Table 9 it may be noted that family 40110 was in remarkable contrast with the other 22 families of that table in that it had, in place of the decipiens group, an entirely new group which has been named seg. elongata, because of the notably longer, narrower leaves. This form was discovered on April 8, 1941, and described on that date as follows: "The first several leaves are erythrinalike after which the leaves become stiff, with some lateral veins running almost parallel with mid-rib and margin. Blades often somewhat asymmetrical, the margins somewhat irregularly shallowrepand." A young rosette as of the date when this description was written is shown in Fig. 22 with the other two forms with which it was associated in family 40110. On June 26, 1941, these plants were examined in the field and the rosette leaves of seg. elongata were described as "narrow lanceolate, denticulate, often irregularly trough-
shaped." The seg. clongata rosettes are shown in Figs. 23 and 28 for comparison with seg. decipiens (Figs. 24 and 28) which they have clearly replaced in this family and a typical stem leaf from a point about 30 cm above the rosette is shown for comparison with other relevant forms in Fig. 29. It will be interesting to observe whether the erythrina sibs of seg. elongata behave consistently in the same manner as the sibs of seg. contracta, by producing progenies consisting generally of erythrina and seg. elongata with seg. decipiens nearly or completely omitted. One of the elongata plants, 40110 (6), more precocious than the rest, came to bloom in the end of July, and several others have started stems, thus indicating that seg. elongata tends to be more precocious than most of the other new forms. This first flowering specimen is shown in Fig. 25. The stem leaves show a continuation of the long lance-like form of the tosette leaves as may be seen by reference to Fig. 29. Buds are more slender than those of erythrina, the free tips being more slender and rather closely approximated. The hypanthium of this first specimen to bloom is long and tubular throughout, and none of the other characters


Fig. 23. Oenothera seg. elongata (40110) six weeks after setting in the experimental field. Photo June 24 , 1941.

suggests any relation to pollicata. The flowers are not noticeably different in form and size from those of Oe. mut. erythrina. The second plant of seg. elongata to reach maturity (40110(5)) differs from the first, by having typical pollicata buds and flowers. This inclusion of both pollicata and non-pollicata plants in the seg. elongata group presents an interesting problem for further study. In none of the other new segregants has there appeared such a split with respect to an important characteristic. It seems barely possible that the seg. elongata group may be divisible into two groups, an erythrina elongata and a decipiens elongata, but the evidence for such a distribution is still too meager.

Oenothera seg. retracta, seg. nov.
It will be recalled that family 39531 (see Table 4 ), among the seg. contracta families, contained, in

Fig. 24. Field-grown rosette of Oe. seg. decipiens 35245 (37) for comparison with seg. elongata in Fig. 23. The decipiens rosette is three weeks older but at a comparable stage of development. Photo July 18, 1936, by W. H. Brittingham.


Fig. 25. Habit of the first specimen of Oe. seg. elongata, 40110(6), which reached the flowering stage. Photo August 5, 1941.


PHOTO APRIL 9 1941(S)
Fig. 26. Oenothera mut. erythrina and Oe. seg. retracta (lower left), the two types found in family 40130, where only erythrina and seg. contracta were expected. Oe. seg. contracta of same age from another family (40159) is included for comparison with seg. retracta. Photo April 9, 1941.
addition to contracta and erythrina, a new group whose identity remained in doubt. To get further information regarding the segregations in this family five of the erythrina plants were selfpollinated, and the resulting progenies were grown this year under the consecutive numbers 40130 to 40134, inclusive. Three of these families have consisted jointly of 45 contracta, 134 erythrina and 2 slightly aberrant plants of unknown identity. Family 40131 consisted of 11 seg. contracta, 6 seg. cyanea, 37 crythrina and 2 slightly aberrant.

But most notable was family 40130 in which a new uncrinkled segregate similar to mut. erythrina
but smaller and darker green completely replaced seg. contracta (Fig. 26). These were observed on April 8, 1941, and have been recorded as seg. retracta. There were 9 of these to 32 erythrina. Four of the smaller erythrina plants were at first grouped with the seg. retracta, but after setting these to the field, they soon became typical erythrina plants, while all of the seg. retracta plants died. This remarkable replacement of seg. contracta by seg. retracta may be considered a partially reversionary change, in view of the fact that the extremely crinkled seg. contracta had replaced in similar manner the very slightly crinkled seg.
decipiens. A full test of the erythrina plants in this family is contemplated for next year. I anticipate that they will be found to segregate regularly seg. retracta and crythrina. The failure of seg. retracta to withstand setting to the field demonstrated that it is a much weaker form than the original seg. decipiens, which it now seems to represent in this particular progeny of erythrina. The erythrina plants of this family are indistinguishable, however, from those in families which yield normal seg. decipiens and from those that yield seg. contracta, or any of the other new mutational segregates.

## Oenothera seg. sublethalis, seg. nov.

For several years I have had a strain of mut. erythrina which has been characterized by the low frequency of occurrence of seg. decipiens, and which I have recorded as "non-splitting erythrina." The beginning of this strain was made by selffertilizing an erythrina specimen, 35239 (98), in one of my petiolaris-bearing families in the summer of 1936. The family is recorded in the begiming of Table 1, and the progeny produced by selfing 35239 (98) is recorded in Table 2, under the family number 36304. Family 36299 in Table 1 represents the same or a similar strain of "nonsplitting crythrina," produced by self-fertilizing individual number (90) in family 35239. These two families, 36299 and 36304, had jointly 2 seg. decipiens to 174 erythrina, the former having in addition 29 seg. petiolaris, the latter having no petiolaris. All the families descended from these are given in the first half of Table 10, together with these two initial families.

A similar or identical mutational segregation seems to have occurred in an erythrina individual 38300 (89), for this individual, self-fertilized, produced the descendants entered in the last section of Table 10. In three of these families, 40126, 40127, 40129, there was seen a total of 9 very tiny stiff rosettes practically devoid of chlorophyll, and the same form may have occurred in family 40128, as four plants had died in that family before it was studied on April 4, 1941, only two weeks after the plants had been set from the seedpan to 75 mm pots. This form is denominated seg. sublethalis and is seen to offer a rational explanation of "non-splitting erythrina," for it seems reasonable to suppose that just as seg. contracta and seg. elongata have replaced seg. decipiens in their respective families, seg. sublethalis may have

TABLE 10
Progenies from Self-fertilized "Non-Splitting" Oe. MUT. erythrina

| Grandparent <br> Number | Parent <br> Number | Decipiens | Erythrina | Other variants <br> or doubtiul |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35239 | 36299 | 0 | 89 | $29^{*}$ |
|  | 36304 | 2 | 85 | - |
| 36304 | 37411 | 1 | 20 | - |
|  | 37412 | 1 | 20 | - |
| 37411 | 38293 | 0 | 56 | 1 |
| 38293 | 39486 | 3 | 35 | - |
|  | 39487 | 1 | 42 | - |
| 38300 | 39530 | 1 | 86 | 3 |
| 39530 | 40126 | 0 | 33 | $4 \dagger$ |
|  | 40127 | 0 | 8 | $3 \dagger$ |
|  | 40128 | 0 | 31 | 1 |
|  | 40129 | 0 | 9 | $2 \dagger$ |

[^25]replaced seg. decipiens in the three families listed in Table 10.
The few plants of seg. sublethalis that have been found have disappeared almost immediately after they were observed, and unless special attention were given to finding them in the seedpan, they could be overlooked very easily, and may have disappeared before the plants were potted. Quite possibly also the seg. sublethalis genotype is so ineffective that most of the seeds do not even germinate. The production of a nearly true-breeding erythrina in this putative way would make the erythrina of this particular strain agree closely with the balanced lethal situation seen in Oe, Lamarckiana. The percentage germination in most of these families has been disappointingly low, but this cannot be attributed solely to the elimination of a putative sublethalis segregate. This strain merits a more thorough study.

## Discussion and Conclusions

In reviewing this remarkable series of new mutational segregations from Oenothera mut. erythrina, it appears that we are dealing with two unique genetical phenomena, (a) the replacement of a previously recurring segregated class of indi-
viduals by a new recurrent class of segregates so unlike the replaced class that it is illogical to think of the new class as merely a modification of the old class, and (b) the addition of a whole new class of repeatable segregates to the previously known and expected classes.

One fact which has greatly facilitated this study and added to the definiteness of the results, has been that most of the new types are so strikingly unlike both erythrina and decipiens that there have been almost no errors of classification. Such errors have been common in attempts to separate mut. erythrina and seg. decipiens in the past, but such strikingly unique forms as seg. petiolaris, seg. contracta and seg. elongata can never leave a doubt as to the accuracy of their classification. Among the new forms there have been some errors
in separating seg. retracta from erythrina, and some error might conceivably occur at an early stage of development in separating seg. cyanea from mut. erythrina. In regard to such errors as have been made in separating these new forms from mut. erythrina, it may be pointed out that these were made in the first families in which the given mutational segregates were discovered. More experience may enable an observer to make a more clean-cut separation of these new forms from the type of their parent.

Whatever the exact mechanism which is operating here, we are justified, because of the relative rarity and the relative permanence of the changes, in recognizing the occurrence of such remarkable replacements and additions of whole classes of recurrent segregates, as of mutational nature.


Fig. 27. Pedigree chart for all of the new mutational segregates described in this paper. Double lines indicate self-fertilization. Each number in parenthesis represents a single individual in the family to whose family number it is appended. To the left could be added fourteen additional generations of controlled cross-breeding in the manner indicated by the first generation given in this chart. Previous to 1905, breeding was uncontrolled in a state of nature. All individuals represented on this chart up to and including 2830(30) and all in the fourteen preceding generations of hand-controlled pollination not here included were typical Oe. Lamarckiana, and every individual in the chart, including and subsequent to 2930 (3), was a typical Oe. mut. erythrina. The names of the several new mutational segregates are inserted beneath the pedigree numbers of the families in which they severally made their first appearance. The individuals indicated by the numbers in parenthesis appended to these family numbers were erythrina sibs of the indicated mutational segregates.

A study of the pedigree records has brought to light the interesting fact that all of these unexpected new segregates have arisen in a single strain of erythrina. The pedigree, complete from 1920 to 1941, is shown in Fig. 27. The double lines in this pedigree chart indicate that the parent represented by the preceding pedigree number was self-pollinated. The progenies included in the tables, all of which are descended from individuals whose pedigree numbers appear in the pedigree chart, represent the offspring of a total of 400 selfed erythrina plants, and of thest not more than 12 produced obvious mutational segregations. This indicates that the frequency of such mutations in this material is of the same general order as that of the more common types of individual mutations, whether chromosomal mutations or gene mutations.

The fact that these mutations have all occurred in a single strain of erythrina is not an accident, but is conditioned by the fact that only in this strain has there been such an extensive program of progeny-testing. The question is an intriguing one, whether a similarly extensive series of tests would bring to light a similar frequency of mutational segregations in other erythrina lines unconnected with the one involved in the present paper. It is not likely, of course, that any of these same mutated forms would be duplicated in material of another origin, for none of them has been duplicated in my cultures except perhaps in the case of seg. sublethalis, which seemed to originate in two different individuals of the same family, and also in another family several generations removed from this. One might suppose that even in this case there is no identity of the three mutations, since the elimination of a class by the presence of a lethal can hardly be assumed to prove the identity of the death-dealing agency in the three cases. Only when it is possible by genetical or cytological analysis to demonstrate that two lethals occupy identical loci is there ground for the assumption that they represent a repeated mutation.

Too little is yet known regarding the mechanism of inheritance in the Oenotheras to allow us even to speak of loci in the ordinary sense except perhaps in the case of the linkages among genes in what I have called the 3rd linkage group, and which are believed to be associated with the paired $1 \cdot 2 / 1 \cdot 2$ chromosomes.

There is little basis for a discussion of a putative relationship of the mutational segregations here recorded and the occurrence of segmental inter-
changes, but it may be conceived that a segmental interchange which should result in associating a decipiens-bearing segment in the circle with the chromosome which carries the lethal factor and releasing from such association some other segment characterized by a previously hidden recessive, such as seg. contracta or seg. elongata, would result in a replacement of seg. decipiens by the new segregated group. The "additional" types such. as seg. petiolaris, seg. cyanea and seg. diminua present still more difficult problems, but might be assumed to involve an interchange between two chromosomes other than that which carries the decipiens genes, thus leaving the relations between seg. decipiens and mut. erythrina unchanged.

Cleland (1929) has reported that the occurrence of double non-disjunction is a rather frequent type of irregularity in the zigzag arrangement of the chromosomes of Oe. Lamarckiana, and the same may well be the case in Oe. mut. erythrina as well. While this might seem a fairly simple method of transferring a chromosome from one Renner complex or genome to the other, and compensating by removing another chromosome from the second genome to the first, there is a prime obstacle in the way of using this occurrence as a mechanism to explain the peculiar replacement of a well-known type of segregate by a wholly different new type, as recounted in this paper. There is incontestible evidence for the view that every one of the known 14 chromosome-ends is of vital necessity for the existence of a successful individual in the genus Oenothera, but no double non-disjunction is conceivable which would not result in the omission of one or more of these indispensable ends. It seems much more likely that the phenomena presented in this paper represent the genetical consequences of a corresponding number of segmental interchanges, by which portions of chromosomes have been transferred from one genome to another without the omission of any vitally necessary part of the genotype.

Cleland (1942) has recently shown that there are 24 different ways in which mut. erythrina can be produced from Oe. Lamarckiana by the coincidence of only two segmental interchanges. These possibilities should provide for a considerable number of genetically different biotypes of erythrina, but not for the full number of 24 different kinds, since in those cases in which both of the putative interchanges have occurred within the same Renner complex, velans or gaudens, the gene


Fig. 28. Recapitulatory photograph of Oe. mut. erythrina, seg. decipiens, and all of the new mutational segregates here described except seg. sublethalis. Of the latter the entire rosette could be covered by a single leaf of seg. diminua. Photo April 9, 1941.
content of the erythrina so produced would remain unchanged. These putatively different biotypes of Oe. mut. erythrina can have no significance, however, for the genetical phenomena recorded in this paper, for although there are these 24 ways in which erythrina might originate from Oe. Lamarckiana, the material involved in this paper can have possessed originally only one of these; all are descended by controlled self-fertilizations, from a single original erythrina mutant 2930 (3), in a line of Oe. Lamarckiana which also had been selfed for six years before this mut. crythrina appeared (see pedigree chart, Fig. 27).

The new forms produced by mutational segregation in this material offer but limited opportunity for the student of segmental interchange because of the difficulty in getting them to develop to sexual maturity or to be usable for breeding. Thus far, seg. petiolaris, seg. cyanea, seg. elongata and seg. contracta have been induced to flower and fruit, but only in small numbers and with .considerable difficulty. Seg. petiolaris has been found by Catcheside to have a circle of 6 and 4 pairs of chromosomes. Probably seg. cyanea will be found to have the same configuration, but whether those forms which are replacing seg. decipiens have, like it, an absence of catenation can-
not be determined if they do not bloom. A cytological investigation of meiosis in $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ hybrids between the different individuals of erythrina which have unlike segregational derivatives might prove illuminating.

## Summary

Oenothera mut. erythrina, a well known halfmutant, normally produces a progeny consisting of two types of plants, one of which repeats the parental genotype, erythrina, the other a truebreeding type known as seg. decipiens. This paper reports the occurrence of seven new mutational segregations from erythrina, which are described under the names petiolaris, contracta, cyanea, diminua, elongata, retracta, and sublethalis. Several others have been observed but not yet adequately studied.

Of these, seg. contracta, seg. elongata, seg. sublethalis and in some cases seg. diminua have replaced seg. decipiens and seg. retracta has replaced seg. contracta.

Seg. petiolaris has been added to families which had the normal proportions of erythrina and seg. decipiens, and in like manner seg. cyanea has been added to families which had the otherwise normal ratios of erythrina and seg. contracta.


Fig. 29. Stem leaves taken from the central axis about 30 cm above the rosette, for comparison of Oe. mut. erythrina and four of its mutational segregates, decipiens, petiolaris, contracta and elongata.

About three-fifths of the erythrina plants in a family which contains petiolaris will yield seg. petiolaris offspring. The rest give progenies containing mainly erythrina and seg. decipiens, no petiolaris.

In like manner about three-fifths of the erythrina plants in families containing seg. cyanea produce seg. cyanea in turn in their offspring, while the remaining two-fifths produce only erythrina and seg. contracta.

Erythrina plants in families which do not contain seg. petiolaris, seg. cyanea, or seg. diminua, respectively, seem to have lost the power to produce these forms in their progenies.

The replacement of seg. decipiens by seg. sublethalis has brought about approximately the bal-anced-lethal condition long recognized in $O e, L a-$ marckiana, thus giving a nearly true-breeding strain of erythrina.

All of these new mutational segregations result in plants of inferior physiological vigor, or at least of slower development, but petiolaris and
elongata have come to bloom, in a relatively few cases, naturally in the experimental field but only late in the season. Seg. petiolaris and seg. cyanea have been successfully brought to maturity in the greenhouse by the application of long-day treatment.
Seg. contracta was not induced to flower by means of long-day treatment, but several specimens have produced stems after a summer and winter of partial protection in a coldframe, and have finally produced several flowers.
The difficulty in securing flowers puts obstacles in the way of studies of catenation in these forms but the chromosome arrangement in seg. petiolaris has been determined for me by Dr. D. G. Catcheside. The arrangement is the same in this segregate as in erythrina-a circle of six and four pairs.

It is tentatively assumed that the replacements of the usual seg. decipiens and additions of other new mutational segregations result from segmental interchanges which change the association of the
segments involved with respect to a segment containing the putative lethal factor.
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## Abstract

Ethnological material dealing with the hunting territory concepts of the Montagnais-Naskapi Indian bands of the central and southeastern Labrador Peninsula is presented and analyzed in the light of present knowledge of the ecological relationships existing between these people and their faunal and floristic background. The frontier dividing the tundra from the forest is regarded as the factor determining to a considerable extent the practice of communal as opposed to dispersed hunting with its attendant patterns of family ownership of hunting territories. It is further observed that among some groups the two types of hunting system may both be practiced but under different environmental pressures. A sequence of phases in the development of the institution of the family hunting territory is tentatively proposed.

In a past number of the American Anthropologist Speck presented material gathered in the field and compiled, with reports of earlier writers, the material obtained by him through a number of years' investigation of the constituency and territorial locations of some twenty-six local bands of the Montagnais-Naskapi Indians of the Labrador peninsula. ${ }^{1}$ In the same article the attempt was made to give some of the social characteristics pertaining to these band subdivisions and to discuss economic features which seemed to influence the social pattern. The material used in the preparation of the article in question included some material that was still unpublished relating to certain bands in the

[^26]eastern and southeastern regions of the peninsula. The purpose of the present report is, then, to bring out the data concerning the formation of those bands just referred to, giving the details from notes, made from 1922 to 1925, while work was proceeding in the lower St. Lawrence area. In the general article referred to above, reference was made to a series of reports previously published, which presented similar outlines of the bands in other parts of the peninsula. The present material covering the characteristics of the Ste. Marguerite, the Moisie, the Shelter Bay, the Michikamau, the Nichikun, and several other now almost disintegrated groups concludes the collection of material now on hand regarding the boundaries and family composition of the native divisions for this immense region.

The purpose in bringing out the material is to make available the long-shelved notes on the bands investigated over fifteen years ago as a contribution to our knowledge of the social framework of Algonkian peoples of the higher latitudes. Half a generation has elapsed since these data were recorded and inevitable changes have occurred in the bands.

A curious circumstance of the field work which produced the material offered in the study lay in the fact that the investigation of geographical ethnography was carried on before the region under consideration had been mapped. It was accordingly upon the geographical knowledge retained in the memory of the Indians and their ability to demonstrate it on the inadequate charts only available at the time, that the demarkations of hunting grounds were based. Several examples of the cartographic faculties of the hunters as made with pencil were obtained. They are reproduced in Figs. 1 and 2. For the limited areas which they cover they show details of surface of land and water the like of which will


[^27]

Fig. 1. Sketch map of Pien Andre's winter camp on Kamacko'gan cakhi'gan (lake) at head of branch of Ashwanipi River (near Petisikapau Lake) during mid-season separation period (1924-5). Drawn by himself.

Explanation.-At $A$, Andre's two-fire wigwam of caribou skin, his headquarters. The dots around the islands and along shore denote sets of fish-hooks under ice; 4 below the nearest island, 6 on north side of same island, 8 on far side of other island and along shore.

Division of Labor.-One man takes care of each set of hooks, three in all. Two men take road to mountains on northeast of big lake and go to lake system (upper right) for caribou. When wind blows too hard on this circuit to permit returning by same way over mountains, they turn southward to lake marked by fishing sets (dots on lake at lower right) and strike overland and across ice on big lake directly to headquarters camp $A$.

The continuous lines represent the routes taken by the men who tend the fishing and the trails of the hunters on land and ice.
not be indicated on printed maps for a long while to come.
In this cartographic interest the MontagnaisNaskapi seem to vie with the Eskimo. Their ability to represent the lake and river features of their own hunting districts as well as of more distant waterways and portages is a definite acquisition of their culture. Explorers of the Labradorean plateau have noted the accuracy of travel charts drawn upon sheets of birch-bark with charred wood from the days of Napolean Comeau and A. P. Low, down to the observations of Belanger and others, who availed themselves of the plottings to find a way in hitherto uncharted areas. The cartographic faculty has another bearing here upon our quest for details of the background of native land knowledge. It brings out the fact that geographic nomenclature is also a well-developed element of the hunting existence from one end of the sub-arctic forest civilization to the other. In recording the loca-
tions and tracts of hunting and trapping among the bands treated here, the names of most bodies of water were given by the men as known to them in their peregrinations. Some of the names entered are to be found in French or English orthography on the published charts available. Others, however, are apparently the names of lakes known only to the native habitués of the more remote regions. These have caused some vagueness in the delineation of band as well as family endroits. Undoubtedly corrections will later be made in the boundary indications given on the map accompanying the report when a more detailed geographical check-up shall have been made. The name listings in the present report will, however, serve as an indication of the familiarity possessed by the men, not only with the terrain itself but also with the unwritten literary nomenclature of their extensive ranges.
Reverting for a moment to the general field of inquiry, we may point out that in the socialeconomic systems of practically all the Algonkianspeaking peoples so far investigated (inhabiting the area between latitudes forty-five degrees and fifty-two degrees, between the Atlantic and Lake Winnipeg chiefly north of the Great Lakes and within the drainage of the lower St. Lawrence), the recently much-discussed institution of pre-


Fig. 2. Sketch map of districts hunted and trapped by Alexander Mackenzie's party, between Menihek and Petisikapau lakes, and on Petisikapau River during winter operations (1924-5). Drawn by himself. Lower left Katsagwunakajo lake (dots denote islands), left center Petisikapau River, right center Ketcemateo pitcuan Lake.

Explanation.-A, Alexander Mackenzie's headquarters camp, two divisions. B, at right and upper right center, location of marten trapping areas. Dots on land areas denote winter trails.
ëmpted paternally inherited family hunting territories has been observed. It does not occur in a single case in eastern North America among other than Algonkian tribes except where alien native culture has been in contact with them and may be suspected accordingly to have been modified in this direction by them. An instance of this is to be noted among the Iroquois who consistently fail to show possession of the trait except in the case of the Iroquois of Oka, P. Q., where it has been introduced through territorial adjacency and social association, even cohabitation, with Algonkian peoples. ${ }^{2}$ At the same

[^28]The senior writer's observations at Seven Islands are perhaps typical of the variation in practice which is observable even among the Algonkian. Speck found it possible to induce several of the hunters to discuss what they regard as a normal method of procedure in transmitting the use of their territories from one generation to the next. In recording the data on family history and control of the districts in family succession among the
time we find that the hunting institutions of the Algonkian north of the fifty-second latitude undergo a change from the aspect of affairs that we encounter in the heavily forested region nearer to the St. Lawrence and the Great Lakes. In the latter area the local bands are found subdivided into small family groupings that hunt by themselves throughout the winter, while in the former zone the family groups remain together forming the "large family" bands with more communal hunting customs than those just south of them.
The bands to be treated in this article include both types, and therefore some discussion will be included as to the meaning and possible history of this modification in social pattern of two purely hunting types of society whose other institutions and history seem to be practically identical in derivation. Before indulging in extended speculation as to what causes may have induced the separation of the types and what circumstances may have encouraged their development along one line or another, the substance of information on the make-up of the central and southeastern bands may be considered.

As our understanding of theoretical circumstances involved in the formation and subsequent trend of growth of these bands gets better, so too the collections of pertinent data will improve, and we shall acquire study material out of which should emerge some conceptions of their history superior to those now achievable. Dr Hallowell's recent ideas, after consideration of cross-cousin marriage practices, actual and implied in the north, and the taking of geneologies, with his testing of matrilocal tendencies in the cohesion of families, exemplifies the kind of progress being made in our approach to an under-
bands who make rendezvous at Seven Islands, a generalized statement was made which represents the sum-up of custom among these hunters. It seems that a hunter who works a certain tract of territory will say that he continues to occupy it by right derived from his father in most cases. Some of them reside with and work trapping and hunting grounds with the wife's father-patrilocal association. This affiliation arises when a hunter has no sons to receive the legacy of usu-fruct from his line. "His daughter brings her husband to join the father-in-law's family," was the customary response. Women and children thus had an option in living with either the father's or mother's family, according to the social opportunities offered by each, plus the need for their labor coöperation, and especially determined by the prospects of abundance of the food and fur resources of the lands on one or the other side of the family.
standing of the forces at work in moulding social structure among the northern Algonkian. ${ }^{3}$

Our conclusions will show the established truth of the assumption suggested in several previous articles that there are two types of social development at work among the northern Algonkian, associated evidently with their occupation of one or the other geographical zone types, the taiga or the tundra of the Labrador peninsula and the lower St. Lawrence and Hudson Bay area. Of the two types one is nomadic and communal in structure as regards the grouping of biological family units to form a collective band. It occupies the open tundra north of the forest zone where the Barren Ground caribou is an economic mainstay. The second type is based upon the more sedentary limited nomadic family principle and seems to remain confined to the coniferous forest area. The factor operating chiefly to determine the two is, we believe, traceable in large degree to the natural history of the game animals which alone furnish the natives of the Labradorean area with their subsistence. The governing factors may be recognized as lying within the influence of the seasonal changes affecting the movements of flesh-yielding and fur-bearing animals with its attendant stress and famine circumstances. Both types prevail in the social program of the same band at different seasons, that is, the collective family horde breaks up into the small-family group hunting as a biological unit within a limited often paternally inherited district, or vice versa. This is known as an actual fact from direct contemporary information and from printed statements of French and English authors who first encountered these famine-bred cultures of the subarctic. ${ }^{4}$ The point deserves more weight in our study of the subject as investigation proceeds. Caron is one whose remarks are clear-cut and

[^29]definitive enough to merit reference. ${ }^{5}$ Alluding to the bands on the upper St. Maurice (the Têtes de Boule) he observes: "Mais la famine augmentant sans cesse, it fallut en venir à se séparer, en effet, par petites troupes les sauvages avaient pliés la chasse de résister à la famine, et si une troupe mourait de faim, et de misère, on pourait espérer que les autres seraient épargnées." ("But famine increasing without check it became necessary for them to separate. Accordingly, in small companies the Indians pursued the chase to avoid starvation, and should one company die of famine or of misfortune, it could be hoped that the others would be spared.")

Reference to the two modes of hunting just discussed is manifest in the remarks of Davies ${ }^{6}$ who also knew the Montagnais-Naskapi well:
"Depending solely on the chase for a subsistence, they of course, lead an erratic life, following the deer ${ }^{7}$ in their migrations from place to place; keeping generally together in large camps, a circumstance that frequently subjects them to the extremes of starvation; the game being soon destroyed, or driven to a distance from them-in this respect they differ from their neighbors the mountaineer Indians, who seldom or ever hunt together in large numbers, two families generally associating themselves for that purpose. . . . They are extremely liberal toward each other; whatever the hunter brings to camp is shared without reserve, in equal proportions among the whole community-this custom is not peculiar to them however, they possess it in common with all Indians who live by the chase. They are not fur hunters, nor is the mode of life they lead favorable to it; the chase of the deer leads them to the barren parts of the country, while the fur-bearing animals are only to be found in the woods; moreover, their favourite occupation furnishes them with all they requirethey clothe themselves with deerskins-their tents are made of the same material, as well as their nets. . . . Their number is but small, 40 or 50 families comprise the total of those frequenting the posts of Ungava Bay."
In the preceding statements the habit observed among the northern and eastern bands of the

[^30]Montagnais-Naskapi, of starting the fall migration into the interior hunting grounds in a group hunting communally is brought out in clear terms. These bands remain in this type of social formation as long as game conditions permit, that is to say as long as the caribou can be followed and killed in sufficient quantity to support them. Should the caribou fail them, however, they are obliged to separate into small parties to save their lives and fall back upon the hunting of small game wherever they can find it for the remainder of the season. This means that they break up into family units comprising the man of the family, his wife, children and such dependents as he may have. The family group of this designation may also include his son or sons and their wives, or his daughters and their husbands, according to whether their residence is patrilocal or matrilocal. The latter circumstance raises a point of no little importance in the history of band affiliation among the northern tribes, one which Dr. Hallowell is weighing out in its bearing, likewise, upon marriage procedure.

It seems conclusive from the data at hand, then, that stress-conditions govern the breaking up of the communally hunting band into family units. And stress-conditions arise through the movements of the game. Thus the ruling element in the problems the natives of the northern districts have to face is the success or failure of the hunt for the Barren Ground caribou.

Matters are quite different in the economic circumstances of the bands of the southern and western portions of the Montagnais-Naskapi habitat, where the hunting environment is that of the forest, where game is more diversified and more abundant though smaller in size, and scattered through the forests. And here furthermore the moose enters into the economic system while the caribou is of the woodland race which runs in smaller numbers.

In the environment of the northern bands the struggle for existence is intensified by absence of forest, causing a less thorough dispersion of the game and a difference in its type. We hardly need attempt to outline the effect of the forestcovered hills mountains and swamps upon the life of animals and their distribution over territory, as contrasted with the conditions prevailing in the thinly forested or treeless tundra farther north. The animal life of the open regions is wide-ranging and mobile. ${ }^{8}$ Hence the northern

[^31]bands hunt in a horde formation, as do the wolves, in pursuit of the caribou which travel in hordes and upon which they depend so largely. The frontier dividing the tundra from the forest, to be concise, is the factor determining the character of animal life and the social-economic life of the Indians within and without these respective zones.

The question of change and decline in population of the bands under consideration, and in fact those of the Labrador Peninsula at large, has engaged the attention of statisticians of population, government officials, missionaries, traders and the Indians themselves for a long time. Speck commented upon some of the figures available from several earlier and later sources in a paper to which reference has previously been made. Taking the collective estimates of the population of the bands under consideration in this report, we have a total of 300 souls listed for Seven Islands in 1857, while the census of Indians in Canada of 1924 gave 380 for the same agency. The matter has much deeper implications than the mere question of survival, in the relationship between mortality among the natives, the rise and fall of populations in the different bands and the still little-known periodic cycles of abundance of animals; the so-called seven-year "plagues" affecting the animal population of the northern regions.

Seton and others have drawn attention to these problems. Elton, in a work which deserves the careful attention of anthropologists, gives considerable space to faunal fluctuation and migration in the Labradorean area. ${ }^{9}$ He shows how an increase of mice, or even mosquitoes may affect, sometimes through a long chain of events, the movements of caribou, and hence the fortunes of man. He maintains that "there is hardly a single fur-bearing animal in Canada that does not fluctuate in numbers from year to year in a most striking way." ${ }^{10}$

Burt, in a recent paper, ${ }^{11}$ makes some valuable

[^32]observations on the size of the home range of certain of the smaller northern mammals, as well as pointing out many gaps in our knowledge of territorial range, particularly among the larger forms. He makes it clear, however, that the smaller fur-bearers are very limited in their range of movement under normal circumstances. The size of the area occupied by an animal is necessarily limited by the creature's ability to travel and its needs in terms of food and protection. Predators will tend to range more extensively. A rodent, ever in danger from enemies, must be thoroughly familiar with the area over which it ranges in order to survive. In Burt's own words "Animals that are moving about in search of a place to claim as their own are covering unfamiliar territory and are much more vulnerable . . . than are those in established territories."

Having noted these observations of Elton and Burt let us consider them in terms of their possible influence upon the hunting pattern of man. Elton has considered the tremendous fluctuation in numbers and area of movement of some of the northern animals. The significance of this to a hunting people is tremendous. In the course of his discussion Elton makes one statement of profound interest to the ethnologist: namely, that the beaver is almost the sole northern fur-bearing animal the numbers of which have not been observed to fluctuate with the unsteady cyclic variability to be found among other northern forms of life. The beaver, it must be remembered, was food long before he represented other forms of wealth. It now seems evident from these biological observations that he was a most reliable and steady source as well.

Consider further the comments of Burt upon the relatively small range of the rodents in general. The beaver has little chance to survive among fierce and powerful predators, such as the lynx and glutton, unless deep water is available as a retreat. They are thus, in the words of another zoölogist, " restricted to the water courses, reveal their presence by unmistakable signs, and build domiciles such as their lodges, which, though not furnishing exact information as to the number inhabiting them, are at least conspicuous indicators of family establishments. . . ." ${ }^{12}$

[^33]In other words, as the writers maintained in a previous paper, ${ }^{13}$ an animal of great significance economically, even before white contact, is seen to be one the habits of which make it easily located even under arduous winter conditions, the range of which is limited, the stable home habits of which make it possible to husband by restraint in killing, and the need of proper stream conditions of which again foster a limitation of sites sufficient under scarcity to place a premium upon assured family ownership. Moreover, such an animal, dependent to a major extent upon aspen bark ${ }^{14}$ is preëminently a creature of the forest and not the tundra zone. When its seeming freedom from cyclic instability is taken into account its human importance is accentuated. We are greatly in need, however, of a more detailed knowledge of the animal and human interrelationships of the whole Canadian region. ${ }^{15}$ An approach to this aspect of the dove-tailing cycles of human and animal fluctuations in number will be a future step in the method of treatment of the economic problems of the area, under ecological methods.

Later, when the present collections of data shall have become records of a faded past era, we shall have to use them as we now use material placed on record, scanty as it may be, by investigators whose labors date back twenty years or more. The collections of matter offered in the pages to follow are accordingly enhanced by a time perspective now of almost a generation of age, since they represent conditions prevailing in family and band history between 1915 and 1925. Had we a record of affairs in these groups characteristic of the period say about 1900 and again

[^34]of 1880 , the time perspective so much needed to demonstrate the change processes of the hunting societies could be visioned. Our first era of ethnological observation, however, must begin with that described here. The next is about now due after a lapse of nearly a generation of hunters' lives. It is then a happy thought that the material of the accompanying report concludes nothing, but on the other hand begins something which is now ripe to be reharvested by newer and better understanding and method.

## Former Coast Divisions

That there was in former times a more or less permanent population resident on the immediate coast of the St. Lawrence is clearly shown in the early accounts. These refer to Tadousac and Seven Islands as centers of the Montagnais contacts from 1673 onward. We learn of this from numerous sources. In a letter attributed to a missionary of the Saguenay in 1720 appears the statement that Tadousac has been for a long time the gathering place for all the Indian natives of the north and the east. ${ }^{16}$ Crépieul (1673-4), the Jesuit, gives a report on the natives of Seven Islands and Tadousac which were then centers of congregation for the coast Indians and those who emerged to trade and associate with their kind from the interior.

These groups, if they ever possessed an independent character, separate from their relatives who migrated annually from the hinterland then as they do now, have left no indication of their social composition lasting down to the present. Perhaps if they were originally band units they have in the course of time become entirely fused with the larger migrant bands, the former coastdwellers from Tadousac to Seven Islands. The natives themselves are aware of the two populations, one holding to the coast, the other dwelling in the interior. These are respectively denoted notci mi'wilnu'ts, "people of the interior," and wi'ni'pe'gwilnu'ts, "people of the salt-water." In another paper, comment has been offered upon the significance of these terms. ${ }^{17}$

A casual observer could well imagine the coastdwelling populations to enjoy economic ad-

[^35]vantages superior to those of the inland hunters, assuming that the more abundant resources of the bays and gulf would provide a richer subsistence. This does not, however, seem to be the case. Any of the coast "mêtis," of the "petite chasse," would exchange his "job" for the life and fare of the "gros chasseur" of the far bush. He invariably does so when his vigor and fortitude secure for him an offer from a big hunter in need of a partner. For the "mêtis" it would mean escape from the precarious employment of a small sphere to the freedom, the adventure and possible greater profit of furs of the big woods. The coastal natives are by-and-large the "petites gens," the physically incapacitated, the near-bankrupt, the lazy, the indigent, the timid. One might also imagine the coastal families to claim prestige through their assimilation of white mores. But they could not assume it in the presence of the interior hunters. Prestige lies with the latter, socially and financially, as observed by Speck between 1915 and 1925.

Without pretending to solve the question presented by the confusion of testimony on the earlier history of these long-dissolved band groupings, it is now evident that the two bands, Ste. Marguerite and Moisie, which hunt and trap over the territories in question, have become amalgamated. Some of the details referring to the earlier natives of the coast districts will be taken up under the headings which treat of these two groups.

It is true at the present time that a strip of country bordering the Gulf is not regularly inhabited or worked for its fur and meat by any specific family tenants. According to the lay of the coast, its rock exposure, and scarcity of wood, the distances of the worked hunting ground may be as much as forty to fifty miles inland. For the most part these stretches are exploited for what natural resources they may yield by certain families which remain permanently in the neighborhood of the trading posts and fishing settlements. Most of them are of mixed blood. Their connections, along both social and occupational lines are with the posts. Routine follows the callings of the coast. In summer off-shore fishing in boats, filling various capacities in affairs between the posts and the hunters from the interior with their booty of furs when the exodus to the coast is on, taking employment from the settlers also to fill in time, in the fall hunting and netting seals, in the winter taking. small game and fur wherever it can be found
pieced out again with employment from the posts, in the spring fowling and sealing until fishing opens up. We are at a loss to conclude the extent to which these pursuits would coincide with the economic cycle of an aboriginal population in their direct line of ancestry. It is possible that from early times there were subdivisions of the "Montagnais" who consistently clung to the coast in contradistinction to their higher-altitudeloving kindred, the so-called "Naskapi" of the hinterland. Yet one has the feeling all the while that their conditions have been considerably moulded by association with Europeans since the early establishment of the French fishing stations. Certain it is that assimilation with inhabitants of the latter has progressed to a degree necessary to be given full weight in the story of composition of the coasters.

Localities along the coast are, however, well known even by those whose permanent homes are strictly inland. The islands forming the Seven Islands group are enumerated by Sylvestre Mackenzie, chief of the Michikamau band and elected head man of the aggregation of groups at Seven Islands (1925). They were given as follows:
Kaictabo' ministu'k, "Big Island," Grosse Boule. Acini' $u t s$ 'wap ministu'k, "Stone House Island," ${ }^{18}$ Grande Basque.
Kawaba'pickats ministu'k, "White Rock Island."
Backwo' ministu'k, "Basque Island," Grande Basque.
Mənawani's, "Little Island," Manowin.
In view of what has been said regarding the unassigned coastal zone, a section representing this strip has been left unmarked by boundaries of the bands when shown on the chart. It would also represent the recession of the Indians who live by hunting from the coastal margin of the peninsula, due to the disappearance of the game there resulting from the establishment of FrenchCanadian fishing stations at the mouths of rivers. In this connection the following faunal references from the Jesuit Relations ${ }^{19}$ are of interest:

The first is a memorandum for a missionary to be sent to Seven Islands:
"He will find there next spring at various times about 150 persons, both adults and chil-

[^36]dren. He will probably see all these-and perhaps others who come from the interior or from the shore of the sea." (Italics ours.)
"The entire coast is of frightful aspect. There is not even the space of a drying ground of soil; it is all rocks, covered with very small trees of spruce and fir;-save the little birch, not one beautiful tree. There is no end to game, all marine birds. . . ."
"All along the coast, seals are to be seen, upon which the savages live during the entire summer."
Hind also yields interesting material on the coast division:
"When leaving the coast for the interior, many families have particular rivers to go up by, and often in a large body; but once a certain distance inland, the whole party break up and disperse into bands of two and three families each to pass the winter, and seldom see each other any more until spring; but before taking their final leave of each other a place is appointed to meet, and he or they who first arrive at the prescribed rendezvous (if having sufficient food to wait) keep about the vicinity until the whole party collect; they then go to fetch their canoes, wherever left when the cold sets in, and employ themselves, some in making new canoes others in repairing the old ones, until such time as the ice breaks up in the large lakes, and the waters subside in the rivers; they then move off in a fleet of canoes towards the sea, and generally make their appearance at the coast about the latter end of June." ${ }^{20}$

The fact that the location of these bands in the seventeenth century lay at the frontier of distribution of the Eskimo westward in the peninsula gives them a tinge of importance. While at present we do not know what the force of this circumstance may have been upon both groups it is, nevertheless, a circumstance to be borne in mind. A series of sources available for this distribution terminus of Eskimo has been collected and cited in the article quoted previously and to which we would now refer again. ${ }^{21}$ Another extract from Hind (1853) which bears directly upon the Indians of the Moisie and their tranditional conflicts with Eskimo presents material worth quoting:

[^37]"The mouth of the Moisie or Mis-te-shipu' River-the 'Great River' of the Montagnais Indians enters the Gulf of St. Lawrence in longitude $66^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$, about eighteen miles east of the Bay of Seven Islands, and has its source in some of the lakes and swamps of the high table land of Eastern Canada. For centuries it has been one of the leading lines of communication from the interior to the coast, traveled by the Montagnais during the time when they were a numerous and powerful people, capable of assembling upwards of 'a thousand warriors' to repel the invasion of the Esquimaux, who were accustomed to hunt for a few weeks during the summer months a short distance up the rivers east of the Moisie, as they do now on the Coppermine, Anderson's and Mackenzie's Rivers, in the country of the Hare Indians and the Loucheux. The old and well-worn portage paths, round falls and rapids and over precipitous mountains on the Upper Moisie, testify to the antiquity of the route, independently of the traditions of the Indians who now hunt on this river and on the table land to which it is the highway. ${ }^{22}$

## Moisie and Petisikapau Bands

There is some evidence to show that at a former period the families who dwelt in the region of Petisikapau Lake constituted a group about as well defined socially and economically as the other family consolidations which have been classified as bands by both Indians and whites. Through changes affecting the composition of the older units of the remote interior in the century past it seems that the Petisikapau horde has suffered a fate similar to that of the Kaniapiskau and Nichikun people recently, and which is overtaking the Michikamau group at the time of writing. The disintegration of the band has thrown its members into the population complex to the southward, nearer the shore-folk who make rendezvous at the Seven Islands post. Since the hunters from the Petisikapau endroits descend by way of Moisie River and associate with the people deriving their identity from the Moisie, they have become considerably fused and intermarried with this group. The Moisie Band derives its name from the river of the same name, which denotes its muddiness. The native proper name is, however, Mictaci' $p u$, "Big River."
The Indian family names of the preceding generations have been superceded. French sur-

[^38]names of the families which operate territories on the lower Moisie River indicate what has transpired in their history; extensive intermarriage with the French-Canadians of Côte du Nord, as the north shore of the St. Lawrence is politically and geographically designated. ${ }^{23}$ Most of them show the mixture in some degree. They are bilingual for the most part. Some of the younger men will take employment, when it is possible, with the traders, prospectors, hunters, and lumber concerns, temporarily, as a form of economic relief if not of progress in the way of civilization.

Concerning the location and ethnic constitution of the Moisie Band, we quote from Speck: ${ }^{24}$
"Like the Ste. Marguerite band, the Moisie people seem to be of mixed extraction so far as original units are concerned. The families who operate nearer the coast may be the residue of a population of former times which belonged south of the Height of Land, and the northern families of those belonging in the interior. Whatever may be the explanation of the somewhat confused condition of affairs now it is fairly certain, from native sources of information, that it has not undergone extensive change within the last two generations. The families falling under this band classification number ten, and hunt and trap the territory up Moisie River and east of it to Mingan and Attikonak lakes, from the coast to the headwaters of Hamilton River beyond the Height of Land. ${ }^{25}$ Also like the Ste. Marguerite Indians the majority of the families operate south of the divide, have smaller hunting grounds, and observe more closely the family system. The northern families seem to have connections with the limited nomads of the interior lake country whose populations have in

[^39]recent years become so dispersed. Upon the closing of the Hudson Bay Company's post at the mouth of Moisie River the band transferred its summer mission and trading center to Seven Islands. It has now (since 1915) no separate chief.
"In Hind's time (1861) the hunters from Ashwanipi Lake were referred to as the 'Aswanipi' band, which he says was dispersed in the nineteenth century to the north and east. This lake is now hunted by families which come under the name of the Moisie group who may have pushed northward since that time."

Speck's investigations yield the following data on the ten families, previously referred to, who make up the Moisie band:

1. Ange Picard hunts and traps in a small way from the falls of Moisie River upward for about 40 miles on both sides of the Moisie. The district is an unproductive one, from which the great game has been banished by increase in the coastal populations. With him as partner is Joseph Vollant, who has recently been so seriously disabled by an injury that he is an object of local charity. Both have mixed families of young children.
2. Philip and Tommy Moise (brothers), also Moise Vollant, use trapping grounds on both sides of Moisie River for about 30 miles above the forks of Moisie. These families are of mixed Indian and French extraction. Owing to conditions of sickness in the band at the time when their members were contacted the desired details of family make-up were not obtained.
3. Bernard Pinette operates in a territory beginning about 40 miles above the forks. His father, Bastian Pinette, from whom he takes his land, is now too old to hunt, and stays at the village of Moisie.
4. Magloire Regis has a location on Manitou River extending to Mingan Lake, some thirty miles inland, and east of the family heads thus far listed in this band. Magloire is brother to the ex-chief, George Regis (No. 5) of the Moisie band. In this direction we have an approach to the people of the Mingan Band. The latter has not been made an object of attention so far in the contemporary survey of the peninsula. We have no data on the composition of the family.
5. George and Delphis Regis (brothers) pursue their trapping and hunting each winter on both shores of Moisie River about 60 miles from the mouth. Information is lacking concerning their children. George Regis held the office of elec-
tive chief of the Moisie Band prior to 1922, representing the combined populations of the Indians from various bands assembling at Seven Islands. He was succeeded by Joseph Vachon (No. 10, Ste. Marguerite Band).
6. Johnny, Joseph, Charles, and George Vollant, brothers, cooperate in trapping and winter residence over a tract lying about the foot of Kaopasho Lake (kaopa'co, " narrow passage in middle") and headwaters of the Moisie, northeast branch. The informants who indicated their holdings on the chart included a lake to the northeast just below the Height of Land as an extension of their working area. This tract was inherited from their father, old Malek Vollant, who is now too old to make the peregrinations to and from the interior to the coast. He stays at the Seven Islands post.
7. John Marie Rock (Djama'ni, Indianized from the Christian names) and his son of the same name with wife, comprise a two-hunter partnership in a fairly large district about the Height of Land east of Kaopasho. Mamickau ("northeast") is the lake near their geographical center. It might be thought that the name Rock is a translation of $A^{\prime}$ cini " "rock," a family name among the St. Augustin Indians far to the eastward on the Gulf, but it is not so considered, being ascribed, rather, to French origin (Rocque).
8. Charles Pilo's sons, Mili', François, and Sylvestre, congregate upon grounds lying at the head of Kaopasho Lake, on both sides, and northward into Ashwanipi Lake territory a little across the Height of Land, according to their indications on the work map used in the listing. No further information was recorded of their families. They held possession of the region from their father Charles, and trap in subdivisions of the grounds agreed to among themselves.
9. François Jérome and his dependents comprise the family group which winters on the north (lower) sides of Ashwanipi Lake. Further information is wanting.
10. Tommy Vollant, a member of the family of the same name (No. 6) localized on lower Kaopasho Lake, has extended his hunting and trapping routes to the northeast beyond the Height of Land covering the watershed of a series of large lakes around and west of Attikonak and Ossakmanouan lakes. These vast and barren stretches of plateau desert demand mobile habits of their human dwellers and closer boundary determinations are impossible to consider. We are led to conclude that the populations here live
and move more in a concourse than those of more abundant natural zones.

The families of Moisie classification so far enumerated are less restricted in their manner of hunting and trapping than those to the southwest toward Lake St. John, for instance. The scarcity of edible large game animals, the devastation of the region by annual bush fires, not to mention the growing encroachment of Canadian-French trappers in the European drift northward to exploit new areas, is having a destructive effect upon their game resources. Reactions upon the human inhabitants, who for so long have lived in relative equi-balance in these deserts, have been noteworthily destructive in the long run.

## Petisikapau

The Petisikapau people, who, as we have already observed, have disappeared as a major and geographically independent group into the limbo of association with the Moisie Indians, derive their name from the lake of their ancient location, Petisika'pau. The term pe'tasaga'pao defines a body of water "narrowed in the middle," which seems admirably appropriate for its shore contour. The lake is noteworthy for having been the location of an early interior trading post, Fort Nascaupee of the Hudson's Bay Company founded in 1840, for commerce with the remote bands of the hinterland.
"The information upon which this and the following band are classified is extremely little," Speck records. ${ }^{26}$ "There seems," he says, "to be an area of several hundred miles, according to Low, with a very sparse population. And from testimony obtained from natives at Seven Islands his claim is borne out, although a few of them from these endroits, east of Lake Michikamau north to the Kaniapiskau River, gave their identity as Petisikapau people and were so recognized by the others. My listing assigns six family heads to this group. I would not, however, insist upon separate classification as a band for these families, although they are listed as such for the present. The vagrancy of the hunters of this central region is a noteworthy feature of their lives, to which we may add the decrease of its population as causes contributing to the uncertain identity of its few remaining families. Both of these bands, if such they are, pursue the winter hunt for meat and caribou in collective groups. Hind refers in several places

[^40]to 'Naskapi' from this lake and mentions a Petisikapau band of fourteen families, which has induced me to consider its classification as a band unit of the past if hardly one of the present.
"By the Indians at Seven Islands the name Mane'yik wilnu'ts, 'white spruce people,' is also applied to the inhabitants of Menihek Lake, a branch of Petisikapau, though I do not know how to discriminate between the two as band names. Were the records of old Fort Nascaupee, founded on Lake Petisikapau in 1840 and long since abandoned, available, some light might be thrown upon the affiliations of the natives by tracing their family names."

That the Indians constituting the Petisikapau band of former times have, since the time of Hind (1861), also become assimilated with those who then constituted the populations nearer the coast at Seven Islands is indicated by Hind's notes. He stated that the Petisikapau band then comprised 14 families. ${ }^{27}$ We could not (1922-5) designate the few families who winter as far in the interior as the said lake under the caption of a distinct Petisikapau band apart from their coresidence and intermarriage with the coastal units about Seven Islands. A similar dissolution has been the fate of the band which Hind informs us to have been formerly located at Ashwanipi Lake but dispersed to the north and east in the 19th century, and which he designates as the "Aswanipi" Indians. The judgment of the hunters with whom the matter was discussed at Seven Islands was that the old Ashwanipi units had merged with coastal branches into the Moisie band. As such they will be considered in another section of this paper.

These observations concerning the remote families who winter in the high lake districts of the remote interior plateau are to be taken as founded upon the testimony vouchsafed by the heads of the same name-families with whom the matter was discussed at Seven Islands and checked with the statements of Henry Hind who sojourned with them eighty years ago. As our information stands it seems that the absorption of the interior bands into the coastal populations began with the movement of the Ashwanipi horde in the mid 19th century, joining with others to form the Moisie Band of later times, followed by the merging of the Petisikapau and Kaniapiskau families with these of the Ste. Marguerite Band, and lastly the dispersion of the Nichikun people to join temporarily with the

[^41]general populations of the coast at Seven Islands. The Michika: u group it seems has withstood the tendency t reak up better than the others.

As testimony of movements of this nature, we have mention by Hind ${ }^{28}$ of a Naskapi hunter named Paytabais who had starved to death in the interior about 1857. This man, we are told, lived about old "Fort Nascopie." At the present time a man named Petabesh (Peta'bec) comes down to the Seven Islands post with the families from far inland, whether from Petisikapau or Michikamau it was not ascertained positively. It is likely that he carries the family surname first noted by Hind.

Old Napes Gregoine (Gne'gwen, Indianized French, Grégoire) and his son Napes, represent a family for which the information obtained was very unsatisfactory and confused. The upper environs of Menihek Lake down northward to Petisikapau, and embracing the area of wutce'goci"pu, "otter river" (unlocated on the charts) were given by several men of this name as the ancestral domains. The family was evidently dominant in the Petisikapau group of almost a century ago, having since merged with the Ste. Marguerite band in part. (See Ste. Marguerite, Nos. 5 and 6.)

Nabesh Gregwenish (Gnegweni"c, "Little Gregwen") was given by informants as the hereditary hunter and trapper of a large area on lower Menihek and Petisikapau lakes. He is married to a daughter of Sylvestre Mackenzie, head man of the Michikamau group, and is closely associated with his father-in-law. No specific data on the family composition of these men were secured.

It should be noted here that the families who were centralized about Menihek Lake bore a distinctive name, if they lacked a separate classification, among the Indians at Seven Islands. The name Mone'yik wilnu'ts (or inu't') "white spruce people," was current, derived from the lake in question. It was not, however, thought to be specific enough to classify them as forming a distinct band, for which reason, at the time, their little understood associations were left open. Disintegration of the older interior hordes has left a chaos of identity in the subsequent groupings of these families.

Michéle Ambroise and his son Joseph, about 20 years old, hunted the environs of Petisikapau Lake from the shores northeast for a distance of some 70 miles. Since Michéle's death within

[^42]the last few years his widow and son continue the work. Hind (1863) ${ }^{29}$ mentions a hunter from the interior as Ambrosis, who held grounds at that time, about Nipisis Lake (Moisie Band No. 3), a body of water lying not more than about 60 miles inland from the coast. Ambrosis answers to a diminutive form of the name Ambroise, yet there is little more to identify these men as of one line of the same family in view of the distance separating the hunting grounds noted for them.

Louis Michéle. The records of the Moisie hunters include him as working a territory on Moisie river, aided by a young man, Pierre Dominique, 20 years old. But no further data on the relationships of these men are at hand.

## Ste. Marguerite and Kaniaspiskau Bands

The Ste. Marguerite River is on one of the large and important streams draining the south central slopes of the peninsula and emptying into the Gulf of St. Lawrence a few miles west of Seven Islands Bay. It is called $T$ cema ${ }^{\wedge} n^{\circ} b i^{\circ} c t u k$, meaning "River Parallel with Hills." The band that is allocated upon its waters bears the name Tcema`n bi'ctt Rwilnu'ts", "River Parallel with Hills People." The band seems to have been one of old formation for we have mention of some of the families in Hind's narrative. ${ }^{30}$ The ten families at the present time forming this group contain some old patronyms. A few notes concerning its habits will serve to bring out some characteristics.
Seven Islands Bay has been continuously the summer rendezvous of the band, in fact its exclusive resort until the movement began a generation ago for the hunters of the Moisie band to move over and spend part of their summer period with the Ste. Marguerite people. Even now the social monopoly of the Seven Islands trading post and mission rests in the hands of the Ste. Marguerite Indians. One part of the village is their quarter, the other houses remaining vacant until the families from Moisie have come to occupy them as they do late in July, during the last two weeks of the mission held annually for the natives of the combined bands of this section of the coast.

A very close connection exists between the Ste. Marguerite Indians and the Kaniapiskau families immediately north of them. There

[^43]would, indeed, be little reason to separate them were it not for their habit of using different local names for their groups and for the rather vague geographical boundaries that separate them.

These two divisions may possibly turn out to be divisions in name only when more is known of their former history. It would be necessary to know, for such a decision, just where the grandfather of the present elder generation of the Tcelnish families had his location in the interior. At this present period of time, the three family units of the name draw their sustenance from hunting districts far beyond the height of land in the Lake Kaniapiskau region, while only one having the Tcelnic patronym (i.e., Alexandre) hunts south of the divide. It might be thought that a century ago the hunting would have been better nearer the St. Lawrence coast; hence a withdrawal of the old families toward the interior plateau with the retreat of the game in the same direction. This circumstance would, however, apply chiefly to the caribou. If we were to seek to connect the earlier story of the Tcelnic family name with similar patronyms elsewhere in the Naskapi territories we should be led afar since the same name occurs in several directions among the bands as far west, for instance, as Lake St. John.

Hind who knew this band fairly well in 1861, says that the first migration of the families from the interior to Seven Islands was two years before his visit there, bringing it in 1859.31 He mentions the family name of Tcelnic (Chelneesh), and Otelne as being those of interior or proper Naskapi derivation.

Things have not changed so much in regard to location of bands and their movements in assembling at the Seven Islands post and mission since Hind's time (1861). The Ste. Marguerite band, which he referred to as Montagnais, then being as sharply defined from those he called Naskapi inhabiting the Moisie as far as Ashwanipi and Petisikapau as they are now.

The Kaniapiskau people are known among their confreres by the name of the lake (Kaniapiskau, "Rocky point"), which has for many generations been the pivotal center of their winter wanderings. A few notations we possess concerning them specifically may be summarized from the report of Speck in 1931: ${ }^{32}$
"The identity of this band, like that of the preceding, is known only on the authority of

[^44]hunters from the region who were encountered and questioned upon the occasion of their annual migration to the post at Seven Islands. When questioned as to their affiliations they used the name given above, but it does not seem that there is much political consciousness to the few who answered to the classification. Low refers to Indians trading at Nichikun post who hunt about Kaniapiskau and down its discharge about fifty miles, but speaks of uninhabited areas between here and the western boundaries of the Indians from the Northwest River, and another such on both sides of Koksoak River from the Nichikun territories to where those of the Ungava hunters begin."

Again, investigations by Speck furnish data concerning members of the band:

1. Sylvestre Tcelnish hunts with his son Bastian. Bastian had six or seven children in alltwo little boys between 8 and 12, a girl about 17, the rest younger. Since by his own declaration he had "too many mouths to feed" through hunting alone, he (Sylvestre) lured Tommy Jourdain to help him feed his family. Tommy, although a "son of a bitch of a good hunter" is a consumptive, but it is expected that he will marry Bastian's daughter. Tommy is a grandson of old Charles Jourdain. The men themselves furnished the data on this tract, the most northerly of which Speck obtained data. It lies northwest of Lake Kaniapiskau down Kaniapiskau River to Big Otter River to within about 50 miles of the big bend of the river and 200 miles from Fort Chimo.
2. Pierre (also Pielis) Tcelnish (micenate'o, "great approacher of game") is the last of his paternal line, and is related to the family mentioned above. He hunts with his son, Shimun Piel (Simon Peter), about 16, who does a man's work on the hunt and trap line with his father. They hunt the environs of Packwute'o cakhi'gan, "fire lake," and Gawace'gamot. These waters lie southeast of Kaniapiskau Lake, and also just west of the lake, lying about 300 miles up the river from Seven Islands.
3. John Pierre (originally of the Ungava Band) married a daughter of Sylvestre Tcelnish, now hunts on grounds formerly held by Otelne, now deceased. (This territory was possibly allotted due to circumstances of family No. 1. It has not been inherited.)
4. Alexandre Tcelnish hunts with two grown sons, one married and one with a child. His grounds extend westward from Ste. Marguerite

River at Riviére à la Bataille (about $51^{\circ} 40^{\prime}$ ) and Portage de Manicouagan (which is the route to Manicouagan River some 60 miles distant about 40 miles west, i.e. over half way to Lake Tschimanicouagan on the Manicouagan.
5. Napeo Gregoire (Gnegwen), 40 years old, with two sons and two daughters, hunts between Ste. Marguerite River and Lake Aswanipi. This hunter and his family bear a low reputation among the men of the band for violating the credit allowances made by the post factor in advance of the winter hunt. The practice is deplored by the other members of the group as damaging the interests of them all in financial transactions with the factor. The older generation of this family is listed with the group or band wintering in the distant territories of Petisikapau and Michikamau lakes. The family surname may be identified with these far northern hordes. The confusion of first names and family names in the Gregoire line leaves us in a position which becomes most difficult to clear up.
6. François Gregoire and his two sons, Nabeo (married, with no children as yet) and Antoine (24 years old) hunt west of Ashwanipi lake and south of the Height of Land working the environs of two lakes still unmarked on the charts available, namely Chibougamou and Wabushkatso ("hare excrement"). Their next neighbor on the north was stated to be Nabesh Gregoire, a close relative. (The synonymy of family and personal names here again causes considerable confusion of identity.)
7. Tomah (Tamas) Otelne, "Tongue," is an old man 60 years of age, disabled through the loss of an eye, and retired from active hunting and trapping. He and his brother Nisham Tomah (Otelne) who died about 1923 (at the age of about 50 ) hunted together through life a tract on Manicouagan river (mənikwa'gənictu' $k$ ) about 60 miles long and 40 wide on both sides. It required about a month's travel, he stated, to reach the endroits from Seven Islands. He and his brother hunted the same territory worked by their father, and they thought the same held in the paternal line for generations back. He had had no daughters but four sons, with only oneNabes Otelne-surviving, who operated with him until his death (1923). Nabes was known as a famous hunter by the factors of the Seven Islands Hudson's Bay Company Post. Philip (aged 21, and just married at the time these data were secured in 1923) will succeed to the paternal hunting district from now on, taking up his first
regular hunt in this year. This terminates the male lineage of a famous and estimable line of hunters who had operated the same territory in male succession for at least four generations.

The character and personality as well as the hunting endroits of a Naskapi named Otelne are made the subject of some treatment by Hind. He leaves us in a difficulty, however, to explain the present hunting locations of the family to the westward of Ste. Marguerite river when he noted the location of Otelne in his time (1861) as being on Aswanipi (Ashwanipi) Lake. ${ }^{33}$ Change of residence of later hunters of the Otelne lineage may be imagined to account for this through marriage and matrilocal shift. He also mentions another, Akaske ("Arrow," akask), whose name, however, was not so far as we know, transmitted as a surname in any of the regional bands.

## Small Hunters

A categorical classification prevails in the ranks of the Indians who make their summer rendezvous at the Seven Islands post, between the great "illustrious" men who lead their lives in the far remote plateau and those of lesser fortitude and station who hunt and trap on the lower course of the Ste. Marguerite and Moisie rivers and tributaries. Among the traders the first ranking is designated the "big hunters", the second the "little hunters", or irregular men, since they frequently change their hunting districts by common arrangement. In this verbal distinction-there being nothing official in its application-we may recognize what has long been understood among the Indians themselves as constituting the divisions of the notcimi wilnu'ts, "interior or remote forest people" (also known as pit', "inside") and the winipe'gwilnu'ts, "salt-water, or coast, people." The latter are, moreover, now to a large extent mixed with French blood and assimilated in habits and properties with the Canadian habitants. Hence the lower esteem in which they are held. In the list to follow is given the series of those families so classified by informants at the post.

It is patently evident that the stage of the "little hunters" represents a later phase in the history of society and economy among the bands of the region considered here. This example of change with sequence should, however, be handled with caution in any overt attempt to apply it as a broadside for interpretation of his-

[^45]torical conditions among other bands. The hunting territory institution may as well have developed into the communal band type of economy as out of it if we take single instances of one or the other as the definite case for the whole area of Montagnais-Naskapi occupation.
8. Johnny Pilo, a mixed blood, about whose family composition information is lacking, has locations west of Ste. Marguerite River, about 80 to 100 miles north of Seven Islands. His family derivation was given as of the Moisie Band where others of the surname are listed. Whether however, his privilege is accounted for by marriage with Ste. Marguerite Indians, or by assignment through tribal or post authority was not ascertained. The tract he operates, as given, is from Rivière Vallée north about 35 miles to R. Gamache, and 25 to 40 miles back from Ste. Marguerite river.
9. Charles Jourdain, 75 years old, now retired from active hunting and trapping, had four sons and one daughter. Three sons are now living and hunt upon the same paternally inherited territory which old Charles Jourdain says his father and grandfather used before him. This provides another case of three or four generation occupancy of the same territory and continuance in the paternal line with patrilocal residence of married couples. The three sons, filial partners, are Teddy, Alexandre and Antoine. Antoine has recently married the daughter of his father's brother, an example of parallel-cousin mating. For this "privilege" he is obliged to pay a penalty to the priest at Seven Islands out of his next year's fur catch. The Jourdain territory lies east of Ste. Marguerite River northward from about Grande Portage and Lac au Poëlon to about R. Athanase, a stretch of about 25 miles, between Ste. Marguerite River and the northwest branch of Moisie River.
10. Joseph Vachon, nicknamed Wacaucoje'p, "Bay Joseph," works a territory adjoining the mouth of Ste. Marguerite from Seven Islands bay westward, and just back of the coast, to where the Shelter Bay families come down. His adjacency to the bay has earned the sobriquet. The tract is hardly more than 25 miles in breadth and is unproductive except for small game.

Vachon has held the nominal office of Chief of the Indians congregating at the Seven Islands post from a period dating around 1915 down to the time when these investigations were made (1925). He is consequently the official representative of the Ste. Marguerite and Moisie
bands in matters relating to the Province. His authority is, however, insignificant, and is not recognized by Sylvestre Mackenzie, the head man of the families who come down from the remote plateau, i.e., from Michikamau.
11. George Fontaine, a young man with several immature children, ascends the Ste. Marguerite to a location on its west bank north of the territory of Johnny Pilo, and works the country westward some 25 miles, stopping where Rivière à la Bataille comes in to mark his district from that of Alexandre Chelnish. Fontaine is a mixed blood who divides his efforts between trapping and working when opportunity comes at the Seven Islands post.
12. Joseph Oshogan (oco'gan, "hip bone"), concerning whose family composition no data were obtained, operated a district between the Ste. Marguerite and the northwest branch of Moisie river, 10 to 20 miles in depth, from about Rivière Athanase to near R. aux Pins, some 25 miles.
13. Joseph Fontaine, who bears the nickname Mui'yak, "Eider duck," and, as our data indicate, his brother François Fontaine (Wucapi pi ${ }^{\text {, }}$ "gall"), have a location on the east and west sides of Ste. Marguerite beginning a little below R. Dumais and R. Vallée, and extending north about to Lac au Poëlon. The Fontaines are small hunters and operate a small tract of some 15 miles of non-productive country largely depleted of its animal life. We have little to offer regarding their family which rates as French mixed-bloods.

It is worth noting in respect to preferred custom that the Ste. Marguerite hunters agreed, when the matter was opened for general discussion among them after the separate men and their families had been questioned, that some habitual principles were held to in the division of hunting and trapping land. The father of a family who has sons coming into activity will let the boys hunt one section while he does another. They plan to meet together only about once a month, during the course of the winter. Their working stock comprises between 200 and 300 traps. Exceptions are admitted in the arrangement when occasions arise to make readjustment expedient in the family economy. The meat and fur supply is not secured by the use of rifles to as great an extent as might be thought, for it happens that the Ste. Marguerite hunters in 1924 ordered only six new rifles for the following year's business. They now use 303 Ross-Lee-

Enfields, having changed from Winchester 40-4 for which they do not now care. Muzzle loaders are, however, kept in the winter camps as reserve weapons in case of emergency resulting from breakage of the more complicated machinery of the modern pieces which they are unable to repair.

## Felony on the Hunting Grounds

A fair picture of the conduct of the Ste. Marguerite hunters could not be drawn without referring to statements made by certain of them concerning the unethical tendencies of members of the Fontaine families to "pull traps," i.e. to remove the contents of others' sets and possess themselves surreptitiously of the pelts within reach. A similar complaint was registered for some of the Jourdain family, though to a lesser degree. There was no hesitation among those who made these disclosures in mentioning such facts. Otherwise the irregularities cited were stated to be practically unknown in the conduct of the combined bands throughout the zone of their operations. The proper procedure for hunters in passing through the territory of others is to skin any animal found in the traps of the local proprietor and carry it until a time when they meet and it can be given to the owner of the traps and the trap line. An instance of the kind -reprehensible in the eyes of the men-was cited during the past winter when Alphonse St. Onge of the Ste. Marguerite group passed through the land of Joseph Mackenzie of the Moisie band, and found two martens there which he brought down to the post and sold.

It is most important in this connection to have a statement from the men themselves pertaining to their own beliefs as to what is the factor in restraint upon the petty larcenies of which they accuse certain of their band comrades, particularly in view of the circumstance that no violence is on record as a result of such misdemeanor. Neither is there reference in the discussions invited from their lips, to action by the so-called superior authority of the "chief." The only answer evoked from various sources was the explanation, given in the manner of a bated obvious result, that a spell of bad luck would ensue. The quality of fear is present and trespass has become imbued with a feeling of lurking menace from conjurational sources if not the supernatural resentment of the animals themselves to cause vague misfortune, sickness, game depletion, accident, or some other of the nameless dreads
menacing their existence without let, to add to the trials of life. Approach to the question was much the same in response; nobody wished to be explicit as to the form of spiritual persecution that might follow. They seemed to understand this vagueness and expected Speck as well as others of a questioning mind to do so. Equally important was the conclusion that no retaliation either social or physical was ever enacted. No one, for instance, presumed that a hunter whose traps had been lifted would perpetrate a similar act of stealth upon his offenders. A generalized fear of a spiritual avalanche of bad fortune settled the repeated attempts to sound the reasons for ethical self-control in the matters of property rights in the forest domains.

The remarks just made apply to all the bands dealt with in this report. It might be interesting to add that in the vernacular of the traders who are most familiar with aberrations of this type, and who, indeed, occasionally see fit to rectify them over the counter, designate the prohibiting force as the fear of "hoodoo."

The evidence we have here of a protective force, spiritualistic in character, carrying a menace of retribution hovering over the family food-producing districts, is significant of deeper implications in regard to the history of landtenure beliefs. It conveys a sense of basic originality, it would seem, for the land institution with which the religious concept dovetails. Could we discern more instances of practices fitting into the religious system of belief, a clearer idea of their age-place might be forthcoming. It will mean something in the understanding of the history of hunting territory institutions if a more extensive series of practices accumulate in our records to ground them in religious thought which we are accustomed to associate with antiquity.

In addition to the heads of families previously listed as big and little hunters, there are some half- and quarter-bloods who hunt irregularly over the country near the coast wherever they can find fur and flesh from season to season without being recognized as having preëmpted rights or any other form of claim to holdings. From the point of view of the interior hunters, they constitute a proletarian class and are considered more as Whites than Indians. Their occupations are varied; small hunting, trapping, intermittent labor for the Canadians of the coast, and guiding sportsmen in the hunting and fishing seasons. They are derived from the older families through second and third, or nore,
generation mixture with the French habitants, having for the most part French surnames. And they reside permanently in houses in the village of Seven Islands in a quarter at the north end of the single long lane, following the shore of the bay, which forms the main street of the settlement. Batiste Picard, Nabeoco', "Old Man," is one of the type who resides at the post, does odd jobs thereabout, interprets, mends canoes and on occasion makes a small trapping excursion into the bush to relieve matters. His sons hire out to explorers, sportsmen and to other Indians who need help in their territories and pay on shares. His brother Ange Picard, however, casually operates a small and depleted district on the lower Moisie (Moisie, No. 1) and consequently is listed with the Moisie band.

## Shelter Bay Band

In 1925 the status of the several family groups comprising the Shelter Bay Band was very difficult to settle in regard to relationships and earlier history. The individuals comprising the band were almost completely merged with the general population of the combined offspring of the earlier more distinct divisions that now assemble annually at Seven Islands. The Shelter Bay individuals are all much mixed with French blood. Three families represent them:

> a. Tcibäs St. Onge
> b. Francis St. Onge
> c. Malekis Vollant

That something of a separate identity has either remained from a former grouping or been developed since the days of intense trapping and trading with the Hudson's Bay Company and with the infiltration of alien blood may be shown in the name Wasakwopata ( $a^{\prime} n$ ) wi'lnut., "People of the Portage," which has come to be locally assigned to them by other Indians. This name is derived from that of the river Wasa'kwopata'gan ci $b u^{\prime}$, interpreted as "Mossy Portage River", upon the waters of which they travel and hunt inland for about 100 miles. The St. Onge family claimed to have occupied this tract since the time at least of the grandfather of Tcibäs, who was approaching seventy years of age. Tcibäs St. Onge was the father of Francis. The latter was married, had a large family of children, and resided on the waters of the same river, having received a partition of the paternal district. The father of Tcibäs St. Onge was Dominique St. Onge whose age at the time of his death was above ninety. This man had the distinction of
being mentioned by H. Y. Hind, previously referred to. Hind had considerable to do with the then young Dominique who, when Speck met him in 1913 was still active despite his age and living with his wife. He told Speck that he had had eleven sons of whom two only were living. His father, he asserted, was a Micmac from Gaspe, who had located on the north shore of the St. Lawrence and married a Montagnais-Naskapi woman, hunting the same territory that he had. There is, in consequence, some uncertainty as to the original content of this small band. It is probable that the mother of Dominique, the oldest of whom we have definite knowledge, may have been the inheritor of the Shelter Bay region which has since passed down through the male line to its 1925 holders. The other family head of the band, Malekis Vollant, was married to one of the St. Onge women, so here we have a case of matrilocal affiliation. The Vollant family, as noted, is properly attached to the Moisie Band. The Shelter Bay hunters on account of their nearness to the Seven Islands rendezvous spend much time at the post. They leave in November, come out from their hunting grounds once during the winter, arriving about January first, and leave again in February to stay in the bush until March. The distance from salt water is about 60 miles. There seems little more to note concerning the history and habits of this small band. It has no distinctive traits, and is evidently to be considered as one of rather recent foundation.

It should be noted, perhaps, that Speck found testimony to show that Tcibäs St. Onge and Malekis Vollant have moved from former hunting grounds on the lower Ste. Marguerite river to their present locations on Shelter Bay river. The statement is evidently more applicable to Malekis Vollant, since we know that his father (Malek V.) was affiliated with the people in the neighborhood of Seven Islands bay, and that they now are members of the same line in the Moisie Band. The three hunters and their wives, a brother of Francis, the five children of Francis, and one son and two daughters of Malekis, made a total of some fifteen members of this gathering. Three of the hunters had adopted patrilocal residence and two of them matrilocal residence at the time of inquiry (1925).

## Nichikun Band

The Nichikun band has been dissolved as a social unit since the abandonment of the Hudson

Bay's Company at Lake Nichikun circa 1919. The band is indeed an old one, being indicated upon charts of the 17th century in the same location that we find it now. Some particulars were given in the article in the American Anthropologist referred to already. ${ }^{34}$

Members of this band were met with during several periods when Speck was working at Seven Islands in 1915 and 1925. Following the dispersion of the families of the band, he was told that some took up their residence with the Moisie Indians while others joined the bands west of them. The only mention of the Nichikun band that bears the mark of definite attention upon specific circumstances of this band is that of A. P. Low (1895), which is as follows: ${ }^{35}$
"These Indians belong to the western Nascaupee tribe. They speak a dialect closely resembling that of the Montagnais. The men are of medium height and fairly good physique. Some are tall and well developed, but the average height does not exceed five feet seven inches. Like other Indians they are sinewy rather than muscular. As a rule they are less cleanly than the Montagnais, taking little care of their clothes or persons; and they generally swarm with vermin. Owing to the small numbers of caribou killed in this region, the natives are forced to clothe themselves in garments bought from the Hudson's Bay Company. They live in wigwams covered with cotton, as they cannot get either the deer skin used in the north or the birch bark covering of the south."
"The hunting grounds of the Indians of Nichikun extend from the Height of Land on the southward, to the headwaters of the Great Whale River on the north. To the eastward they hunt as far as Lake Kaniapiskau and down its discharge about fifty miles. . . . . The greatest number hunt to the westward of Nichikun, or about the headwaters and tributaries of the Big and East Main rivers."
"During the summer they subsist almost wholly on fish caught in nets in the lake. . . During the winter the living is better for then . . . they are able to obtain . . . fresh meat. About a dozen caribou are killed by the people of the post during the year, besides beavers, muskrats and bears. Usually rabbits and ptar-

[^46]migan are abundant during the winter season and are shot and snared as required. In some years, however, both rabbits and ptarmigan are not plentiful, and caribou are scarce. During such seasons the food supply is very limited, and great care must be taken to avoid starvation.
"There are about thirteen families of Indians who trade at this post, but this does not represent all the people inhabiting this portion of the interior, as a number of families prefer to descend to Ruppert House and trade there. . . . Others living to the southward who formerly traded at Nichikun, now descend the rivers flowing into the Gulf of St. Lawrence."

Hind in referring to the natives who frequented the region about Pletipi Lake, which he says was three days' journey from Lake Mouchualagan inhabited by Montagnais, designates them as Naskapi. ${ }^{36}$ It might seem from this that his informants regarded them as associated with the Nichikun who are nearest to them. Until, however, the composition of the populations making their rendezvous at the Bersimis post has been worked out this point will be left open. At the time when these records were made the men of the Nichikun band encountered at Seven Islands were so recently thrown into the newer associations of an alien adjustment that it was difficult to arrive at a clear understanding of the past and present grounds where they worked. They also seemed suspicious.

The list of families of the band at the time of their dispersion is as follows, given me by Joseph and Peter Hester, at Seven Islands:
Tcwa'li
(one daughter, two sons) (This man was chief, holding his authority for life. His father was chief before him.) Joseph Hester ${ }^{37}$ (two sons, one daughter) (Peter Hester, Kokuc ("Pig')
(Débid (David) Hester, Wapatci" ("Tomorrow Morning'")
(three sons, two daughters) brothers
Alphonse St. Onge ${ }^{38}$ (no children)

[^47]\[

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Pieni"'c ("Little Pierre") } & \text { (no children) } \\
\text { Pilipi's ("Little Philip") } & \begin{array}{l}
\text { (four children) } \\
\text { (four children) }
\end{array} \\
\text { Nte'bit" } & \text { (four children) } \\
\text { William Tcali (son of Tcwali, above) } \\
\text { Ayi"cuk"w (meaning ?) } & \text { (no children) }
\end{array}
$$
\]

Assuming that the wives of the family heads, listed above, were living, the band would total around 40.

Questioning disclosed the fact that the Nichikun families did not separate and hunt or trap alone on inherited hunting grounds, as do the Montagnais south of them. So far as conditions of the game and season will permit they all hunt together.

Speck was informed by the Hester men that before changing the trading route to the Seven Islands Post, they descended to the Rupert House in six-span canvas canoes. The change at the time of this visit (1910) had effected some striking results in their condition. The hunters listed had married or intermarried with Frenchspeaking Indians trading at Seven Islands, had come under the sway of priests where they had hitherto been adherents of the Church of England and, in addition to their English were using Canadian French with no less fluency than the Seven Island natives.

## Michikamau Band

The group now to be considered derives its name from Lake Michikamau and so bears the designation Micikamo' $i{ }^{\prime \prime}$ nuts, "Great Lake People." The area of land usage traditionally preëmpted by its members in support of life centers around this immense body of water which lies considerably north of the Height of Land.
The Michikamau horde is apparently the most integrated of the groups living in the central interior of the peninsula. The isolation of their habitat and the recency of their emergence from solitude into the confusing milieu of life at the Hudson's Bay Company's post at Seven Islands have tended to preserve their social independence

[^48]and to fend off the disintegration through mixed marriages and adoption of French-Canadian ideals and manners. Sickness introduced by contact with the coastal populations has also begun to have its effects. The cohesion of the band depending largely upon caribou for food is nevertheless noticeable by contrast with others who hunt in segregated family fashion over a larger part of the year. The authority of its chief, Sylvestre Mackenzie, a leader by nature of his personality, authoritative and practicalminded, is pronounced, and may be a contributing factor to the unification of the horde. The salient data pertaining to this band, given in the report of 1931, from which summaries have been quoted for other bands, may be cited here: ${ }^{39}$
"The environs of Lake Michikamau, chiefly between this lake and Petisikapau, about 100 miles in extent, are embraced within the limits claimed by the hunters wh, give this name to their group.
"The band has not apparently attracted the attention of previous travelers or writers. Therefore it is upon the testimony of its chief, Sylvestre Mackenzie, and other menbers that I base my assumption of its existenc a as a band unit.
"The Michikamau Indians live and hunt almost continually as a community of grouped families. Only when pressed by famire do they separate and live upon small game. At other times it is the caribou that supports them. Under the jurisdiction of the chief, the group comprises thirteen family heads who are practically all related by blood and marriage.
"Until recent years this band went to Northwest River for trading purposes. Now its members in one large company make the long and dangerous descent from their distant lake to the post at Seven Islands by way of Menihek Lake, Ashwanipi Lake, and Moisie River each year."

The migrational cycle of this band to and from its interior domain to the coast at Seven Islands is interesting from the light it sheds on the matter of time and energy spent annually by the human drove in the peregrinations of trade. The chief, Sylvestre Mackenzie, gave Speck the outlines of his travel narrative in 1924. Punctually on August 1st the band leaves "salt water" (Seven Islands) ascending Moisie River, passing through Kaopasho Lake and then across the Height of Land, reaching Menihek Lake by about October

[^49]5th. Here they camp to fish and hunt for a few days. Thence they move along by easy stages to Michikamau, hunting and fishing and reaching their destination at Michikamau by the end of October. From here they plan to separate into family groups for a season of trapping to accumulate fur. It is essential before this temporary dispersion that they decide upon the place where they are to gather-the first rendezvous of the winter. Sometime in November this takes place. From this time until toward the end of January they travel as a band, depending upon and following the caribou for food. This is the mid-winter hunt. Around the end of January, the great period of casualties should the caribou fail them, they separate again by families to pursue trapping in their habitually frequented tracts. About the end of March or the commencement of April the entire "gang" (a traders' term) comes together again at the customary rendezvous on Menihek Lake. Here an extensive encampment of tented families soon congregates as it has for many generations-incidentally a promising place for stratigraphic archaeological work when opportunity is afforded. From then until the commencement of May the convening of hunters and their families goes on and the horde prepares to descend to the coast with the harvest of fur. Early in May the flotilla gets under way moving southward over the Height of Land, through Ashwanipi Lake and down the Moisie River, arriving at the Moisie post almost punctually on the 25 th of June. This completes the cycle of the annual migration from interior to coast. It should be noted that some families as well as individuals, who for various reasons are unable to undertake the trek, remain at the Menihek gathering place over the summer until the return trip of the southbound flotilla is due the first week of October. They subsist chiefly upon fish. A few, we are told, may refrain from the coast migration for many years, some never going down.

It is a matter of judgment to what extent we may conclude that the insistence of fur traders upon increase in the production of skins by the natives had the effect of adding an incentive to the economy of the Indians, obliging them to divide their time on the hunting grounds between hunting for food and trapping for pelts. The division of labor between the two activities as just outlined would seem to be an adjustment to the demands of trade, with pressure from without exerting a stimulus upon trapping as a competi-
tive pursuit with food hunting. Assuming then that the food quest is an inevitable aboriginal occupation and that fur trapping has been accentuated since contact with Europeans, a chronological sequence may be postulated in the case before us. The economic pattern, either communal hunting in a horde or segregated family hunting throughout the entire winter or only part of it, can as well be conceived to fit the character of country and game by one system of pursuit as the other. The trapping activity, however, practically necessitates the separate family distribution of population over a wider area, and intensively in spots where fur-bearing animals abound. The magnitude of the recent change in the economic set-up of the Michikamau Indians is manifest in the fact that they now engage in the more arduous and consuming annual voyage from their hunting grounds to the Seven Island post than the trek to Northwest River as formerly. This procedure is in the endeavor to gain the advantages of better trade at greater expense of time and effort. Trade has become a moving impulse in their life calling. We may accordingly postulate the direction of change in the case of this band by placing the communal caribou hunting activity before the era of trapping in split-up family groups. But to apply the same gauge to every band in the Montagnais-Naskapi complex to prove the postulate would be to cheapen the methods of research by shape-shifting to a degree beyond the bounds of patience. To propose an explanation for economic change over a wide area of the north by assuming that the history of any one band is a recapitulation of the whole would be unjustified. In the theoretical discussion in our conclusion this fact must be carefully borne in mind.

A phase of the habit of preëmpting precincts needed or necessary in the course of their hunting, trapping and traveling reappears in the social actions of the hunters who come out from the interior down to the coast at the Seven Islands post as a usage-right once assumed then transmitted by traditional agreement is yet to be noted. The flotillas of hunters and their families in canoes arriving in late June continue to use the same sections of beach year after year as landing places. Each band beaching and unloading and later loading for departure customarily appropriates a certain stretch of the short line for its own use. And the family components have theirs. No formality, how-
ever, governs the action. Interference does not occur. It is possible to determine from a distance the identity of a family by the station it makes upon its arrival and beaching. The members of the Michikamau band for instance beach and camp at the north end of the sweep of sandy shore beyond the Hudson's Bay post lot. The Ste. Marguerite hunters make their stations nearer the company's grounds.

In the periods of the winter devoted to the business of trapping when the family units of immediate relatives-the small families spe-cifically-break up to resort to the trapping grounds for limited seasons of isolated residence, they distribute themselves habitually in districts preëmpted by long-maintained use. Sylvestre Mackenzie, chief, indicated the principal hunters of his "tribe" and the whereabouts of their customary fur harvesting during the seasons of band disintegration just noted. Corroboration of the locations recorded were given by the men of the band who witnessed the task. The plotting was, however, a somewhat confusing procedure in view of the serious obstacles to be surmounted in identifying and in tracing grounds by well-known names of lakes and rivers which were not shown upon any of the maps obtained for the purpose from the Dominion Geological Survey. The results accordingly are offered with these imperfections well in mind. Mackenzie also stated that locations were made by the hunters subject to his chiefly approval and with general assent by the others having in mind the welfare of the whole horde during the hardest part of the winter. Several of the hunters voluntarily drew sketch maps in pencil of their trapping grounds with the situation of their temporary seasonal camps marked out and the nature of the fur indicated. These are reproduced in figures 1 and 2.

Recorded through the channels of information just mentioned, the family heads and the data pertaining to them appear as follows:
Nabes Gregweneesh, Wa'tokutcec, "Little woodchuck," a young man married to a daughter of Sylvestre Mackenzie resides with Sylvestre and follows him in his hunting and trapping movements. He marked off his area of operation as lying between Menihek and Dyke lakes when the season of separation for trapping comes. How to assign these hunters to bands is a question. The merging of the socially dissolved Petisikapau band with the families of the Michikamau area under Sylvestre Mackenzie is
instanced in the case above where matrilocal residence has taken one of the Gregoire men directly into the Mackenzie family group.

Pien Andre, ( 26 years old) who bears the sobriquet Mict Ben, "Big Ben," traps over an area south of Michikamau Lake toward Attikonak Lake as well as to the southeast of Michikamau. This man prepared a sketch map of the district and movements within it which he and his companions worked the winter of $1924-5$. It is reproduced in Fig. 1. One of the observations recorded of him is that he sets 25 marten traps a day on his route. This he regarded as his major harvest in fur.

Openauk, "Black man," traps, whose name is derived from the extreme darkness of skin characteristic of the family.

Bernard Gregoire, son of old Bernard Gregoire who died in 1924, now traps in company with his mother.

Bastien Dominique and one son trap.
David Dominique also traps.
Mathieu Kabec and Gabriel Nisipi'c trap as partners northeast of Dyke lake.

Domenique Doctor and adult son constitute a two family partnership.

William Atela'o, also Milwa'tem, "Likes it." Joseph Germain.
Pierre Germain, Menoka'bo, "Stands firmly," and son who is married, make a two family group.

Gregoire Patciga't, "crooked leg," brother of the Gregoire family men who move with the Ste. Marguérite hunters.

Peta'banu, "Brings the dawn."
Joseph Mackenzie, Wabiya'n to'gi, "Rabbit ears" so nicknamed from the peculiarity of his ears. Brother to Alexandre and Sylvestre Mackenzie.

Alexandre Mackenzie and son (For illustration of his hunting districts see Fig. 2).
Sylvestre Mackenzie (chief since 1922). Brother to Joseph and Alexandre Mackenzie.

Mathieu Djokabesh (djo'kabec, the proper name of the Montagnais-Naskapi hero-trickster of mythology, but a name not satisfactorily translated) who is married to the sister of Sylvestre Mackenzie, together with Gabriel Nisipish of a similar relationship, form a trapping partnership, and operate in the spacious area of barrens north of Michikamau Lake and toward Dyke Lake. This group of families usually moves together in ascending and descending from the interior to the coast. It was stated that they hold feasts and
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of this name which herds with the Michikamau group (see Mathieu Djokabec above). This instance not only illustrates marriage of the sororate pattern but matrilocal residence. By common repute Ben Kabesh married one of the daughters and went to live with his father-in-law in the same tent. It was not long before he had a child by each (of the "wives") in turn. He still dwells with Wápactan as a partner in the chase. Marten trapping is a major pursuit with these men and is the source of origin for the sobriquet borne by Piel. This incidentally affords a view of the habit of acquiring personal name identity from the principal animal taken and killed by a hunter-personal "gametotemism" ipse licet.

It is evident from the information furnished by these men, fragmentary as it is, that the Michikamau band is a vital illustration of the basically communal hunting horde which under force of circumstances modifies its social procedure to the family type of residence during part of the winter. That the annual economic cycle is split into the several types of organization is the feature of importance here in our survey of hunting systems. It next remains to seek out the factors and influences which explain the variations observed and to piece out their historical sequence if possible by logical interpretation.

## Conclusion

The conclusions which are derivable from the material we have just surveyed cannot, as yet, be grasped in their entirety. Too much needs still to be done in the field of circumboreal research before it will be possible to weigh to the full the influences, ecological in terms of game hunted, and cultural in terms of established tradition, which form the basis of property ownership among the lower hunters. Approached from the historical standpoint many questions arise. Does band ownership, for example, precede the family system? Does the assignment of land to individual families by the head man, as has been recorded in many instances, precede the direct handing down of territories within the family and is this latter method a purely historical development? Can a widespread succession be observed, or is local adjustment to local exigencies the only observable factor? These and many other questions present themselves for answer. Their shadow must inevitably be troubling to those who, like Morgan, and many
present-day Russians, would see the culture of the lower hunters as representing a stage prior to the development of the institution of individualized property. The solution is not easily given because, though ecological patterns seem to have been paramount in the production of the system we have just surveyed, anything which becomes traditional within a human group may be perpetuated, furthered, or modified beyond what might be immediately expected in the case of a new culture intruding into the same environmental background. It may be that this has played its part among the Athapascans who seem to lack the concept save where there exists a reasonable suspicion of Algonkian contact. Elsewhere, the writers have hinted at the functional reasons for the development of the family hunting territory system. Let us attempt a more detailed descent into this pre-Columbian world and see if out of environmental and cultural interplay unmodified by white contact, any evidence exists for sequential stages in the development of an institution which strikes the social theorist as such a curious cultural excrescence to be found among primitive nomadic hunters.

In the first place, it is reasonable to assume that in any new, unpopulated territory being penetrated by wandering hunters small in numbers and not, as yet, pressing heavily upon the game supply, land will tend to represent an economically free good. This will tend to be the case whether or not the requirements of hunting demand united or dispersed effort. It is what we might term the "pioneer" period before the pressure of population and long-term residence create greater territorial consciousness on the part of the group. Such conditions must undoubtedly have fore-run the more intensive ownership patterns now present among the Algonkian hunters, but at what point in their range the change was initiated, how many times duplicated independently or spread by contact, we cannot answer. We can, however, definitely perceive two separate ownership patterns which, as previously indicated, are adjusted to the type of fauna exploited: the band ownership of hunting territory which obtains among those who pursue the migratory caribou herds of the tundra, and the system of family hunting territories, either by mutually agreed seasonal allotment or loose patrilineal inheritance which exists among the hunters of the forest zone who must exploit, in family isolation, the more scattered woodland caribou, the beaver, and like fauna.

Returning, however, to our postulated stage of pioneer penetration and "free" land, it may be seen that as population grows, and, in addition, remains in the new area, increased band concern with the territory and its wild denizens will take place. The band will grow ever more conscious of its dependence on a particular area and food supply. ${ }^{40}$ (What indeed were the hunting policies of the plains area but the exhibit of a similar concern under the pressure of a larger, more politically conscious population?) Intrusion of new peoples will be resented. This will be the obvious limit of land consciousness so long as the group is pursuing more or less migratory game, such as the barren ground caribou, in a manner which demands not individual, but group effort. All that has really developed is some added consciousness, perhaps, of group need to protect its area of group exploitation. ${ }^{41}$ This, of course, is the sort of situation which Morgan visualized as being omnipresent in the stage of savagery, ${ }^{42}$ and implying an entire lack of individual property concepts in land. Land ceases to become a free good as population reaches the survival limit upon it under a given form of economy. The whole history of our pioneer west, from the free range to the coming of the small farmer illustrates this same basic struggle in another guise. Free land is frontier land and, indeed, Speck has observed a tendency for the hunting territories to be more restricted in size where settlement has been longest maintained. ${ }^{43}$ Along with their undoubted distinct

[^50]ecological adjustment the hunters of the subarctic are, in a sense, still pioneers where land is wide and population small. Thus may be postulated Stage I as a period of variable length and circumstance where land is practically valueless because the existing population is not capable of its full exploitation and there is plenty of choice allowable to both the single hunter and the group. This condition, in the case of the localized fauna, results at first in dispersed, but unassigned hunting activities.

Out of a certain degree of permanence of residence will then develop a sense of band territorial possession which, under ecological conditions leading to dispersion of effort in the hunt, may also trend in the direction of individual family exploitation of a given territory. This, it has been noted, may take the form of the allotment system either by the head man arbitrarily assigning territories for a season, or by mutual agreement among the hunters. ${ }^{44}$ As we have noted previously this system may exist in conjunction with communal hunting and alternate with it among the same people in some instances.

It may be suspected that the allotment system by choice or assignment preceded the permanent family ownership system since at some point selection must have preceded continual occupation for a long enough period to set up traditional family occupation of one territory. The one, however, could easily pass into the other as

[^51]hunters raised on a particular territory could act more efficiently upon it. Families occupying a particular spot for any length of time would be bound by habit to utilize the territories each knew best and hence patrilineal descent of the loose flexible type which has been noted could be introduced almost imperceptibly. That in modern times true family ownership has been stimulated by the intensive exploitation of the fur-bearing animals may be admitted. Nevertheless, the weighty evidence for pre-Columbian game husbanding of such animals as the beaver is a potent argument for the existence of family territories of something more than a seasonal variety. ${ }^{45}$ Why else would such care be taken of this non-migratory beast? Certainly the allotment hunter, unless his allotment were of a pretty permanent nature, would be less interested in restraining his cupidity. In fact Schmidt has argued that one incentive for the establishment of the family territory system lay in the fact that it made for better regulation and husbanding of the game resources and was more easily handled by the head of a family in relation to his children. ${ }^{46}$ Indeed he goes so far as to suggest that perhaps the so-called assigning of land by the chief may, in some instances at least, have been no more than the adjustment of inheritance claims. ${ }^{47}$

Where, as in the higher arctic, human population is reduced to such a degree that the individual is forced to move over very wide areas or rely heavily upon the sea, property concepts in land are dimmed even though the life struggle is intense. But below, in the forest zone, where the brooks of a particular watershed may support a localized fauna which with husbanding may support a family in some faint degree of security, the aboriginal will grasp the desirability of outright possession more quickly because human competition in the life struggle is more readily apparent. And with every generation that a particular family holds such a tract where the supply is limited the more firm is the ownership pattern likely to become. ${ }^{48}$ It must inevitably

[^52]confront the careful student of the problems which we have been considering that in the search for the origin of the Algonkian family hunting territory system four approaches are possible. First, the already much discussed historical explanation, linking it with the fur tradean explanation criticized elsewhere. ${ }^{49}$ Second, an explanation entirely in terms of the ecological background. Third, as a survival, culturally, of an archaic Algonkian trait of which the origins are thus merely extended into a more nebulous past. Fourth, an explanation which would emphasize the ecological approach but leave room for the acceptance of possible cultural factors which may have extended or retarded the diffusion of the trait.

Dr. Cooper in his recent excellent survey of the hunting territory system ${ }^{50}$ takes some note of ecological factors at work in producing the institution, but, without entering fully into this phase of the discussion, he points out the presence of somewhat similar developments in South America in a few instances, and seems to hint, at least tentatively, at the possibility of the pattern being an archaic survival in the New World. Also he brings forward a genuinely puzzling point-the apparent lack of a similar system among the northern Athapascans even though the beaver range is circumboreal. ${ }^{51}$ This is admittedly a difficult problem, in part, we would emphasize, because so little is known in detail of the eastern Athapascan territory. Are we justified, for example, in assuming that conditions are entirely the same?

Dr. Steward after an intensive survey of band conditions in all parts of the world has expressed himself as being of the opinion that only rarely would individual land holdings on the hunting level of society be sufficient to sustain life, after the exceptional Algonkian pattern. In this connection, though recognizing our dearth of source

[^53]material, the writers would call particular attention to the following facts derived from Dr. Steward's previously mentioned article. ${ }^{62}$ He points out in a cursory survey of the Athapaskan area that, in contrast to a population among the Algonkians ranging from one person per 5.3 square miles north of the Great Lakes to one person per 34.6 square miles in the eastern subarctic, the Athapascans average one person per 50 to 80 square miles with "some regions being virtually uninhabited."

We quote further: "The bands of the eastern or mainly Mackenzie Basin Athapascans are extraordinarily large in view of the sparse population, numbering several hundred persons each. This surprising size must be explained by the local economy. There are large herds of migratory musk ox and often caribou in much of the area. These are hunted more or less seasonally and collectively by large groups of people."

The facts just noted suggest a severity of life among the Athapascans not quite comparable to that region in which the hunting territory system achieves its clearest development. Instead we encounter greater reliance upon migratory game and the presence of that constant "frontier" of which we have spoken, where the coöperation of groups moving over wide areas in the struggle for life dims out familial localization and competitiveness. The trap-line ownership coming in in this area is a late development on the part of a people inclined more heavily toward the pursuit of migratory game and only taking up with individualized hunting in a serious manner as the beaver and other small fur-bearers assume more importance economically. ${ }^{53}$

We do not feel that sporadic cultural developments of a similar nature in other portions of the world need necessarily be linked with the Algonkian system as survivals of ancient waves of diffusion. It is not likely, in any case, that

[^54]so fluid a concept would long survive unless based on group necessity. Certainly its loss among the arctic Algonkian hunters or their casual swing from one practice to another does not encourage its treatment as a static element of culture. Instead we view it as the response to conditions in a forest region not too productive in terms of large game, but having a small fauna (primarily beaver) which could be husbanded and manipulated rather successfully by individual families, whereas a large group might starve on the same territory. ${ }^{54}$ Somewhere in the forests south of the barrens or tundra area the pattern began. It is known historically both north and south of the St. Lawrence. Whether apparently similar though less clearly elucidated practices in aboriginal Siberia represent similar adjustment or instead a cultural survival related to Algonkian practice is a difficult problem.

The ecological background conducive to the family exploitation of game resources grows, as we have indicated, out of conditions of family isolation which in turn are caused by the necessity of deriving sustenance from a not too rich, not too easily securable but definitely localized fauna which cannot be hunted communally. Against this background, of course, time will lend the authority of custom and the tradition once established may be intensified and carried far. The Algonkians are old in the forest region. Groups through movement and change of scene may have swung from the communal to the individual method and back again through the vagaries of historic chance. It must be recognized that while we feel the sequence we have indicated must have taken place in the evolution of the family hunting territory system at some point within the forest regions inhabited by the Algonkians; this is not tantamount to the acceptance of the tundra hunters of northern Labrador today as representative of an earlier undeveloped stratum. Indeed it is quite possible that pushing northward into this area of large caribou herds and dearth of localized game, these bands abandoned property concepts acquired in the lower forest reaches where such adjustments

[^55]had survival value. Doubtless such reversals of sequence have taken place more than once. Our only contention is that basically the concept of land as a free good must have underlain at some point the rise of the family held tract. Once the latter development takes place, of course, it may, as in the case of any other cultural element, be spread by diffusion among like peoples facing similar environmental necessities. It will not survive or be accepted where communal hunting of migratory game is the chief mode of subsistence. But the very fluidity of the adjustment itself suggests its intimate and sensitive reaction to factors far more heavily natural and environmental than traditional. Such is the nature of the schematized outline, which, for convenient visual purposes we have
appended to this paper as an interpretation of the possible general trend of development of this institution throughout the northern woodland. And just as sensitively ecological, it is our firm belief, will prove to be the effect of environment upon land ownership concepts among the other lower hunters who have been less fully investigated at the present time.

With this interpretation in terms of natural background we can more readily cast aside that dubious schematism which persists in viewing the lower hunters as the representatives of an early and primitive collectivism. Instead, we are coming to view these hunters and seedgatherers as we actually find them-men meeting a variety of environments in variable ways, and diverging accordingly in cultural response.
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    * These were smaller, darker green rosettes of unknown identity, probably a new mutational segregate.
    $\dagger$ In this family Oe. seg. petiolaris appears to have replaced seg. decipiens, but as this is the only family in which this has been the case, it seems more likely that the decipiens is replaced here by seg. sublethalis, as discussed later in this paper.
    $\ddagger$ Of this group of 109 plants, 108 are assumed to have been Oe. seg. diminua, discussed in a later section of this paper.
    § These 23 were of erythrina form but definitely smaller, probably an unidentified mutational segregate.
    ** These were Oe. seg. diminua.
    $\dagger \dagger$ These ten plants were the new Oe. seg. elongata which is discussed in a later section.
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[^27]:    Chart showing distribution of Montagnais-Naskapi Bands of the Lower St. Lawrence and Labrador Peninsula, with approximate location (in numbers) of Family Hunting and Trapping Districts (1922-25). (Drawn by F. Staniford Speck.)

[^28]:    ${ }^{2}$ Horace T. Martin in his work on the Canadian beaver entitled Castorologia (Montreal 1892) makes a tantalizing reference to a portion of the old beaver hunting territory of the Six Nations lying between Lake Champlain and the St. Lawrence. He speaks as follows (p. 140): "In some cases in the interior of our country, near the height of land, these hunting grounds are still recognized as the rightful property of certain Indian families, and curiously, the line of descent is on the mother's side, so that travellers relate how many an old decrepit squaw is honored and propitiated for favors from her beaver reserve. These reserves were held with as much exclusiveness as a freehold estate in England, and to trespass or to poach on them meant to jeopardize one's life. The question of ownership involved all the mystic relations of the social career of the Indian geneologies, tribal affinities, questions of taste and preference, but also rested greatly in the first instance on the right of might. . . ."

    This area, at the time of the French and Indian wars was, from a cultural standpoint, mixed Iroquois and Algonkian. It was really St. Francis Abenaki countryand the St. Francis Abenaki were largely influenced in culture during the historic period by the Mohawk. We have no direct evidence, however, that they adopted a maternal clan system, which would, of course, have placed mother right ahead of father right in respect to land.
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